



# *SHE* FRAMEWORK

## *SAFETY AND HEALTH ENHANCEMENT FOR WOMEN EXPERIENCING ABUSE*

### **A TOOLKIT FOR HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS AND PLANNERS**

**JILL CORY AND LYNDA DECHIEF**

**LOUISE GODARD, CONTRIBUTOR**

© 2007

Library and Archives Canada Cataloguing in Publication Data

Cory, Jill, 1957-

SHE framework : Safety & Health Enhancement for women experiencing abuse : a toolkit for providers and planners.

Available also on the Internet.

Funded by: National Crime Prevention Centre.

ISBN 978-0-7726-5729-9


1. Abused women – Services for - British Columbia. 2. Abused women – Health and hygiene - British Columbia. 3. Women – Health and hygiene - British Columbia. 4. Women's health services - British Columbia. 5. Wife abuse. I. BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre. Woman Abuse Response Program. II. Title.

HV6626.23.C3C67 2007 362.82'9209711 C2007-970074-3

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 2.5 Canada License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ca/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

# IV

## chapter four



## IV. SHE Toolkit

The *SHE Toolkit* is a structured risk/safety assessment process to identify and eliminate harms for women experiencing abuse and to implement proven or promising safety and health enhancement measures. The *SHE Toolkit* has been developed as a step-by-step guide for a team of health care providers, planners and policymakers and their community partners to identify compounding harms within their health care setting, using the two contrasting models to guide the process. Any health care setting can embark on this review process – a clinic, a unit of a hospital, an entire institution, a provincial program, even a health region. Essentially, this review process is about transforming practices and policies from the *Compounding Harms Model* into the righted *SHE Model* to enhance the safety and health of women who have been impacted by abuse.

“A gap between women’s experienced reality of violence and institutional reality is potentially produced in each and every case management step in processing a case. The opportunity for institutions to create reality rather than to respond to the empirical social world of victims is great.”  
- Ellen Pence [81]

The Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) Process will take between six months and one year to complete and has four major components:

- A. Establishing the Safety and Health Enhancement Team;
- B. Using the *SHE Models* and *Evidence Paper* to guide the identification of compounding harms relevant to the health setting under review;

- C. Developing a Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan for the team’s health setting; and
- D. Implementing the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan in both the short- and long-term.

A step-by-step description of each of these components is found in The Steps of the SHE Process section below.

### Why conduct a Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) Process?

“By using the safety and accountability audit<sup>7</sup> as a method of seeing how unintended and harmful case outcomes are produced in the complex maze of multi-agency interventions, advocates and reform activists have been able to deepen their focus on women’s safety.”

- Ellen Pence and Martha McMahon [299]

The *SHE Toolkit* guides a team of practitioners through a review of their health care setting using the illustrated contrasting models - *Compounding Harms* and *Safety and Health Enhancement* - as a guide. Each of the five tiers within these models pinpoints priority areas for review, assessment and action. The SHE Process is always conducted from the perspective of women impacted by abuse, which guides the SHE Team to uncover the sources of risk in the system and point to safety and health enhancing measures. The focus is on the fit, or lack of fit, between her experience as a woman being abused and the institution’s interpretation of her situation as a case to be treated.

“Adopting a standpoint grounded in the experiences of the battered woman herself diverts

7 The concept of conducting Health and Safety Enhancement audits draws on the extensive work of Dr. Ellen Pence, whose work in safety audits spans decades and has a history of positive results in the legal and child protection

fields. We have adapted this approach for the health care system in the SHE toolkit.

the team from the common tendency to want to address the legal, bureaucratic, and professional structures of the organization as a whole or to critique the idiosyncratic actions of individuals within the system. Instead, the attention is on institutional processes. It traces institutions as sequences of organizational activity. This is the audit's innovative contribution. ”

- Pence and McMahon [299]

### **Benefits of the SHE Toolkit**

Two goals of the Safety and Health Enhancement Process are to:

- Assess dimensions of risk within each of the five tiers of the models, keeping a central focus on women's safety; and
- Increase protective measures in order to improve health and safety outcomes for women.

Two major tasks of the Safety and Health Enhancement Process are to:

- Locate where enhanced health and safety can be built into the system; and
- Translate safety and accountability into concrete practices [298].

### **The steps of the SHE Process**

The SHE Process requires the commitment of a small group of dedicated individuals with the belief in and ability to enact the transformation of their health setting into one better equipped to enhance the health and safety of women impacted by abuse.

The 16 Safety and Health Enhancement Steps include:

#### **A. Establishing the Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) Team**

STEP 1 Identify potential team members and share the SHE Framework

STEP 2 Determine Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) Co-Coordinator

STEP 3 Initial meetings – Discuss process and establish commitment

STEP 4 Initial meetings – Reach agreement on Consensus Statements

#### **B. Using the SHE Models and Evidence Paper to guide the identification of compounding harms**

STEP 5 Team members take away SHE Models and Evidence Paper for in-depth review

STEP 6 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier 1:  
Violence Against Women

STEP 7 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier 2:  
Health Impacts

The SHE Process:

- Provides a process for reviewing institutional ways of organizing and coordinating work to identify unintended outcomes that may not be visible or known to health care providers. Focusing on institutional transformation takes the focus off individual health care providers' performance. The SHE approach is about reviewing the process, not the people;
- Identifies systemic practices which overshadow individual safety needs of women and jeopardize the safety and health enhancement of women;
- Describes the ways in which women experience violence and ways in which agencies take up those experiences as cases to be treated;
- Creates a dialogue between representatives of the health institution, women-serving organizations, and the women whose lives are being treated;
- Helps to close the gap between the perspectives of women experiencing abuse and the health sector; and
- Enables health care providers, planners and policy makers to create safer environments and practices for women experiencing abuse.

- STEP 8 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier 3:  
Access to Health Care
- STEP 9 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier 4:  
Health Practices
- STEP 10 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier 5:  
Policy and Research
- STEP 11 Coordinator(s) compile(s) master list of compounding harms for all five tiers onto the Rating  
Risk and Feasibility Worksheets

**C. *Developing a Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan for the team’s health setting***

- STEP 12 Team meets to discuss and rate the “risk” of each compounding harm (identified in STEPS 6-10) and identify and rate the “feasibility” of safety and health enhancement measures for each of the identified harms
- STEP 13 Between meetings, co-coordinators order the safety and health enhancement measures based on ranking and transfer them into the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan
- STEP 14 Team meets to review the priorities listed in the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan and determine implementation steps, responsibility and timeline

**D. *Implementing the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan in both the short- and long-term***

- STEP 15 Team implements short- and long-term action items
- STEP 16 Team continues to meet for follow-up and to review implementation process.

These steps are described in greater detail below, using examples from our pilot in mental health and addictions services in the community of Powell River, British Columbia.

## **A. Establishing the Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) Team**

The first four steps are undertaken in order to establish a team comprised of individuals from the health sector and anti-violence agencies.

### ***STEP 1 Identify potential team members and share SHE Framework***

In all likelihood, the SHE Framework has come to the attention of one or a few people who see the immense value in this process. The goal now is to identify allies in both the health and anti-violence women’s sectors who share an understanding of the dynamics of woman abuse, who are interested in and able to participate in such a process, and who represent a range of perspectives from front-line workers to decision-makers. Partnerships that already exist can be built on, and other relationships may need to be initiated. It is key that practice and protocols are analyzed by those involved in using them, that the people responsible for making changes within a health area are present and supportive, and that the experiences of women in abusive relationships are brought forward by advocates from community-based anti-violence organizations.

***Examples from Powell River...***

In Powell River, the need for the SHE Process was initially identified through the Health Subcommittee of the local Coordinating Committee on Women’s Safety. That first group then asked themselves “Who else needs to be here?”, keeping in mind the need for participants from within the health sector and anti-violence organizations, as well as representation from front-line and management.

The group should be large enough that appropriate representation is achieved and the workload can be shared, but not so big that dialogue and consensus-building becomes difficult. We suggest between 6 and 12 team members.

#### **Examples from Powell River...**

In Powell River, there were eight team members, who represented front-line and managerial positions in addiction and mental health services, Specialized Victim Support Services, Stopping the Violence outreach, and the local transition house.

Sharing the SHE Framework, or the pamphlet developed from it, with potential team members will be useful in giving them an idea of the process that will be undertaken and the guiding models that will be used.

It may also be helpful at this stage to host a workshop in your community or health area on the SHE Framework in order to raise awareness and identify interested team members.<sup>8</sup>

### **STEP 2 Determine Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) Team Co-coordinators**

It is important to have dedicated people responsible for coordinating the team. Responsibilities include setting up meetings, facilitating the process, and compiling documents based on information gathered at the meetings, and sharing those documents with the team. We suggest having two co-coordinators, one from health and one from the anti-violence women's sector, sharing the responsibility.

Ideally, the coordinators would have the support of their supervisor/institution to include this work as part of their job description rather than "working off the side of their desk".

Alternately, the coordinating responsibilities could be rotated amongst team members, who would take turns organizing meetings, facilitating the group process and compiling and distributing documents.

### **STEP 3 Initial meetings – Discuss process and establish commitment**

The first meeting with potential team members is the opportunity for everyone to ask questions, discuss potential opportunities and challenges, share their vision of the process and outcomes, and determine commitment to using the *toolkit*. If it is determined that not all the necessary people are at the meeting, this is an opportunity to identify and invite additional members.

It may be useful to invite the SHE Framework's authors to this first meeting to answer questions potential team members may have.

The process will involve at least eight meetings, including the initial meetings, with some work to be done between most of the meetings. Meetings can be scheduled at intervals that work best for the team and so that all team members can participate in all meetings. A good schedule of meetings will be frequent enough to allow for team members to complete the required work between meetings but not lose the momentum of the process.

Once established, the Powell River SHE Team met approximately once a month for three hours for a period of seven months, with almost full attendance at each meeting.

In this first meeting, it is important that the scope of the health setting under review is determined. This will ensure that the team is clear on what aspects of health care they should be thinking about when working through each of the tiers. Once established, this can be added to the SHE Coversheet (Appendix B), along with the SHE Team's starting date, members and coordinators.

It is also imperative to discuss group process and decision making. Decisions must be made at this point regarding

<sup>8</sup> Please contact the Woman Abuse Response Program to set this up.

the level of participation expected of each other and how the team will share information outside of the process. Mutual respect and equal acknowledgment for each team members' unique contribution is vital to the success of the process, given the mandate to redress imbalances of power within health care and between sectors. Notes specific to the SHE Team's discussion about each topic can be compiled by the coordinators in the spaces provided on the SHE Coversheet.

<b>Group commitment to:</b>
» <b>confidentiality within the team</b> <i>notes:</i>
» <b>how consensus will be reached</b> <i>notes:</i>
» <b>participation in the process</b> <i>notes:</i>
» <b>the use of materials and outcomes</b> <i>notes:</i>
» <b>respect for the knowledge and experience of each team member</b> <i>notes:</i>
» <b>equality amongst team members</b> <i>notes:</i>

## STEP 4 Initial meetings - Reach agreement on Consensus Statements

This step can happen as part of the first meeting or as a stand-alone meeting.

