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III. SHE Evidence Paper

"The problem of violence against women is enormous and troubling. There are no easy answers. The health sector cannot solve it alone. Still, with sensitivity and commitment, it can begin to make a difference."

- World Health Organization [81]

This evidence paper outlines the evidence illustrating both compounding harms and safety and health enhancement (SHE) measures within health care for women impacted by abuse. Evidence includes three complementary and legitimate forms of knowledge: research reported in the academic literature; accounts from women who have experienced abuse or violence in their intimate relationships; and promising practices, programs and policy.³

The two contrasting models emerged from the observations and analyses that there are multi-tiered barriers that interfere with women accessing and receiving safe, supportive health care. The models reflect our effort to create a visual understanding of the contrasting realities of harm and safety within health care. But to truly have validity, the models needed to be grounded in research evidence, practitioners’ perspectives and survivors' experiences. This is the goal of the SHE Evidence Paper – to present a wide range of evidence to enable users of the SHE Framework to have confidence in the models and their application. This chapter is dedicated to presenting the evidence as it relates to both compounding harms and women’s safety and health enhancement. The research also adds to the discussion about the role of universal screening and routine inquiry in women's health and safety.

The paper reviews the evidence as it relates to each of the five tiers. After a brief introduction, each tier is divided into two subsections: 1) the Compounding Harms Model; and 2) the Safety and Health Enhancement Model.

The five tiers, and the responses associated with each, correspond to the Safety and Health Enhancement Toolkit in the following chapter. This chapter can be read on its own or used as the workbook for the toolkit. Space to write notes about how different compounding harms or safety and health enhancement measures relate to your own health setting is provided down the side of each page.

"The tendency to undervalue women’s safety has resulted in the woman becoming more at risk by the very act of approaching the system…. This heightened risk is unrecognized within the system. In human terms, each person within an agency will want to believe that what they do is helpful or neutral…. Because of our good intentions we strongly resist the possibility that what we are doing may increase the risk to the woman. What all the research indicates is that women are most at risk when they a) make contact with the system or b) when they begin the process of separation."

– Don Hennessy [101]

³ A number of the practices, programs and policies outlined in this chapter are reported in the academic literature. Others have come to our attention in our work, mainly throughout the province of British Columbia. We use these as examples but do not suggest they are an exhaustive list. There will undoubtedly be many other promising examples which you will be familiar with, will guide your work, and that we hope you will bring to our attention.
**Tier One:** this tier documents the harms women are subjected to by abusive partners. While statistics can describe the reported rates of violence against women, to understand the experience of abuse, we turn to research that includes the perspectives of women [31]. While we work closely with anti-violence women’s advocates because of their expertise in this area, it is incumbent upon health care providers to also understand women’s experiences of abuse.

The dynamics of power and control women experience in their abusive relationships are also central to their experience at every level in the health system. This knowledge allows each of us to be able to analyze the health system from a perspective of the risks embedded throughout for women impacted by abuse. To fully apply the SHE Toolkit, this understanding must inform our analysis through each of the five tiers.

The following statements summarize the parameters of violence against women.

- Violence against women is a gender-based legal, social and human rights violation that employs strategies of terrorism to reduce women’s rights and freedoms.
- Other forms of inequality intersect with gender to shape the experiences of women in abusive relationships.
- Abuse is a pattern of power and control. It can include physical, psychological, sexual, emotional, spiritual, cultural and financial forms of abuse as well as other threatening, coercive and degrading acts intended to gain and maintain control, including the use of children.
- The impact is significant - isolation, degradation, fear, and loss of autonomy - which further entrap women in the relationship.
- The greatest danger women face is when they try to leave, or otherwise challenge their partners’ authority.

Tier One also describes what we know about how women’s safety and health can be enhanced when:

- Supportive others (including health
care providers) understand the dynamics and impacts of abuse, and work with women to help them to understand their experience; and

• Women are recognized for having agency and are supported in the steps they are taking to keep themselves and their children safe within the context of their abusive relationship, a difficult “balancing act” that may compromise long-term health in favour of immediate safety and survival.

The evidence for each of the tiers demonstrates that when women are supported in safety strategies — by individuals, institutions and the larger social context — they are more able to escape and heal from the harms associated with woman abuse.

**Tier One: COMPOUNDING HARMS: Love Hurts**

**Violence is a pattern of power and control**

Abuse against women in relationships is patterned, intentional, and takes many forms that result in women being degraded, controlled and isolated. Women in one study described an overarching pattern of control within which physical abuse was not generally considered the entirety or even the worst aspect of the abuse, but was perceived as simply another means for authority to be exerted over them [10].