The Consensus Statements will determine the core commitments and guiding principles of the team. Establishing a common understanding about violence against women and how the group works together prior to embarking on the SHE Process will create a safe and productive SHE Team. Therefore we encourage the group to spend as much time as necessary discussing and reaching agreement on the Consensus Statements. We have provided a number of statements that reflect what is essential in the SHE Process and encourage you to revise and refine as necessary and add what you feel is missing to reflect your unique community and team.

The Consensus Statement worksheet (Appendix C) is divided into two columns. The left column includes the proposed consensus statements. The right column reflects key points that we hope you will consider in the discussion of each statement. Coming to consensus on these underlying principles is key to the success of the SHE Process.

Our suggested Consensus Statements<sup>9</sup> include:

<b>CONSENSUS STATEMENTS</b>
Woman abuse advocates and health care providers who have initiated and joined this process are allies and bring a wealth of knowledge that will contribute to the SHE Process.
The SHE approach is about reviewing your health setting, not reviewing individuals and their practice. In identifying compounding harms and potential risks, there is no implication of intentional harm and rarely a single reason for unintended outcomes.
Women's reality of experiencing violence and abuse are complex and must be central to the SHE Process.
Woman abuse is rooted in gender inequality.
Women are not responsible for the abuse they are experiencing.
Improving women's safety in health encounters and health settings is the primary goal of the SHE Process.
Change takes time.

9 Adapted from Pence and McDonnell [298]

Revisions to the Consensus Statements can be recorded by the coordinators to compile and distribute to team members for final agreement along with the completed SHE Coversheet.

## **B. Using the SHE Models and Evidence Paper to guide the identification of compounding harms relevant to the health setting**

In the next seven steps, the team explores the *SHE Models* and *Evidence Paper* in-depth. Guided by the *SHE Evidence Paper*, the team members identify compounding harms within the health setting under review. This process will be employed for each of the five tiers, one meeting per tier.

The coordinators will compile the compounding harms on the Rating Risk and Feasibility Worksheets (Appendix D) and are also encouraged to track any safety and health enhancement measures and actions that are proposed, all of which will be used in Step 12.

### ***STEP 5 Team members take away SHE Models and Evidence Paper for in-depth review***

Team members will now take the SHE Framework away with them for in-depth review. If they have not done so already, members will read the introductory chapters, including the overview of the *Compounding Harms* and *Safety and Health Enhancing Models*.

Each member will then work through Tier 1: Violence Against Women in the *Evidence Paper*, making note in the spaces provided down the sides of the pages where evidence is relevant to the health area being examined. Team members can use the examples indicated in the evidence for compounding harms to assess whether aspects of their health setting have the potential to compound the harms experienced by women in abusive relationships. While reviewing the Safety and Health Enhancement section of the tier, notes can be made of promising safety and health enhancement measures that are already in place in the health area.

Throughout the examination of each of the five tiers, team members can use a variety of methods for examining the health setting, such as:

- Reviewing forms;
- Examining how the activities of practitioners shape individual interchanges;
- Reading charts to understand how documentation reflects interactions and health care providers' assumptions about women;
- Reflecting on routine practices and procedures and the culture of the health setting;
- Reviewing policies and manuals; and
- Observing the physical environment.

Anti-violence workers can reflect on health care experiences of women they have supported, as well as on their own services in terms of risks and safety measures.

### ***STEP 6 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier One: Violence Against Women***

The goal of this meeting is to generate a list of Tier One compounding harms for the health setting under review. We suggest a minimum of a two-hour meeting. In our experience these meetings serve an educational purpose for the team as well as an opportunity to discuss the compounding harms identified.



The team may also want to invite the authors of the SHE Framework to this meeting to help guide the process and facilitate discussion about violence against women.

Team members will bring in notes from their in-depth review of Tier One in the *Evidence Paper* to share and discuss. Working through the tier together, the team will generate a list of compounding harms, to be put in the first column of the Tier One Rating Risk and Feasibility Worksheet (Appendix D). We suggest that the coordinators use a blank template, such as a flipchart, to record all of the identified risks. The coordinators can then input this into an electronic copy which will be disseminated for STEP 12.

<b>Examples from Powell River... Tier One: Violence Against Women</b>				
<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Women feel judged regarding their personal experiences related to mental health and substance use	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
Women and health care providers do not always understand the dynamics of abuse, power and control	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	

Coordinators will compile and keep the team’s list, and may need to keep a ‘parking lot’ of compounding harms that relate to other tiers as well as any safety and health enhancing measures to be applied later.

The team then goes away and repeats the process for Tiers Two - Five, meeting to discuss each tier separately.

### **STEP 7 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier Two: Health Impacts**

Again, the team meets to discuss and generate a list of compounding harms identified in this tier for the health area under review.

<b>Example from Powell River... Tier Two: Health Impacts</b>				
<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Health care providers and women don’t fully understand health impacts of abuse.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
Links between woman abuse, substance use and mental health are not always made eg. what health care providers see as primary, women may see as secondary and vice versa	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	

### STEP 8 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier Three: Access to Health Care

Again, the team meets to discuss and generate a list of compounding harms identified in this tier for the health area under review.

<b>Examples from Powell River... Tier Three: Access to Health Care</b>				
<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Women want support groups but don't want to go through a mental health intake and have a mental health diagnosis.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
Women are hesitant to call police or access health care.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
Health care parking lot in a small community cannot maintain confidentiality, security or anonymity. Therefore, women can't safely access health care setting.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	

### STEP 9 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in the health setting related to Tier Four: Health Practices

Again, the team meets to discuss and generate a list of compounding harms identified in this tier for the health area under review.

<b>Examples from Powell River... Tier Four: Health Practices</b>				
<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Charting, creating permanent medical records may be a problem when used as legal notes.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
Look for symptoms and interpret symptoms from a mental illness paradigm which may result in treating secondary problems and not addressing primary issues or safety concerns.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	

### STEP 10 Team meets to identify and discuss compounding harms in their health setting related to Tier Five: Policy and Research

Again, the team meets to discuss and generate a list of compounding harms identified in this tier for the health area under review.

<b>Examples from Powell River... Tier Five: Policy and Research</b>				
<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Provincial Post-partum Depression Framework doesn't include link between violence against women and mental health.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
Health research is focused on quantitative data. It is difficult to get funding for qualitative research to investigate women's health care experiences and needs.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
The Canadian criminal code doesn't include psychological abuse, making these forms of abuse and their health impacts less visible.	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	

### **STEP 11 Coordinators compile master list of compounding harms for all five tiers onto the Rating Risk and Feasibility Worksheets**

After the team has met to review each of the five tiers, the coordinators can compile all of the compounding harms onto the Rating Risk and Feasibility Worksheets (Appendix D).

## **C. Developing a Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan for the team's health setting**

The next three steps guide the team through the prioritizing of risk reduction, identifying and ranking feasibility of corresponding safety and health enhancement measures using the Rating Risk and Feasibility Worksheets (Appendix D) and the development of a Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan (Appendix E).

### **STEP 12 Team meets to discuss and rate the "risk" of each compounding harm (identified in STEPS 6-10) and identify and rate the "feasibility" of safety and health enhancement measures for each of the identified harms**

At this meeting, each team member has a copy of the Rating Risk and Feasibility Worksheets which the coordinator has compiled. This enables the team to see the entire list of compounding harms they have generated over the past five meetings for each of the tiers. The team will go through the list together, deciding for each compounding harm the level of risk it poses to women experiencing abuse: (3) for high risk or urgent issue, (2) for moderate risk, and (1) for low risk to women. Each team will define these a little differently but just need to remain consistent throughout the process.

Next, the team can start identifying safety and health enhancement measures for each of the identified harms. Most likely, throughout the previous steps team members have identified possible safety measures which the coordinators have been keeping track of. These can now be revisited and transferred onto the Rating Risk and

Feasibility Worksheets. Once the list of safety and health enhancement measures is completed, the team can begin to rank the feasibility of each measure. For each safety and health enhancement measure the team will assign a feasibility rating: (3) for completely do-able, (2) for challenging but still possible, and (1) for unlikely or not possible. Again, each team will define these a little differently but just need to remain consistent throughout the process.

When assessing feasibility it is important to take into consideration a number of factors, such as:

- The time line required for implementing the identified SHE measure (short or long term);
- Available resources, both financial and human capital;
- Capacity of health setting to implement measure;
- Support of management and decision makers; and
- Willingness of relevant stakeholders to be involved.

Thus, every compounding harm listed should have a corresponding safety and health enhancement measure. If safety and health enhancement measures were identified through the team's review of the tiers that do not relate to an identified compounding harm, the team can now identify the compounding harm that these safety and health enhancement measures are addressing, and add them to the second column of the worksheet.

An example from the Powell River SHE Team's worksheets:

<b>Examples from Powell River... Worksheet: Rating Risk and Feasibility</b>				
<b>COMPOUNDING HARMES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>RISK High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Women feel judged regarding their personal experiences related to mental health and substance use	2	Throughout interaction, emphasize woman's strengths and what she is doing to stay safe	3	5
Women and health care providers do not always understand the dynamics of abuse, power and control	3	Provide pamphlets outlining broader health impacts of abuse Education and training for nurses and doctors	3 2	6 5
Women hesitant to call police or access health care	3	Training for police Ensuring nurses and physicians involve police only with women's permission.	1 2	4 5
Charting, creating permanent medical records that may be a problem when used as legal notes	3	Grand rounds video conference on charting, based on <i>Reasonable Doubt</i>	3	6
Post-partum Depression framework doesn't include violence against women	3	Colleagues at BC Women's to arrange meeting with Reproductive Mental Health to discuss framework.	2	5

### **STEP 13** *Between meetings, co-coordinators order the safety and health enhancement measures based on ranking and transfer them into the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan*

For each of the ranked compounding harms and its corresponding safety and health enhancement measures, the coordinators add up the total. The safety and health enhancement measures are then transferred onto the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan (Appendix E) based on their total rank, in order from the highest number to the lowest.

From Powell River's example worksheets, the priority list would be:

- Provide pamphlets outlining broader health impacts of abuse (6 POINTS)
- Grand rounds video conference on charting, based on Reasonable Doubt (6 POINTS)
- Throughout interaction, emphasize woman's strengths and what she is doing to stay safe (5 POINTS)
- Education and training for nurses and doctors (5 POINTS)
- Ensuring nurses and physicians involve police only with women's permission (5 POINTS)
- Colleagues at BC Women's to arrange meeting with Reproductive Mental Health to discuss framework (5 POINTS)
- Training for police (4 POINTS)

Thus, the safety and health enhancing measures which address the greatest risks to women and which are most feasible to implement are at the top of the list. Actions which either address a lesser risk to women or which are determined by the team to be harder to implement (ie. providing training to police in the case of Powell River) are lower down on the list. It is important, however, that none of the items are actually dropped from the list, only ranked in order of priority.

### **STEP 14 Team meets to review the priorities listed in the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan and determine implementation steps, responsibility and timeline**

At this stage, the team meets to discuss how to put the prioritized safety and health enhancement measures into action. For each item, the team decides on the steps required to implement the action, the person responsible for taking the lead on it, and the dates different steps will be complete. An example from Powell River:

<b>Examples from Powell River... WORKSHEET: Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan</b>			
<b>Safety and Health Enhancing Measures</b> <i>(transferred from Risk and Feasibility Worksheet)</i> <b>(What will be done?)</b>	<b>Implementation</b> <i>(How will it be done?)</i>	<b>Responsible Agency/ Person</b> <i>(Who will take the lead?)</i>	<b>Timeline</b> <i>(When will it be done?)</i>
Provide pamphlets outlining broader health impacts of abuse	Review & update resource material and information in waiting room Create pamphlets and have copies of book <i>When Love Hurts</i>	Stopping the Violence, Specialized Victim Support Services & Transition House MHAS Team	February 2007
Grand rounds video conference on charting, based on Reasonable Doubt	Plan education for all staff re: accurate charting & duty to report with possible panel discussion including RNABC, VCH Legal, BC Women's Hospital	Woman Abuse Response Program MHAS Team Vancouver Coastal Legal Dept.	May 2007
Throughout interaction emphasize woman's strengths and what she is doing to stay safe	Train ER nurses & physicians to shift from medical model to women centred care approach Focus on harm reduction, women-centred care, safety first by MHAS staff Discuss at regular Tuesday team meetings to ensure ongoing focus on women's safety	WARP  MHAS Manager  MHAS Manager and Team	May 2007  Ongoing  Ongoing

If safety and health enhancement measures already in place in the health setting were identified during the review of the tiers, these can be included in the plan and marked 'completed' in the timeline. It is important to recognize and build on the work that may already be underway in enhancing the health and safety of women impacted by abuse.

## D. Implementing the Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan in both the short- and long-term

### *STEP 15 Team implements short- and long-term action items*

This is the most important step, yet the most difficult to describe how to do. The unique Action Plan each team has created for their specific health setting will require very different approaches for implementation. What is key, however, is the commitment each team member and their respective organization maintains in continuing to further the goals of the plan in both the short and long-term.

In Powell River, the SHE Team will continue to meet as part of the Health Subcommittee of the local Coordinating Committee for Women's Safety to report on continued successes.

The team may want to prepare a report on its Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan for wider distribution within the health setting, and to invite more people to participate in implementing the identified changes.

We hope that you will share your plan with us and with other health settings who are undertaking the SHE Process.

### *STEP 16 Team continues to meet for follow-up and to review implementation process.*

To ensure the continued commitment and overall success of the SHE Team in implementing their Safety and Health Enhancement Plan, the team should continue to meet at regular intervals for follow up and check in. This will provide team members with an opportunity to collectively problem solve any barriers to implementing the actions that arise as well as for sharing successes.

## Conclusion

We commend you for working to make a difference in the lives of women who have been impacted by abuse and violence and who continue to suffer the health consequences. You will note that the Powell River SHE Team considered the SHE Process simply the beginning of addressing what is needed for women's safety in health care. The process of transforming health care to better support women's safety and health is one that will continue indefinitely. We hope that the SHE Framework has been a useful guide in helping you to start this process or simply supporting a process that was already underway.

Finally, we leave you with some of the positive outcomes reported by the Safety and Health Enhancement Team in Powell River through participating in the SHE Process in their mental health and addictions service area:

“It was validating to find common ground between community workers and mental health and addictions services and to acknowledge the connection between mental health, substance use and woman abuse.”

““This process has made me really think carefully about how the practices and policies in the program I coordinate might create additional harms and barriers for women. ””

““The SHE Process has made me examine my assumptions about abused women and I will hold dialogue with my agency on identifying established practices that may be echoing the dynamics of abuse and re-traumatizing the women we serve. ””


““I have learned the importance of decreasing the barriers in all aspects of service to abused women and the need for addressing policy and research to create systemic change. ””

““I have realized how important it is to work with other sectors, because we are often serving the same woman. ””

““The SHE Process has motivated me to work towards a women-centred approach in our services. ””

## Safety and Health Enhancement Model

- TIER 1** Violence Against Women
- TIER 2** Health Impacts
- TIER 3** Access to Health Care
- TIER 4** Health Practices
- TIER 5** Policy and Research



““The problem of violence against women is enormous and troubling. There are no easy answers. The health sector cannot solve it alone. Still, with sensitivity and commitment, it can begin to make a difference. ””

- World Health Organization [81]

## Afterword

We embarked on this project because we saw that the health system lacked the necessary models to truly incorporate women's experiences of abuse and was therefore not attentive enough to women's safety needs. Our thinking has continued to evolve since we first made these observations and began writing the SHE Framework. So, too, has the field evolved. Impressive contributions have come from health organizations that have made the links between gender-based violence and health and who view violence against women as rooted in gender inequality in our society. Women's health research is also contributing to our knowledge about the enormous health burdens that women bear and the barriers they face as a result of being subjected to abuse, emphasizing important health sector responsibilities. The SHE Framework cannot capture all the evolving evidence, but it does provide the analytic structure for measuring the contribution of new research. Using the SHE Framework, additional or emerging evidence can be evaluated through the lens of the *Compounding Harms* and *Safety and Health Enhancement Models* to assess its merits. In our quest for evidence-based practice, we must also not forget about practice-based evidence.

One thing is clear from the evidence: we cannot continue to focus on individual women and on micro-level practices. We can no longer justify the promotion of practices that focus on women changing their circumstances by themselves. We must use our positions of knowledge, privilege and decision-making to support system-level change, to be more accountable to women and their safety.

Still, the health sector continues to grapple with the question of whether to implement micro-level practices. Recently, at an international health and violence conference we attended, screening for woman abuse was still a primary discourse. At the same time, we were excited to hear new discourses emerging that focused more on women's safety and on macro-level practices. However, we saw that the desire on the part of many researchers and practitioners to look at new practices and ideas still seemed constrained by the idea that rejecting screening as an intervention would mean we were doing nothing. We hope that the SHE Framework demonstrates that there is much we can do and that the work must be directed at all levels of health care to find solutions. If we are truly interested in enhancing women's safety and health, the SHE Framework confirms that we must include macro-level changes.

The *SHE Toolkit* was created because we know it is not enough to have evidence. We must take action. Evidence must make an actual contribution to women's safety. There are flaws in the system, but there is also much hope. We have documented many promising policies, practices and programs. Compiling this information in the SHE Framework is the start of transforming evidence into action. Ultimately, however, it will be SHE Teams who take the knowledge and evidence from the SHE Framework and transform their work and the health system. This will move the health sector towards the goal of providing safe health care for women impacted by abuse.



# References

1. Hanvey, L. and D. Kinnon, The health care sector's response to woman abuse: a discussion paper for the Family Violence Prevention Division. 1993, Health Canada: Ottawa.
2. A framework for women-centred health. 2001, Vancouver/Richmond Health Board: Vancouver.
3. Barnett, R., S. White, and T. Horne, Voices from the front lines: models of women-centred care in Manitoba and Saskatchewan. 2002, Prairie Women's Health Centre of Excellence: Winnipeg, Manitoba.
4. Cory, J., Women-centred care: a curriculum for health care providers. 2007, Vancouver Coastal Health Authority and BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre: Vancouver, BC.
5. Hills, M. and J. Mullet, The Women-Centred Care Project. 1998, Ministry of Health: Victoria, BC.
6. Morrow, M.H., Demonstrating progress: innovations in women's mental health. 2003, British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver, BC.
7. Morrow, M.H. and C.M. Varcoe, Violence against women: improving the health care response. 2001, Women's Health Bureau, British Columbia Ministry of Health.
8. Pittman, P., Gendered experiences of health care. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 1999. 11(5): p. 397-405.
9. Taylor, D. and C. Dower, Toward a women-centred health care systems: Women's experiences, women's voices, women's needs. *Health Care for Women International*, 1997. 18: p. 407-22.
10. Dechief, L., Care, control and connection: health-care experiences of women in abusive intimate relationships, in *Department of Health Care and Epidemiology*. 2003, University of British Columbia: Vancouver.
11. Nurses' experience implementing screening. 2001, BC Women's Woman Abuse Response Program: Vancouver, BC.
12. Preventing violence: A guide to implementing the recommendations of the World report on violence and health. 2004, World Health Organization: Geneva.
13. Ellsberg, M. and L. Heise, Bearing witness: ethics in domestic violence research. *Lancet*, 2002. 359: p. 1599-604.
14. World report on violence and health. 2002, World Health Organization: Geneva.
15. UN Declaration on Elimination of Violence Against Women,. 1993, United Nations.
16. El-Bayoumi, G., M.L. Borum, and Y. Haywood, Domestic violence in women. *Medical Clinics of North America*, 1998. 82(2): p. 391-401.
17. Watts, C. and C. Zimmerman, Violence against women: global scope and magnitude. *The Lancet*, 2002. 359: p. 1232-7.
18. Heise, L.L., et al., Violence against women: a neglected public health issues in less developed countries. *Social Science and Medicine*, 1994. 39(9): p. 1165-79.
19. Ratner, P., Societal responses as moderators of the health consequences of wife abuse, in *School of Nursing*. 1995, University of Alberta: Edmonton.
20. Dobash, R.E. and R. Dobash, Women, violence and social change. 1992, London: Routledge.
21. Bosch, X., Spain's government puts domestic violence protection plan as top priority. *The Lancet*, 2001. 357: p. 9269.
22. Yam, M., Wife abuse: strategies for a therapeutic response. *Scholarly Inquiry for Nursing Practice*, 1995. 9(2): p. 147-58.
23. The progress of nations. 1997, UNICEF.
24. Intimate partner violence: facts. 2002, World Health Organization: Geneva.
25. Baleta, A., Studies reveal the extent of domestic violence in South Africa. *The Lancet*, 1999. 354: p. 9178.
26. Hathaway, J.E., et al., Health status and health care use of Massachusetts women reporting partner abuse. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 302-7.
27. Plichta, S.B., M.M. Duncan, and L. Plichta, Spouse abuse, patient-physician communication, and patient satisfaction. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 1996. 12(5): p. 297-303.
28. Jones, A.S., et al., Annual and lifetime prevalence of partner abuse in a sample of female HMO enrollees. *Women's Health Issues*, 1999. 9: p. 295-305.
29. Smith, P.H., et al., A population-based study of the prevalence and distinctiveness of battering, physical assault, and sexual assault in intimate relationships. *Violence Against Women*, 2002. 8(10): p. 1208-32.
30. Multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence against women. 2006, World Health Organization: Geneva.
31. Bagshaw, D. and D. Chung, Gender politics and research: Male and female violence in intimate relationship. *Women Against Violence: An Australian Feminist Journal*, 2000. 8: p. 4-23.
32. MacLeod, L., Battered but not beaten: preventing wife battering in Canada. 1987, Ottawa: Canadian Advisory Council on the Status of Women.
33. Kelly, L., The continuum of sexual violence, in *Women, violence and social control*, J. Hanmer and M. Maynard, Editors. 1987, Macmillan: London.
34. Fischbach, R. and B. Herbert, Domestic violence and mental health: correlates and conundrums within and across cultures. *Social Science and Medicine*, 1997. 45(8): p. 1161-76.
35. Violence against women survey. 1993, Statistics Canada: Ottawa.
36. Ratner, P.A., The incidence of wife abuse and mental health status in abused wives in Edmonton, Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 1993. 84: p. 246-9.