In a large-scale survey of 12,300 Canadian women over the age of 18, four behaviours were identified as being used to control a woman within her relationship: jealousy of social contact with other men; limited contact with family and friends; whereabouts monitored; and access to finances limited [35, 45].

“Before he ever abused me he would terrorize me by throwing things around, and I think that he got the results he wanted which were basically that I stopped saying what I believed in or I would [agree with] whatever it was that he wanted. So it was a thing of control. And then it did escalate to physical violence... and over the years it became more frequent. But in the times in between those physical assaults when he raged, that was even more terrifying... stressful and distressing.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Abused women are often very isolated. Some women sever relationships with friends, family, or professionals because they have been given unsafe advice, been judged or blamed for the abuse [10].

Abusive partners may move their families frequently whenever detection becomes likely, while other families may live in the same neighbourhood for years, with no one in the community noticing or taking action to assist the victims [102].

“[There was] a lot of control in how he stopped me from seeing my friends by being really rude to them, and a lot of my friends didn’t come around because they didn’t like the way he was treating me.”

- Woman abuse survivor

An abuser may use children as “pawns” in

---

4 Unless otherwise specified, the quotes contained within this document from woman abuse survivors are taken from Care, Control & Connection: Health-care experiences of Women in Abusive Intimate Relationships [10].
the relationship, and may use the threat of violence against the children to terrorize the mother into staying in the home [103, 104]. Violence against children may escalate when the woman is leaving [105].

Child custody and access procedures also provide abusive men opportunities to continue to harass, monitor and intimidate women who have left them [78, 106, 107].

**Greatest danger is when leaving**

The risk of violence appears to be highest when there is a change in the dynamics of power and control. For example: (a) the abuser is living with his partner, but she wants to end the relationship; (b) the abuser is separated from his partner, but he wants to renew the relationship; (c) there has been a sudden and/or recent separation [108-112].

> "I always knew that if I ever left him it would be ugly. There was just going to be no nice, easy, friendly way to do this … I understood that some things would definitely put him over the top."  
> - Woman abuse survivor

Abusers who have used a weapon are at increased risk to commit repeat violence and spousal homicide [108, 113].

There are serious limitations to how much women can protect themselves even if they manage to leave their partner. The risk of injury and death rises dramatically once a woman tries to end her relationship with her abuser [20, 35, 114-117].

**Violence is gender based**

Violence against women in relationships is now generally attributed in the health care literature to the lesser status and subordinate position of women in society in relation to men [34, 44, 53, 80, 118-124]. Structural inequalities between men and women, rigid gender roles, and notions of manhood linked to dominance, male honour and aggression all serve to increase the risk of woman abuse [125]. Yet, violence directed against women is often concealed by the use of terms such as “domestic violence”, “family violence” or “intimate partner violence” [10].

By focusing on “acts” rather than patterns of abuse, measures such as the CTS and many “screening” questions fail to account for the exercise of gender-based power [44, 45].

The impact of abuse is a more accurate indicator of the presence of abuse than identification of certain acts as violence [31].

When the impact of abuse is taken into account, research shows that ninety to ninety-five percent of the victims of abuse are women [16, 20, 38].

Women who are abused are subject to social expectations and norms about appropriate roles for women that make it difficult for women to recognize and get free from abuse [34].

Women are held responsible for fixing relationships and keeping families together and, at the same time, for keeping themselves and their children safe [78].

In treatment groups for abusive men, men report that they consider themselves central, superior and deserving in their intimate relationships, and thus their partners as peripheral, inferior and subservient [107, 126].

> "I would give, give, give, do, do, do and it got to the point where it was expected, and the appreciation wasn’t there, where nothing was done right and then the beatings came on. It was just endless."  
> - Woman abuse survivor
Social stereotypes excuse male abusive behaviour while holding women responsible for much more than they are able to control. When a woman seeks support and safety, our social institutions provide little support for her and minimal sanctions for her abuser [34].

While gender is one dimension of who has power in our society, there are other “social determinants” of health which can increase women’s risk of being impacted by abuse [48].

**Gender intersects with other social determinants of health**

It is not enough to say that women of all backgrounds experience violence, or to attempt to identify “higher risk” groups of women; we must also understand the ways in which different inequalities intersect in women’s lives to compound their experiences of violence [48].