37. DeKeseredy, W.S. and L. MacLeod, *Woman abuse: a sociological story*. 1997, Toronto: Harcourt Brace.
38. Bachman, R., *Violence against women: a national crime victimization survey report*. 1994, US Department of Justice: Washington, DC.
39. *Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile*. 2002, Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics: Ottawa.
40. Dauvergne, M. and G. Li, *Homicide in Canada. Juristat: Service Bulletin*, Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics, 2005. 26(6).
41. Straus, M.A. and R.J. Gelles, *Physical violence in American families: risk factors and adaptations to violence in 8145 families*. 1990, New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Publishers.
42. Gelles, R.J. and M.A. Straus, *Intimate violence: the causes and consequences of abuse in the American family*. 1988, New York: Simon and Shuster.
43. Cascardi, M., J. Langhinrichsen, and D. Vivian, *Marital aggression: impact, injury, and health correlates for husbands and wives*. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 1992. 152: p. 1178-84.
44. Jiwani, Y., *The 1999 General Social Survey on Spousal Violence: an analysis*. *Canadian Women's Studies*, 2000. 20(3): p. 36.
45. Ratner, P.A., *Modeling acts of aggression and dominance as wife abuse and exploring their adverse health effects*. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1998. 60: p. 453-65.
46. Saunders, D.G., *When battered women use violence: husband-abuse or self-defense? Violence and Victims*, 1986. 1: p. 47-60.
47. McPherson, C., *Tackling violence against women with disabilities*. *Canadian Women's Studies*, 1991. 12(1): p. 63-5.
48. Varcoe, C.M., *Inequality, violence, and women's health, in Health, illness and health care in Canada*, B.S. Bolaria and H.D. Dickinson, Editors. 2002, Nelson Thomson: Scarborough. p. 211-30.
49. Jiwani, Y., et al., *Intersecting inequalities: immigrant women of colour, violence and health care*. 2001, The FREDa Centre for Research on Violence against Women and Children: Vancouver.
50. Shin, M.Y., *Immigrant and racial minority women organize*. *Canadian Women's Studies*, 1991. 12(1): p. 55-7.
51. McFarlane, J., et al., *Abuse Assessment Screen-Disability (AAS-D): measuring frequency, type, and perpetrator of abuse toward women with physical disabilities*. *Journal of Women's Health and Gender-Based Medicine*, 2001. 10(9): p. 861-6.
52. *Beating the odds: violence against women with disabilities*. Position paper #2. 1989, DisAbleD Women's Network (DAWN): Toronto.
53. *Woman abuse: information from the National Clearinghouse on Family Violence*. 2002, Health Canada: Ottawa.
54. Chenoweth, L., *Violence and women with disabilities: silence and paradox*. *Violence Against Women*, 1996. 2(3): p. 391-411.
55. Razack, S., *What is to be gained by looking white people in the eye? Culture, race and gender in cases of sexual violence*. *Signs*, 1994. 19(4): p. 894-923.
56. *Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile*. 2000, Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics, Statistics Canada: Ottawa.
57. Bullock, L., et al., *The prevalence and characteristics of battered women in a primary care setting*. *Nurse Practitioner*, 1989. 14(6): p. 47-56.
58. McLeer, S.V. and R. Anwar, *A study of battered women presenting in an emergency department*. *American Journal of Public Health*, 1989. 79(1): p. 65-6.
59. Larkin, J., *Barriers to equal education, in Sexual harassment: high school girls speak out*. 1994. p. 1-40.
60. Campbell, J.C., et al., *Reproductive health consequences of intimate partner violence: a nursing research overview*. *Clinical Nursing Research*, 2000. 9(3): p. 217-37.
61. Pinn, V.W. and M.T. Chunko, *The diverse faces of violence: minority women and domestic abuse*. *Academic Medicine*, 1997. 72(Supplement): p. S65-S71.
62. *Moving towards change: strengthening the response of British Columbia's health-care system to violence against women*. A report to the Minister Responsible for Seniors and the Minister of Women's Equality. 1999, Minister's Advisory Council in Women's Health: Victoria.
63. Browne, A., J. Fiske, and G. Thomas, *First Nations women's encounters with mainstream health care services and systems*. 2000, BC Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver.
64. Jiwani, Y., *Race, gender, violence and health care: immigrant women of colour who have experienced violence and their encounters with the health care system*. 2000, Feminist Research, Education, Development & Action: Vancouver. p. 1-12.
65. Woelz-Stirling, N., M. Kelaher, and L. Manderson, *Power and the politics of abuse: rethinking violence in Filipina-Australian marriages*. *Health Care for Women International*, 1998. 19: p. 289-301.
66. MacLeod, L. and M. Shin, *Isolated, afraid and forgotten: the service delivery needs and realities of immigrant and refugee women who are battered*. 1990, National Clearinghouse of Family Violence, Health and Welfare Canada: Ottawa.
67. Haile-Mariam, T. and J. Smith, *Domestic violence against women in the international community*. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*, 1999. 17(3): p. 617-30, vi.
68. Harris, M., et al., *The road to freedom: ending violence against women*. *Women's Health Issues*, 1997. 7(2): p. 99-108.
69. Adler, C., *Unheard and unseen: rural women and domestic violence*. *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery*, 1996. 41(6): p. 463-6.
70. Broaddus, T. and G. Merrill, *Selections from the annual report on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender domestic violence*. 1998, The National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs: San Francisco.
71. Ristock, J., *The impact of violence on mental health: a guide to the literature*. 1995, Manitoba Research Centre on Family Violence and Violence Against Women and The Mental Health Division, Health Promotion and Programs Branch, Health Canada.

72. Margolies, L. and E. Leeder, Violence at the door: treatment of lesbian batterers. *Violence Against Women*, 1996. 2(3): p. 139-57.
73. Lowman, J. and L. Fraser, Violence against persons who prostitute: the experience in British Columbia. 1996, Department of Justice: Ottawa.
74. Loue, S., Intimate partner violence: societal, medical, legal and individual responses. 2001, Kluwer: New York.
75. Jeffreys, S., The spinster and her enemies: feminism and sexuality 1880-1930. 1985, London: Pandora Press. 1-26, 186-96.
76. Kelly, L., How women define their experiences of violence, in *Feminist perspectives on wife abuse*, K. Yllo and M. Bograd, Editors. 1988, Sage: Newbury Park.
77. Ending violence against women: from words to action. 2006, United Nations.
78. Varcoe, C.M., F. Jaffer, and L. Irvin, Abuse through child custody and access: a critical site of women's work. 2001, Women In Action: Vancouver.
79. Cory, J., et al., Reasonable doubt: the use of health records in criminal and civil cases of violence against women in relationships. 2002, Woman Abuse Response Program, British Columbia Women's Hospital and Health Centre: Vancouver.
80. Meeting on the role of the health sector in violence against women. 1997, Women's Health and Development, World Health Organization: Copenhagen, Denmark.
81. Violence against women information pack: a priority health issue. 1997, World Health Organisation: Geneva.
82. A handbook for health and social service professionals responding to abuse during pregnancy. 1999, Health Canada: Ottawa.
83. Millar, J.S., A report on the health of British Columbians: Provincial Health Officer's annual report. Feature report: women's health. 1995, Ministry of Health and Ministry Responsible for Seniors: Victoria, BC.
84. Nudelman, J., et al., Best practices: innovative domestic violence programs in health care settings. 1997, Family Violence Prevention Fund: San Francisco.
85. Ramsay, J., et al., Should health professionals screen women for domestic violence? Systematic review. *British Medical Journal*, 2002. 325: p. 314-26.
86. Garcia-Moreno, C., Dilemmas and opportunities for an appropriate health-service response to violence against women. *Lancet*, 2002. 359: p. 1509-14.
87. Campbell, R., C.M. Sullivan, and W. Davidson, Women who use domestic violence shelters. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 1995. 19(237-42).
88. Caralis, P.V. and R. Musialowski, Women's experiences with domestic violence and their attitudes and expectations regarding medical care of abuse victims. *Southern Medical Journal*, 1997. 90(11): p. 1075-80.
89. Gerbert, B., et al., How health care providers help battered women: the survivor's perspective. *Women & Health*, 1999. 29(3): p. 115-35.
90. Gerbert, B., et al., Experiences of battered women in health care settings: a qualitative study. *Women & Health*, 1996. 24(3): p. 1-17.
91. Rodriguez, M.A., S.S. Quiroga, and H.M. Bauer, Breaking the silence: battered women's perspectives on medical care. *Archives of Family Medicine*, 1996. 5: p. 153-8.
92. Bowker, L.H. and L. Maurer, The medical treatment of battered wives. *Women & Health*, 1987. 12(1): p. 25-45.
93. Campbell, J.C., et al., Battered women's experiences in the emergency department. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 1994. 20(4): p. 280-8.
94. Cohen, S., E. DeVos, and E. Newberger, Barriers to physician identification and treatment of family violence: lessons from five communities. *Academic Medicine*, 1997. 72(1): p. 19-25.
95. Currie, J., Evaluation of the Domestic Violence Program (Vancouver, St. Paul's and Mount Saint Joseph Hospitals). 2001, Family Violence Prevention Unit, Health Canada.
96. Limandri, B. and V. Tilden, Nurses' reasoning in the assessment of family violence. *IMAGE*, 1996. 28(3): p. 247-52.
97. Varcoe, C.M., Untying our hands: the social context of nursing in relation to violence against women, in *School of Nursing*. 1997, University of British Columbia: Vancouver.
98. McNutt, L.A., et al., Reproductive violence screening in primary care: perspectives and experiences of patients and battered women. *Journal of the American Medical Women's Association*, 1999. 54(2): p. 85-90.
99. Kurz, D., Interventions with battered women in health care settings. *Violence and Victims*, 1990. 5(4): p. 243-56.
100. McMurray, A. and K. Moore, Domestic violence: Are we listening? Do we see? *The Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 1994. 12(1): p. 23-8.
101. Hennessy, D. Our experience of mandated and non-mandated interventions with offenders of domestic violence crime. in *EWL Observatory Meeting*. 2004. Copenhagen.
102. A handbook for health and social service providers and educators on children exposed to woman abuse/family violence. 1999, Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada.
103. Davies, J., Lyon, E., & Monti-Catania, D., Safety planning with battered women: Complex lives, difficult choices. 1998, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
104. Kingston, P. and B. Penhale, Family violence and the caring professions. 1995, Basingstoke, UK: Macmillan.
105. Bowker, L.H., Arbitell, M., & McFerron, J., On the relationship between wife beating and child abuse, in *Perspectives on wife abuse*, K.Y.M. Bograd, Editor. 1988, Sage: Newbury Park. p. 158-174.
106. Shalansky, C., J. Ericksen, and A. Henderson, Abused women and child custody: the ongoing exposure to abusive ex-partners. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 1999. 29(2): p. 416-26.
107. Cory, J. and K. McAndless-Davis, When love hurts: a woman's guide to understanding abuse in relationships. 2000, Surrey, BC: WomanKind.
108. Campbell, J.C., Sharps, P., & Glass, N., Risk assessment for intimate partner homicide, in *Clinical assessment of dangerousness: Empirical*