**Mental and physical ability**

Women with physical disabilities, approximately 15% of the women in Canada [127], may face greater risk of being abused because of their dependence on their partners and increased isolation [7, 39, 48, 51-54].

A diagnosis of mental health problems may affect the woman’s credibility and therefore the responsiveness of health and community agencies (e.g., she may be denied services or custody of her children) [79, 128-131]. Justice system personnel may see her as “less deserving” of an optimal response [126].

**Substance use**

Substance use also has implications for system and community responsiveness to women experiencing abuse. Specifically, drug and alcohol use has potential implications for child custody that may prevent the woman from seeking safety by leaving or alerting authorities [79, 131].

Women are doubly penalized by professionals for being abused and substance using. The medical model, with a focus on “problems” is more likely to focus on substance use and discount or ignore its complex relationship with violence, which almost always predates the substance use [132].

**Age**

Young women are at a higher risk of violence and of being killed [42, 56-58]. This may be due to the downplaying of the seriousness of abuse in relationships between younger women and their partners [59, 133] when research reports that abuse can begin as early as in elementary school dating relationships [37].

Lack of access to resources may increase a woman’s dependence on the abuser for her needs. Women who are elderly may be particularly vulnerable to insufficient access to resources and increased reliance on an abuser, which may include her adult children [104, 134].

**Socio-economic status**

There has been much debate over whether poverty increases a woman’s risk of being abused [16]. While being poor has been found to be positively correlated with the likelihood of being in an abusive relationship [135], lifetime prevalence rates of women of different socio-economic status are similar [60].

This has been interpreted to mean that women of all socio-economic strata are at risk of experiencing abuse in their
relationships, while poverty can increase difficulties escaping the abuse [34, 48, 60-62].

“...I ended up going back [to him] after a while... mostly for financial reasons. I wasn’t able to get welfare. I wasn’t able to sustain a living here in the city even though I had been looking for work and it was one of those practical decisions of women going back into a situation they don’t even want to go into but there were no other choices.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Lack of independent access to resources has implications for women’s health and safety [103, 129, 136-148].

On the other end of the spectrum, a woman with higher socio-economic status may not have access to family finances, may fear not being believed if she speaks out about the abuse due to her partner’s social or financial status, and may fear ostracism from her community [149].

Race, culture and ethnicity

Rates of violence in the relationships of First Nations and Inuit women have been found to be higher than the Canadian average [53].

Relationship abuse may be exacerbated for indigenous women by economic factors, a history of colonization, and a cultural legacy of mistreatment and abuses that arose in past decades through educational practices [63].

Women of colour, First Nations women and poor women who are victims of relationship abuse face an increased likelihood of having their children apprehended by provincial authorities [9, 150].

Abuse for all “racialized” women can be compounded when disclosure may bring on assumptions that “certain cultures are more inherently violent”, stigmatization of interracial relationships, culturally inappropriate responses, or additional discrimination or violence against racialised communities [20, 48, 50, 62-69, 151-153].

Immigrant and refugee women may face greater barriers to escaping abuse due to isolation on the basis of language or culture, and to their dependent status on their partners as a result of immigration legislation and their marginalized place in the workforce [61, 64-67].

“Most women, especially women of colour who don’t speak English, they don’t demand, they don’t know their rights.”

- Woman abuse survivor

There can be negative consequences for a woman by reporting the violence if her immigration status is dependent on her partner [49, 133].

Geography

Women who live in rural communities also face similar effects due to isolation and increased community pressure to not speak out about abuse [32, 68, 69]. In addition, rural areas often lack support services such as women’s shelters [103, 133, 154].

Women who live in urban centres may be increasingly isolated due to the anonymity of cities, decreased contact with one’s neighbours and the separation of “home” and “work”, public and private spheres [155].

---

5 ‘Racialization’ is the phenomenon in which the term ‘race’ is used to refer generally only to people who are not white. While the experiences of ‘racialized’ women are clearly varied and unique, it is asserted that what they do share is the experience of assumptions being made about them on the basis of their skin colour, hair colour and texture, and facial features [48, 63,150].
Sexual orientation

Women in same-sex relationships experience abuse at the hands of their partners at similar rates as women in relationships with men [72, 152, 153], which provides support for the theory that abuse stems from power and control, and not simply gender [156]. Lesbians, bisexual, queer, transsexual and transgendered women can face increased difficulties obtaining support in the social context of homophobia and heterosexism [48, 70-72].