- contributions, G.P. Pinard, L. Editor. 2001, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. p. 136-157.
109. Dutton, D.G.K., P.R., A review of domestic violence risk instruments. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 2000. 1(2): p. 171-181.
110. Kennedy, L.W., & Dutton, D. G., The incidence of wife assault in Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, 1989. 21: p. 40-54.
111. Kyriacou, D.N., Anglin, D., Taliaferro, E., Stone, S., Tubb, T., Linden, J.A., Muelleman, R., Barton, E., & Kraus, J.F., Risk factors for injury to women from domestic violence. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1999. 341(25): p. 1882-1898.
112. Riggs, D.S., Caulfield, M.B., & Street, A.E., Risk for domestic violence: Factors associated with perpetration and victimization. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 2000. 56(10): p. 1289-1316.
113. Sonkin, D., Martin, D., & Walker, L, The male batterer: A treatment approach. 1985, New York: Springer.
114. Daly, M., Wiseman, K.A., & Wilson, M., Women with children sired by previous partners incur excess risk of uxoricide. *Homicide Studies*, 1997. 1(1): p. 61-71.
115. Hart, B.J., Safety and accountability: The underpinnings of a just justice system. 1998, Available: [www.vaw.umn.edu/FinalDocuments/Safety.htm](http://www.vaw.umn.edu/FinalDocuments/Safety.htm).
116. LaViolette, A.D.B., O.W., It could happen to anyone: Why battered women stay. 2nd ed. ed. 2000, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
117. Wilson, M.D., M., Spousal homicide risk and estrangement. *Violence and Victims*, 1993. 8: p. 3-16.
118. Eisenstat, S.A. and L. Bancroft, Primary care: domestic violence. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1999. 341(12): p. 886-892.
119. Jewkes, R., Preventing domestic violence: most women welcome inquiries, but doctors and nurses rarely ask about it. *British Medical Journal*, 2002. 324(2 February): p. 253-4.
120. MacLeod, L. and D. Kinnon, Taking the next step to stop woman abuse: from violence prevention to individual, family, community and social health. A practical vision of collaboration and change. 2000, Health Canada: Ottawa.
121. Brendtro, M. and L.H. Bowker, Battered women: how can nurses help? *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 1989. 10: p. 169-80.
122. Warshaw, C., Limitations of the medical model in the care of battered women. *Gender and Society*, 1989. 3(4): p. 506-17.
123. Sleutel, M.R., Women's experiences of abuse: a review of qualitative research. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 1998. 19: p. 525-39.
124. Alpert, E., Violence in intimate relationships and the practicing internist: new "disease" or new agenda? *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 1995. 123: p. 774-81.
125. Report on Violence and Health. 2002, World Health Organization.
126. Russel, M., Measures of empowerment for women who experience violence and who use the justice system. 2003, Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General, Victim Services Division: Vancouver, BC.
127. Family violence against women with disabilities. 1993, Health Canada: Ottawa.
128. Dalton, C., When paradigms collide: Protecting battered parents and their children in the family court system. *Family and Conciliation Courts Review*, 1999. 37(3): p. 273-296.
129. Dutton, M.A., Empowering and healing the battered woman: A model for assessment and intervention. 1992, New York, NY: Springer Publishing Co.
130. Gondolf, E.W., Assessing woman battering in mental health services. 1998, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
131. Hart, B.J. and J. Stuehling, Personalized safety plan.. 1992, Available: B. J. Hart justpro@aol.com.
132. Zubretsky, T., Promising directions for helping chemically-involved battered women get safe and sober, in *Handbook of Domestic Violence Intervention Strategies*, A.R. Roberts, Editor. 2002, Oxford University Press: New York.
133. Barnett, O.W., Why battered women do not leave, part 2: External inhibiting factors - social support and internal inhibiting factors. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 2001. 2(1): p. 3-35.
134. Nosek, M.H., C., Abuse and women with disabilities. 1998, Available: [www.vawnet.org/vnl/library/general/AR\\_disab.html](http://www.vawnet.org/vnl/library/general/AR_disab.html).
135. Venis, S. and R. Horton, Violence against women: a global burden. *The Lancet*, 2002. 359: p. 1238.
136. Davies, J., Building opportunities for battered women's safety and self-sufficiency. 2001, Available: [www.vawnet.org/vnl/library/general/welprac1.htm](http://www.vawnet.org/vnl/library/general/welprac1.htm).
137. Gondolf, E. and E.R. Fisher, Battered women as survivors: an alternative to treating learned helplessness. 1988, Toronto: Lexington.
138. Johnson, I., Economic, situational, and psychological correlates of the decision-making process of battered women. *Families in Society*, 1992. 73(3): p. 168-176.
139. Jones, L., M. Hughes, and U. Unterstaller, Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in victims of domestic violence: a review of the research. *Trauma Violence Abuse*, 2001. 2(2): p. 99-119.
140. Kalmuss, D.S.S., M.A., Wife's marital dependency and wife abuse. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1982. 44: p. 277-286.
141. Kirkwood, C., *Leaving Abusive Partners*. 1993, Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
142. Rhodes, N.R.M., E.B., Why do battered women stay?: Three decades of research. *Aggression and Violent Behaviour*, 1998. 3(4): p. 391-406.
143. Strube, M.J., The decision to leave an abusive relationship: Empirical evidence and theoretical issues. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1988. 104(2): p. 236-250.
144. Strube, M.J.B., L.S., The decision to leave an abusive relationship: Economic dependence and psychological commitment. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1983. 45: p. 785-793.
145. Strube, M.J.B., L.S., Factors related to the decision to leave an abusive relationship. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 1984. 46(837-844).
146. Sullivan, C.M., The provision of advocacy services to women leaving abusive partners: An exploratory study. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 1991. 6(1): p. 41-54.

147. Sullivan, C.M., et al., After the crisis: a needs assessment of women leaving a domestic violence shelter. *Violence and Victims*, 1992. 7(3): p. 267-75.
148. Ulrich, Y.C., What helped most in leaving spousal abuse: Implications for interventions, in *Empowering survivors of abuse: Health care for battered women and their children*, J.C. Campbell, Editor. 1995, Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA. p. 70-78.
149. Steinem, G., *Moving Beyond Words*. 1994, New York: Simon & Schuster.
150. Jiwani, Y. Changing institutional agendas in health care. in *Removing barriers: inclusion, diversity and social justice in health care*. 2000. Vancouver, Canada: The FREDa Centre for Research on Violence against Women and Children.
151. Coker, A.L., et al., Intimate partner violence and cervical neoplasia. *Journal of Women's Health and Gender-Based Medicine*, 2000. 9(9): p. 1015-23.
152. Chesley, L., D. MacAulay, and J. Ristock, Abuse in lesbian relationships: information and resources. 1998, Health Canada: Ottawa.
153. Renzetti, C.M., *Violent betrayal: partner abuse in lesbian relationships*. 1992, Newbury Park: Sage.
154. MacLeod, L., *Desperately seeking certainty: Assessing and reducing the risk of harm for women who are abused*. 1995, Alberta Advisory Council on Women's Issues: Alberta.
155. Hanson, S. and G. Pratt, Reconceptualizing the Links between Home and Work in Urban Geography. *Economic Geography*, 1988. 4(October): p. 299-321.
156. Morrow, J., Identifying and treating battered lesbians. *San Francisco Medicine*, 1994. April: p. 17-21.
157. Hadley, S.M., et al., WomanKind: an innovative model of health care response to domestic abuse. *Women's Health Issues*, 1995. 5(4): p. 189-98.
158. Merritt-Gray, M. and J. Wuest, Counteracting abuse and breaking free: the process of leaving revealed through women's voices. *Health Care for Women International*, 1995. 16: p. 399-412.
159. Campbell, J.C., Violence research: an overview. *Scholarly Inquiry for Nursing Practice*, 1995. 9(2): p. 105-26.
160. Fischer, K. and M. Rose, When Enough is Enough: Battered Women's Decision Making Around Court Orders of Protection. *Crime and Delinquency*, 1995. 41: p. 414-239.
161. Follingstad, D., C. Rutledge, and B. Berg, The role of emotional abuse in physically abusive relationships. *Journal of Family Violence*, 1990. 5: p. 107-20.
162. Frank, J.B. and M.F. Rodowski, Review of psychological issues in victims of domestic violence seen in emergency settings. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*, 1999. 17(3): p. 657-77.
163. Davis, R.E., Leave-taking experiences in the lives of abused women. *Clinical Nursing Research*, 2002. 11(3): p. 285-305.
164. Short, L.M., et al., Survivors' identification of protective factors and early warning signs for intimate partner violence. *Violence Against Women*, 2000. 6(3): p. 272-85.
165. Johnson, M.P. and K.J. Ferraro, Research on domestic violence in the 1990s: making distinctions. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 2000. 62: p. 948-63.
166. Coombe, J., et al. Managing safety by knowing the risks: current dilemmas in improving women's safety. in *Victim Services Training Symposium*. 1999. Vancouver.
167. Chez, N., Helping the victim of domestic violence. *American Journal of Nursing*, 1994(July): p. 33-7.
168. Nicolaidis, C., The Voices of Survivors documentary: using patient narratives to educate physicians about domestic violence. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 2002. 17: p. 117-24.
169. Hoff, L.A., Violence issues: an interdisciplinary curriculum guide for health professionals. 1994, Health Canada: Ottawa.
170. Foa, E.B., et al., Psychological and environmental factors associated with partner violence. *Trauma, Violence and Abuse*, 2000. 1(1): p. 67-91.
171. Cory, J. and N. Hewer, Violence against women in relationship program. 1998, BC Women's Hospital: Vancouver, BC.
172. Warshaw, C., Intimate partner abuse: developing a framework for change in medical education. *Academic Medicine*, 1997. 72(Supplement): p. S26-S37.
173. Bograd, M., Feminist perspectives on wife abuse: an introduction, in *Feminist perspectives on wife abuse*, K. Yllo and M. Bograd, Editors. 1988, Sage: Newbury Park. p. 11-26.
174. Flitcraft, A., Violence, values, and gender [editorial]. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1992. 267(23): p. 3194-5.
175. Bohn, D.K. and K.A. Holz, Sequelae of abuse: health effects of childhood sexual abuse, domestic battering, and rape. *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery*, 1996. 41(6): p. 442-56.
176. Drossman, D.A., J. Leserman, and G. Nachman, Sexual and physical abuse in women with functional or organic gastrointestinal disorders. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 1990. 113: p. 828-33.
177. Campbell, J.C., Health consequences of intimate partner violence. *The Lancet*, 2002. 359: p. 1331-6.
178. Read, J.P., et al., Use of a screening instrument in women's health care: detecting relationships among victimization history, psychological distress, and medical complaints. *Women & Health*, 1997. 25(3): p. 1-17.
179. Root, M.P. and P. Fallon, The incidence of victimization experiences in a bulimic sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 1988. 3: p. 161-73.
180. Salmon, P., K. Skaife, and J. Rhodes, Abuse, dissociation, and somatization in irritable bowel syndrome: towards an explanatory model. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 2003. 26(1): p. 1-18.
181. Ratner, P.A., The health problems and health care utilization patterns of wives who are physically and/or psychologically abused, in *School of Nursing*. 1991, University of Alberta: Edmonton, AB.
182. Stark, E. and A. Flitcraft, Spousal abuse, in *Violence in America: a public health approach*, M. Rosenberg and M.A. Fenley, Editors. 1991, Oxford University Press: New York.
183. Arias, I. and K. Pape, Psychological abuse: Implications for adjustment and commitment to leave violent partners. *Violence and Victims*, 1999. 14(1): p. 55-67.



184. Astin, M.C. and K.J. Lawrence, Post-traumatic stress disorder among battered women: Risk and resiliency factors. *Violence and Victims*, 1993. 8(1): p. 17-28.
185. Campbell, J.C., Miller, P., Cardwell, M.M., & Belknap, R.A., Relationship status of battered women over time. *Journal of Family Violence*, 1994. 9(2): p. 99-111.
186. Gleason, W.J., Mental disorders in battered women: An empirical study. *Violence and Victims*, 1993. 8(1): p. 53-68.
187. Golding, J.M., Intimate partner violence as a risk factor for mental disorders: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Family Violence*, 1999. 14(2): p. 99-132.
188. Kemp, A.G., B.L., Incidence and correlates of post-traumatic stress disorder in battered women. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 1995. 10(1): p. 43-56.
189. Stark, E. and A. Flitcraft, Killing the beast within: woman battering and female suicidality. *International Journal of Health Services*, 1995. 25(1): p. 43-64.
190. Stark, E. and A. Flitcraft, *Women at risk: domestic violence and women's health*. 1996, London: Sage Publications.
191. Tolman, R.M. and D. Rosen, Domestic violence in the lives of women receiving welfare: Mental health, substance dependency and economic well-being. *Violence Against Women*, 2001. 7(2): p. 172-183.
192. Heise, L.L., A. Germaine, and J. Pitanguay, *Violence against women: the hidden health burden*. 1994, World Bank: Washington, DC.
193. Johnson, H., *Dangerous domains: violence against women in Canada*. 1996, Toronto: Nelson Canada.
194. Aguilar, R.J. and N.N. Nightingale, The impact of specific battering experiences on the self-esteem of abused women. *Journal of Family Violence*, 1994. 9: p. 35-45.
195. Aguirre, B.E., Why do they return? Abused wives in shelters. *Social Work*, 1985. 30: p. 350-354.
196. Frisch, M.B. and C.J. MacKenzie, A comparison of formerly and chronically battered women on cognitive and situational dimensions. *Psychotherapy*, 1991. 28: p. 339-344.
197. Nurius, P.S., J. Furrey, and L. Berliner, Coping capacity among women with abusive partners. *Violence and Victims*, 1992. 7(3): p. 229-243.
198. Landenburger, K., A process of entrapment in and recovery from an abusive relationship. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 1989. 10(3-4): p. 209-227.
199. Walker, L.E., *The battered woman syndrome*. 1984, New York: Springer Publications.
200. Pre-congress workshop organised jointly by the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) and the World Health Organization. in *Eliminating Violence Against Women: In Search of Solutions*. 1997. Copenhagen.
201. Jenkins, P.J. and B. Davidson, *Stopping Domestic Violence: How a Community Can Prevent Spousal Abuse*. 2001, New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publisher.
202. Appleton, W., The battered woman syndrome. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 1980. 9(2): p. 84-91.
203. Walling, M.K., et al., Abuse history and chronic pain in women. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 1994. 84: p. 193-9.
204. Brock, K. and A. Stenzel, When men murder women: an analysis of 1997 homicide data - females murdered by males in single victim / single offender incidents. 1999, Violence Policy Center: Washington, DC.
205. Crawford, M., R. Gartner, and M. Dawson, *Intimate femicide in Ontario, 1991-1994*. 1997, Women We Honour Action Committee: Toronto.
206. Miller, B.A., Downs, W., & Gondoli, D.M., Violence against women in relationships among alcoholic women as compared to a random household sample of women. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 1989. 50(6): p. 533-540.
207. Loring, M.T. and R.W. Smith, Health care barriers and interventions for battered women. *Public Health Reports*, 1994. 3: p. 328-38.
208. McCauley, J., et al., Inside "Pandora's box": abused women's experiences with clinicians and health services. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 1998. 13: p. 549-55.
209. Foster, P., *Women and the health care industry: an unhealthy relationship?* 1995, Buckingham: Open University Press.
210. Freeman, J., From pillar to post: one woman's experience of battering and the systems that 'help', in *Listening to the Thunder: Advocates Talk About the Battered Women's Movement*. 1995, Women's Research Center. p. 23-41.
211. Kalichman, S.C., et al., Sexual coercion, domestic violence, and negotiating condom use among low-income African American women. *Journal of Women's Health*, 1998. 7(3): p. 371-8.
212. Martin, S.L. and L.S. Matza, Domestic violence and sexually transmitted diseases: the experience of prenatal care patients. *Public Health Reports*, 1999. 114(3): p. 262-8.
213. Schei, B. and L.S. Bakketeig, Gynaecological impact of sexual and physical abuse by spouse: a study of a random sample of Norwegian women. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 1989. 96: p. 1379-83.
214. Coker, A.L., et al., Help-seeking for intimate partner violence and forced sex in south carolina. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 316-20.
215. Richardson, J., et al., Identifying domestic violence: cross sectional study in primary care. *British Medical Journal*, 2002. 324: p. 274-7.
216. Stewart, D.E. and A. Cecutti, Physical abuse during pregnancy. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 1993. 149: p. 1257-63.
217. Mezey, G.C. and S. Bewley, Domestic violence and pregnancy. *British Medical Journal*, 1997. 314: p. 1295.
218. Campbell, J.C., Poland, M. L., Waller, J. B., & Ager, J., Correlates of battering during pregnancy. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 1992. 15: p. 219-26.
219. Amaro, H., et al., Violence during pregnancy and substance use. *American Journal of Public Health*, 1990. 80: p. 575-9.
220. McFarlane, J., B. Parker, and K. Soeken, Physical abuse, smoking, and substance use during pregnancy: prevalence, interrelationships and effects on birthweight. *Journal of Obstetrical*

- Gynecological and Neonatal Nursing, 1996. 25(4): p. 313-20.
221. Kimberg, L., Draft working paper: domestic violence screening. 1997, Family Violence Prevention Fund, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
222. Day, T., The health-related costs of violence against women in Canada. 1995, Centre for Research on Violence Against Women: London, ON.
223. Shields, L.E., Women's experiences of the meaning of empowerment, in *Qualitative Health Research*. 1995, Sage Publications, Inc.: California. p. 22-31.
224. Warshaw, C., Domestic violence: changing theory, changing practice. *Journal of the American Medical Women's Association*, 1996. 51: p. 87-91,100.
225. Dudley, C., Examining the barriers to the implementation of domestic violence screening programs, in *Faculty of Graduate Studies, School of Nursing*. 1998, University of British Columbia: Vancouver, BC.
226. Dechief, L., Evaluation of the Woman Abuse Response Program. 1999, BC Women's Hospital and Health Sciences Centre: Vancouver.
227. Orloff, L.E., Effective advocacy for domestic violence victims: role of the nurse-midwife. *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery*, 1996. 41(6): p. 473-94.
228. Polaschek, N.R., Cultural safety: a new concept in nursing people of different ethnicities. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 1998. 27: p. 452-7.
229. Ramsden, I., Kawa whakaruruahu: cultural safety in nursing education in Aotearoa (New Zealand). *Nursing Praxis in New Zealand*, 1993. 8(3): p. 4-10.
230. Rorie, J.L., L.L. Paine, and M.K. Barger, Primary care for women: cultural competence in primary care services. *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery*, 1996. 41(2): p. 92-100.
231. Warrior, S., From sensitivity to competency: clinical and departmental guidelines to achieving cultural competency. 1999, Family Violence Prevention Fund: San Francisco.
232. Hahn, R.A., *Sickness and healing: an anthropological perspective*. 1995, London: Yale University Press.
233. Finklestein, N., Treatment Issues for Alcohol- and Drug-Dependent Pregnant and Parenting Women. *Health and Social Work*, 1994. 19(1): p. 7-12.
234. Robinson, E., The Maxxine Wright Place Project for High Risk Pregnant and Early Parenting Women. 2003, Status of Women Canada: Surrey, BC.
235. Domestic violence and substance use: overlapping issues in separate services?. 2005, Greater London Authority: London, UK.
236. Domestic violence drugs and alcohol: good practice guidelines. 2004, The Stella Project: Separate issues, shared solutions: London, UK.
237. Kessler, 1995.
238. Volkow, 2000.
239. Jennings, A., Models for developing trauma-informed behavioral health systems and trauma-specific services. 2004, National Technical Assistance Center for State Mental Health Planning, National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors.
240. Godard, L., *Building Bridges: Linking Woman Abuse, Substance Use and Mental Health*. 2007, Woman Abuse Response Program, BC Women's Health Centre: Vancouver, BC.
241. Lewis, S. Keynote address. in *Microbicides*. 2004. London.
242. Gender and HIV/AIDS, in *Gender and Health*. 2003, World Health Organization: Geneva.
243. The POWER Camp Model and Project Tools Handbook. 2001, Power Camp National/Filles d'Action: Ottawa, Ontario.
244. Glube, S., *Real Power Youth Society*. 2002: Vancouver, BC.
245. Kram, S., *Ama house*. 2006, Atira Women's Resource Society.
246. *Safe Choices: support and education program*, BC Association of Specialized Victim Assistance and Counselling Programs: Vancouver.
247. Doucette, J., *Violence acts against disabled women*. 1986, DAWN Canada: Toronto.
248. *Beating the odds: violence against women with disabilities*. 2003, DAWN Canada.
249. Woolhouse, S., J. Belle Brown, and B. Lent, Women marginalized by poverty and violence. *Canadian Family Physician*, 2004. 50: p. 1388-1394.
250. Farrell, M.L., Healing: a qualitative study of women recovering from abusive relationships with men. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 1996. 32(3): p. 23-32.
251. McFarlane, J., et al., Assessing for abuse during pregnancy: severity and frequency of injuries and associated entry into prenatal care. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1992. 267: p. 3176-8.
252. *Intimate partner violence during the perinatal period. Obstetric guideline 13*. 2003, BC Reproductive Care Program: Vancouver.
253. Newman, K.D., Giving up: shelter experiences of battered women. *Public Health Nursing*, 1993. 10(2): p. 108-13.
254. Sugg, N.K. and T.I. Inui, Primary care physicians' response to domestic violence: opening Pandora's box. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1992. 267: p. 3157-60.
255. Poole, N. and B. Isaac, *Apprehensions: barriers to treatment for substance-using mothers*. 2001, British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver.
256. Tavis, C., *Mismeasure of woman: why women are not the better sex, the inferior sex, or the opposite sex*. 1992, New York: Simon and Schuster.
257. Caplan, P.J., Try diagnosing men's mind games instead of pathologizing women. *On The Issues*, 1997(Winter).
258. Morrow, M. and M. Chappell, *Hearing women's voices: Mental health care for women*. 1999, British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver, BC.
259. *Stalking, safety, and assessment: A guide to domestic violence risk assessment, risk reduction, and safety plan*. 2000, Metropolitan Nashville Police Department. Available: [www.police.nashville.org/bureaus/investigative/domestic/stalking.htm](http://www.police.nashville.org/bureaus/investigative/domestic/stalking.htm).
260. Rittmayer, J. and G. Roux, Relinquishing the need to "fix-it": medical intervention with domestic


- abuse. *Qualitative Health Research*, 1999. 9(2): p. 166-81.
261. Poole, N., Evaluation report of the Sheway project for high risk pregnant and early parenting women. 2000, British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver.
262. Gerbert, B., et al., A qualitative analysis of how physicians with expertise in domestic violence approach the identification of victims. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 1999. 131: p. 578-84.
263. Secord, S. and C. Urquhart. Reducing the harms of substance use. 2004. Surrey Memorial Hospital. Surrey, BC: Chemical Dependency Resource Team.
264. Flavin, J., A glass half full? Harm reduction among pregnant women who use cocaine. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 2002. Summer: p. 973-98.
265. Tervalon, M. and J. Murray-Garcia, Cultural humility versus cultural competence: a critical distinction in defining physician training outcomes in multicultural education. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 1998. 9(2): p. 117-25.
266. Kurz, D. and E. Stark, Not-so-benign neglect: the medical response to battering, in *Feminist perspectives on wife abuse*, K. Yllo and M. Bograd, Editors. 1988, Sage: Newbury Park, CA.
267. Mandel, J.B. and D.B. Marcotte, Teaching family practice residents to identify and treat battered women. *The Journal of Family Practice*, 1983. 17(4): p. 708-16.
268. McLeer, S.V., et al., Education is not enough: a systems failure in protecting battered women. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 1989. 18: p. 651-3.
269. Worcester, N., The role of health care workers in responding to battered women. *Wisconsin Medical Journal*, 1992. June: p. 284-6.
270. Women's Health Planning Project final report. 2000, Vancouver/Richmond Health Board: Vancouver.
271. Boychuk Duchscher, J.E., Acting on violence against women. *The Canadian Nurse*, 1994. 90(6): p. 21-5.
272. Horton, R., Violence and medicine: the necessary politics of public health [commentary]. *The Lancet*, 2001. 358: p. 9292.
273. Rieker, P.P. and E.H. Carmen, The victim-to-patient process: the disconfirmation and transformation of abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1986. 56(3): p. 360-9.
274. Stark, E., A. Flitcraft, and W. Frazier, Medicine and patriarchal violence: the social construction of a "private" event. *International Journal of Health Services*, 1979. 9: p. 461-89.
275. Russel, D., *Women, madness and medicine*. 1995, Cambridge: Polity.
276. Thurston, W.E., Health promotion from a feminist perspective: a framework for an effective health system response to woman abuse. *Resources for Feminist Research*, 1998. 26(3/4): p. 175-202.
277. Short, L.M., D. Johnson, and A. Osattin, Recommended components of health care provider training programs on intimate partner violence. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 1998. 14(4): p. 283-8.
278. Thompson, R.S., et al., A training program to improve domestic violence identification and management in primary care: preliminary results. *Violence & Victims*, 1998. 13(4): p. 395-410.
279. Hoff, L.A., *Battered women as survivors*. 1990, London: Routledge.
280. Salber, P.R. and B. McCaw, Barriers to screening for intimate partner violence: time to reframe the question. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 276-8.
281. Cole, T.B., Is domestic violence screening helpful? *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000. 284(5): p. 551-3.
282. Chescheir, N., Violence against women: response from clinicians. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 1996. 27(6): p. 766-8.
283. Garcia-Moreno, C., The World Health Organization addressing violence against women. *Development*, 2001. 44(3): p. 129-32.
284. SOGC Clinical Practice Guidelines: Intimate Partner Violence Consensus Statement. *Journal of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Canada*, 2005. 157(April): p. 365-88.
285. Gielen, A.C., et al., Women's opinions about domestic violence screening and mandatory reporting. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 279-85.
286. Swenson-Britt, E., et al., A continuous improvement process for health providers of victims of domestic violence. *Journal on Quality Improvement*, 2001. 27(10): p. 540-554.
287. Thurston, W.E. and C.M. Scott, Barriers to screening: a critical review of the literature (1990-1995). 1995, Health Canada.
288. Moore, M.L., D. Zaccaro, and L.H. Parsons, Attitudes and practices of registered nurses toward women who have experienced abuse/domestic violence. *Journal of Obstetrical, Gynecological and Neonatal Nursing*, 1998. 27(2): p. 175-82.
289. Janssen, P.A., M.C. Basso, and R.M. Costanzo, The prevalence of domestic violence among obstetrical nurses. *Women's Health Issues*, 1998. 8(5): p. 317-23.
290. Doyle, J.P., et al., Domestic violence and sexual abuse in women physicians: associated medical, psychiatric, and professional difficulties. *Journal of Women's Health and Gender-Based Medicine*, 1999. 8(7): p. 955-65.
291. Innes, J., et al., Models and strategies of delivering community health services related to woman abuse. 1991, University of Alberta: Edmonton.
292. Pence, E. and C. McDonnell, Developing protocols and policies in Duluth, Minnesota, in *Home truths about domestic violence: Feminist influences on policy and practice: A reader*, J.H.C. Itzin, Editor. 2000, RoutledgeFalmer: London, UK. p. 249-268.
293. Green, L.W. and M.W. Kreuter, *Health promotion planning: an education and environmental approach*. 2nd ed. 1991, Toronto: Mayfield.
294. The challenges ahead for women's health: BC Women's community consultation report. 1995, Consultation Working Group, British Columbia Women's Hospital and Health Centre Society: Vancouver.
295. Bograd, M., Battered women, cultural myths and clinical interventions: a feminist analysis. *Women and Therapy*, 1982. 1(3): p. 69-77.
296. Henry, F., et al., *The colour of democracy: racism in Canadian society*. 1995, Toronto, ON: Harcourt Brace.



297. Dechief, L. Inequality and difference in the culture of health-care: a critical analysis of current health-care system responses to violence against women. in *Culture, Difference, Inequality*. 2001. McGill University, Montreal: The Canadian Anthropological Society / The American Ethnological Society.
298. Pence, E.L. and C. McDonnell, Developing policies and protocols in domestic violence cases, in *Coordinating Community Responses to Domestic Violence: Lessons from Duluth and Beyond*, M.F. Shepard and E. Pence, Editors. 1999, Sage. p. 41-64.
299. Pence, E. and M. McMahon, Working from inside and outside institutions: how safety audits can help courts' decision making around domestic violence and child maltreatment. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 2003. Fall: p. 133-148.
300. Garcia-Moreno, C., Violence against women: international perspectives. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 330-3.
301. Saltzman, L.E., et al., Violence against women as a public health issue: comments from the CDC. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 325-9.
302. Hartman, C.R., The nurse-patient relationship and survivors of violence. *Scholarly Inquiry for Nursing Practice: An International Journal*, 1995. 9(2): p. 175-91.
303. Candib, L., *Medicine and the family: a feminist perspective*. 1995, New York: HarperCollins.
304. Thurston, W.E. and L. McLeod, Teaching second-year medical students about wife battering. *Women's Health Issues*, 1997. 7(2).
305. McFarlane, J., et al., Safety behaviors of abused women after an intervention during pregnancy. *Journal of Obstetrical, Gynecological and Neonatal Nursing*, 1997. 27(1): p. 64-9.
306. McFarlane, J., et al., Resource use by abused women following an intervention program: associated severity of abuse and reports of abuse ending. *Public Health Nursing*, 1997. 14(4): p. 244-50.
307. Parker, B., et al., Testing an intervention to prevent further abuse to pregnant women. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 1999. 22: p. 59-66.
308. Hall, J.A., et al., Satisfaction, gender, and communication in medical visits. *Medical Care*, 1994. 32(12): p. 1216-31.
309. Rodriguez, M.A., et al., Factors affecting patient-physician communication for abused Latina and Asian immigrant women. *Journal of Family Practice*, 1998. 47(4): p. 309-11.
310. Rodriguez, M.A., et al., The factors associated with disclosure of intimate partner abuse to clinicians. *Journal of Family Practice*, 2001. 50(4): p. 338-46.
311. Bertakis, K.D., D.R. Roter, and S.M. Putnam, The relationship of physician medical interview style to patient satisfaction. *Journal of Family Practice*, 1991. 32: p. 175-81.
312. Hathaway, J.E., G. Willis, and B. Zimmer, Listening to survivors' voices: addressing partner abuse in the health care setting. *Violence Against Women*, 2002. 8(6): p. 687-719.
313. Lee, P.M., In the absence of consent: sexual assault, unconsciousness and forensic evidence. 2001, BC Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver, BC.
314. Alpert, E.J., et al., Challenges and strategies for enhancing health care's response to domestic violence. *Violence Against Women*, 2002. 8(6): p. 639-60.
315. Hadley, S.M., Working with battered women in the emergency department: a model program. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 1992. 18: p. 18-23.
316. Protocol document: violence against women, trauma & substance abuse. 2007, Powell River Finding Common Ground Committee: Powell River, BC.
317. Pearlman, D.N. and J. Waalen, Violence against women: charting the impact on health policy, health care delivery, and the law. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 2000. 19(4): p. 212-3.
318. Hankivsky, O., et al., Expanding economic costing in health care: Values, gender and diversity. *Canadian Public Policy*, 2004. 30(3).
319. Teghtsoonian, K., W(h)ither women's equality? Neoliberalism, institutional change and public policy in British Columbia. *Policy, Organisation and Society*, 2003. 22(1): p. 26-47.
320. Integrated model of attention to intra-family violence. Deconstructing intra family violence: State and civil society. The role of the health sector. 2001, Women, Health and Development Programme. Pan-American Health Organization: San Jose, Costa Rica.
321. Yalnizyan, A., Canada's commitment to equality: A gender analysis of the last ten federal budgets (1995-2004). 2005, Canadian Feminist Alliance for International Action: Ottawa.
322. Draft report. Consideration of reports of States parties: Canada. Fifth periodic report. in Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. Twenty-eighth session. 13-31 January. 2003.
323. Canada's National Response to the UN Questionnaire on Implementation of the Beijing Platform for Action. 2004, Status of Women Canada: Ottawa.
324. Wathen, C.N. and H.L. MacMillan, Prevention of violence against women: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 2003. 169(6): p. 582-4.
325. Domestic violence against women and girls, in *Innocenti Digest*. 2000, United Nations Children Fund: Florence Italy.
326. Health goals for British Columbians. 1997, Ministry of Health and Ministry Responsible for Seniors: Victoria.
327. Varcoe, C.M., From 'better-than-nothing' to 'best practice'. Background paper on 'best practices' in health care in relation to violence against women. 1998, Prepared for the Minister's Advisory Council on Women's Health, British Columbia Ministry of Health: Vancouver, BC.
328. Minutes of community consultation meetings regarding the research project 'Health-Care Experiences of Women in Abusive Relationships'. 2000, Health Subcommittee of the Vancouver Coordination Committee on Violence Against Women in Relationships: Vancouver.
329. Provincial policies make working life tougher for women: weakened public services and employment standards reduce women's employment opportunities and undermine

- equitable working conditions. 2004, Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives: Ottawa.
330. British Columbia moves backwards on women's equality. 2003, Submission of the B.C. CEDAW Group to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women on the occasion of the Committee's review of Canada's 5th Report.
331. BC provincial cuts to legal aid: Anticipated impact on women who experience violence. 2002, Research Advisory Council on the Provincial Cuts and Violence Against Women: Vancouver.
332. BC provincial cuts to human rights: Anticipated impact on women who experience violence. 2002, Research Advisory Council on the Provincial Cuts and Violence Against Women: Vancouver.
333. BC provincial cuts to seniors: Anticipated impact on women who experience violence. 2002, Research Advisory Council on the Provincial Cuts and Violence Against Women: Vancouver.
334. BC provincial cuts to social assistance and other social programs: Anticipated impact on women who experience violence. 2002, Research Advisory Council on the Provincial Cuts and Violence Against Women: Vancouver.
335. BC provincial cuts to health and mental health services: Anticipated impact on women who experience violence. 2002, Research Advisory Council on the Provincial Cuts and Violence Against Women: Vancouver.
336. BC Solutions Budget 2006: Budgeting for women's equality. 2006, The Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives: Vancouver, BC.
337. Isaac, N.E. and V.P. Enos, Documenting domestic violence: how health care providers can help victims. National Institute of Justice: Research in Brief, 2001. September: p. 1-5.
338. Garcia-Moreno, C., WHO recommendations put women first. *Lancet*, 1999. 354: p. 9195.
339. Heise, L.L., M. Ellsberg, and M. Gottemoeller, Ending violence against women. 1999, Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health: Baltimore, Maryland.
340. Factsheet: violence against women and girls. 2002, Canadian Research Institute on the Advancement of Women.
341. Lawrence, B., The exclusion of survivors' voices in feminist discourses on violence against women, in Department of Sociology. 1996, Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.
342. Gender mainstreaming: an overview. 2002, Office of the Special Advisor on Gender Issues and Advancement of Women. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs: New York, NY.
343. Phillips, S., Discourse, identity, and voice: Feminist contributions to policy studies, in Policy studies in Canada: The state of the art, L.H. Ziniskis, M. & Larock, D, Editor. 1996, University of Toronto Press: Toronto. p. 242-265.
344. Burt, S., The Several Worlds of Policy Analysis: Traditional Approaches and Feminist Critiques, in Changing Methods: Feminists Transforming Practice, S. Burt and L. Code, Editors. 1995, Broadview Press.
345. Health Canada activities relating to nine recommendations of the world report on violence and health. 2003, Health Canada: Ottawa.
346. Status of Women Commission hears calls for elaboration of legally binding international instrument on violence against women. 1998, United Nations: Geneva.
347. Gender-based analysis: a guide for policy making. 1998, Status of Women Canada: Ottawa.
348. Keller, J., Women upset with cuts to Status of Women Canada take protest to the web, in CBC News Online. December 3, 2006.
349. Moving coordination forward: resources for a coordinated community response to violence against women. 2000, British Columbia Ministry of Attorney General Community Justice Branch Victim Services Division.
350. Best practice approaches: Child protection and violence against women. 2004, Ministry of Children & Family Development: Vancouver, BC.
351. Advancing the health of girls and women: A women's health strategy for British Columbia. 2004, BC Women's Hospital and the British Columbia Centre of Excellence for Women's Health: Vancouver, BC.
352. Northern Violence and Women's Health Network meeting report. 2004, Woman Abuse Response Program, BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre: Prince George, BC.
353. Women's Health Strategy. 1999, Health Canada: Ottawa.
354. Privacy Block Guidelines (GU0700). Fetal maternal newborn and family health policy and procedure manual. 2004, BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre: Vancouver, BC.
355. Privacy of information: privacy block or alias pamphlet. 2004, BC Women's Patient and Family Education. Children and Women's Hospital and Health Centre: Vancouver, BC.
356. Violence as a public health problem. 1999, American Association of Colleges of Nursing: Washington, DC.
357. Domestic violence: impacting the nation's health care delivery system. American Nurses Association: Nursing Trends and Issues, 1997. 2(2): p. 1-9.

## HANDOUT: How is SHE relevant to my practice?



1. Ouimette, et al. (2000). *Physical and sexual abuse among women and men with substance use disorders*. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, 18 (3): 7-17.

2,3. Fisher, Patricia (1998). *Women and mental health issues: The role of trauma*. *Visions: British Columbia's Mental Health Journal - Special Edition on Women's Mental Health*, 3 (7).

4. Russell, Susan (1996). *Take Action for Equality, Development and Peace: A Canadian Follow-up Guide to Beijing '95*. Ed. Linda Souter & Betty Bayless. Ottawa, ON: CRIAW, Canadian Beijing Facilitating Committee.


5. National Forum on Health (1997). *Canada health action: building on the legacy. Report of the*

*National Forum on Health*. Ottawa.

6. Public Health Agency of Canada. (2006). *HIV and AIDS in Canada. Surveillance report to June 30, 2006*. Ottawa: Surveillance and Risk Assessment Division, Centre for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, Health Canada.

7. McFarlane, J., et al. (2005). *Intimate partner sexual assault against women: Frequency, health consequences & treatment outcomes*. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 105(1): 99-108.

8. Johnson, H. (1996). *Dangerous domains: violence against women in Canada*. Toronto: Nelson Canada.



## COVERSHEET: Safety and Health Enhancement (SHE) for Women Experiencing Abuse

**Health Setting:**

**Starting Date:**

**SHE Team:**

**SHE Co-coordinators:**

**Group commitment to:**

» **confidentiality within the team**

notes:

» **how consensus will be reached**

notes:

» **participation in the process**

notes:

» **the use of materials and outcomes**

notes:

» **respect for the knowledge and experience of each team member**

notes:

» **equality amongst team members**

notes:

## WORKSHEET: Consensus Statements Supporting the SHE Toolkit

<b>EXAMPLE CONSENSUS STATEMENTS</b>	<b>THINGS TO CONSIDER</b>
<p>Woman abuse advocates and health care providers who have initiated and joined this process are allies and bring a wealth of knowledge that will contribute to the SHE Process.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anti-violence workers are not always recognized as peers or colleagues</li> <li>• Health care as an institution is often viewed as having more credibility</li> <li>• Salary differences may be used to imply hierarchy of knowledge</li> <li>• Life and work experience are as valid as formal education</li> </ul>
<p>The SHE approach is about reviewing your health setting, not reviewing individuals and their practice. In identifying compounding harms and potential risks, there is no implication of intentional harm and rarely a single reason for unintended outcomes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The process relies on being open to identifying potential risks that reside within institutional and routine practices</li> <li>• Blaming individuals or sectors will not help move the SHE Process forward</li> <li>• The health system is complex</li> <li>• Understanding how different sectors work and finding common ground is essential</li> <li>• Useful discussion will rely on people in the group feeling safe with each other</li> </ul>
<p>Women's reality of experiencing violence and abuse are complex and must be central to the SHE Process.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social myths and stereotypes of woman abuse (e.g. mutual battering; abuse is about discrete incidents of physical violence, etc.) are very different than women's lived reality and can compromise women's safety</li> <li>• Power and control is at the core of abuse</li> <li>• Anti-violence workers can bring to the table women's complex and diverse experiences of abuse</li> </ul>
<p>Woman abuse is rooted in gender inequality.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Almost all victims of violence in relationships are women</li> <li>• Women have less economic, social and political power than men and are thus less able to free themselves from an abusive situation</li> <li>• Women are not a homogenous group and the inequality of women intersects with inequality based on race and ethnicity, age, physical and mental ability, etc.</li> <li>• Violence against women in relationships is one piece of a larger picture of gender-based violence occurring around the globe</li> </ul>
<p>Women are not responsible for the abuse they are experiencing.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abusers are responsible and accountable for their behavior</li> <li>• This is a concept that many people struggle with because of social myths such as "mutual battering", "it takes two to tango", etc.</li> <li>• We must acknowledge perpetrator and system responsibility rather than perpetuate victim blaming</li> </ul>
<p>Improving women's safety in health encounters and health settings is the primary goal of the SHE Process.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assessing current practices relative to the primary goal of safety and health enhancement is the central task of the SHE Process</li> <li>• Women's safety must take priority over institutional or professional needs or routines</li> </ul>
<p>Change takes time.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patience, understanding and support are required both for women trying to escape an abusive situation and institutions involved in a process of transformation</li> <li>• Celebrating small steps is important</li> </ul>




**Tier Two - Worksheet: Rating Risk and Feasibility**

<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b> 	<b>RISK</b> <i>High/Urgent (3)</i> <i>Moderate (2)</i> <i>Low (1)</i>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>FEASIBILITY</b> <i>Do-able (3)</i> <i>Challenging (2)</i> <i>Not possible (1)</i>	<b>TOTAL</b>
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	







## Tier Four - Worksheet: Rating Risk and Feasibility

<b>COMPOUNDING HARMS</b> 	<b>RISK</b> <i>High/Urgent (3)</i> <i>Moderate (2)</i> <i>Low (1)</i>	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>FEASIBILITY</b> <i>Do-able (3)</i> <i>Challenging (2)</i> <i>Not possible (1)</i>	<b>TOTAL</b>
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	

**Tier Five - Worksheet: Rating Risk and Feasibility**

<b>COMPOUNDING HARMES</b> 	<b>RISK</b> High/Urgent (3) Moderate (2) Low (1)	<b>SAFETY &amp; HEALTH ENHANCING MEASURES</b>	<b>FEASIBILITY</b> Do-able (3) Challenging (2) Not possible (1)	<b>TOTAL</b>
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	
	RISK RATING		FEASIBILITY RATING	



## WORKSHEET: Safety and Health Enhancement Action Plan

<b>Safety and Health Enhancing Measures</b> <i>(transferred from Risk and Feasibility Worksheet)</i> <i>(What will be done?)</i>	<b>Implementation</b> <i>(How will it be done?)</i>	<b>Responsible Agency/ Person</b> <i>(Who will take the lead?)</i>	<b>Timeline</b> <i>(When will it be done?)</i>

© 2007

Library and Archives Canada Cataloguing in Publication Data

Cory, Jill, 1957-

SHE framework : Safety & Health Enhancement for women experiencing abuse : a toolkit for providers and planners.

Available also on the Internet.

Funded by: National Crime Prevention Centre.

ISBN 978-0-7726-5729-9

1. Abused women – Services for - British Columbia. 2. Abused women – Health and hygiene - British Columbia. 3. Women – Health and hygiene - British Columbia. 4. Women's health services - British Columbia. 5. Wife abuse. I. BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre. Woman Abuse Response Program. II. Title.

HV6626.23.C3C67 2007 362.82'9209711 C2007-970074-3

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 2.5 Canada License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ca/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

Women's

BC WOMEN'S HOSPITAL  
& HEALTH CENTRE

*An agency of the Provincial  
Health Services Authority*



**BC Institute Against Family Violence**

## Contact Us

Jill Cory, Program Coordinator	<a href="mailto:jcory@cw.bc.ca">jcory@cw.bc.ca</a>
Louise Godard, Program Coordinator	<a href="mailto:lgodard@cw.bc.ca">lgodard@cw.bc.ca</a>
Lynda Dechief, Research Consultant	<a href="mailto:ldechief@cw.bc.ca">ldechief@cw.bc.ca</a>

Woman Abuse Response Program  
BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre, 4500 Oak Street  
Vancouver, BC, V6H 3N1  
604.875.3717

[www.bcwomens.ca/Services/HealthServices/WomanAbuseResponse](http://www.bcwomens.ca/Services/HealthServices/WomanAbuseResponse)