Sex work

Almost all women who work in the sex trade have experienced abuse or violence, with most being victimized more than once. In one British Columbian study, 99% of women working in the sex trade reported that they had been the victims of some form of abuse, with 97% reporting multiple victimization, and 73% having been sexually abused as children [73]. The marginalization and stigma associated with the survival sex trade and the normalizing of violence towards this population of women contributes to the barriers women face when trying to access health care and supports.

“People of colour, marginalized people in general... you feel you’re not wanted, you’re not welcome... not just in the health care system, everywhere you go you feel that attitude [but] obviously you can’t prove it.”
- Woman abuse survivor

All of these factors may affect how women are perceived and treated in the health system.

Tier One: SAFETY AND HEALTH ENHANCEMENT: Safety First

“Women who are abused experience a lengthy process of losing (or never having) a sense of self-worth and then regaining a sense of power and control in their relationships. This process can take years – if not an entire lifetime. Health care... may well accelerate her process towards change and safety... [and] ultimately lead to prevention of more serious injuries and medical symptoms, [and] prevention of mental health and psychiatric symptoms.... The most difficult lesson learned over the years has been the recognition... that it is essential to respect her process, her timetable, and her decisions.”
- WomanKind [157]

Research and women's stories reveal that women in abusive relationships are actively engaged in strategies to mitigate the negative aspects of their circumstances, challenging the myth of women as helpless or deficient. Their ability to stay safe and begin to heal from the impacts of abuse is largely determined by the support women receive in re-building their sense of self, regaining control over their lives and making connections to a network of support.
Women’s information needs

Women in abusive relationships employ particular adaptive survival strategies [158]. A woman’s ability to keep herself safe is related to the amount of information and knowledge she has [126].

It is important that women are able to identify the dimensions of the abuser’s violence and the implications for safety planning [159-161].

“I now] realize that the abuse is entirely his fault….[It] has been three years, but [the health care provider] helped plant the seeds of that…. It just takes awhile to digest a few things.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Negotiating safety

Women’s strategies generally lessen the immediate harm done to them while they work at rebuilding their self-worth, reclaiming control over their lives, and reaching out for social support [10].

Researchers have also reported on the ways in which women make and constantly revise risk-benefit calculations about leaving their abusive relationship [162]. Additional research clarifies that what appears to be tolerance of violence in their lives may actually reflect deliberately considered life-preserving behaviour [163].

“It had gotten to a point where I was just living [in the abusive relationship], but what really kept me sane was knowing that there were people out there who cared. My doctor cared, though she didn’t even know who I was. These strangers showed empathy, they listened, I think they understood, they tried to be helpful.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Women have been also described as surviving the abuse while getting ready to break free [158], and their decisions to stay or leave as highly rational choices [164].

Negotiating the dangers of leaving

While getting safely out of an abusive relationship may ultimately be the way to regain their health and safety, women in abusive relationships understand that leaving their abuser could potentially further jeopardize their health [10].

Thus, strategies for staying safe can include staying in a relationship and acquiescing to a partner’s control, while amassing the resources necessary to get safely away [10].

How this is achieved can vary greatly, and women recognize that they cannot always address long-term health issues while making decisions to protect their health and safety in the short-term [10].

Leaving an abusive relationship is a process [98, 158], and can be conceptualized as a spiral of escape out of a web of entrapment [165].

“Everyday feels better because of [the counselling], even the rough spots…. It helps a lot, and gives you that much more energy because somebody else is able to care for you or watch out for you, or just give you that kind word to carry you on to your next step.”

- Woman abuse survivor

According to a grounded theory study in which a researcher interviewed 13 abused women in-depth, the ability ultimately to leave comes from a shift in power within the relationship [158].
Supporting women’s strategies

The fortifying of women’s strengths, by any of the people in their lives, can help them to break free of abuse [158]. This also has been demonstrated in studies within the justice system [166].

“It was amazing because I hadn’t felt that good in a long time. And then going back home... just feeling so caged again... but I had this sense of relief that I was getting help. Somebody out there was helping me.”
- Woman abuse survivor.

In order for their safety strategies to be effective, women need to be perceived as experts in their circumstances [163, 167].

Women impacted by abuse want to have their wisdom and experiences listened to [168], and to have responses tailored to their unique situations and needs [162].

The key to survivors’ empowerment is shared control in their interactions with health care providers that recognize that women are in charge of their healing and do not expect to be rescued [169].

Women’s sense of agency must be facilitated and their personal strengths supported [124, 170]. To be relevant, all health service and education protocols, on behalf of woman abuse survivors, must be grounded in the realities and complexities of the abuse experience [171].

While some health care providers may view a successful intervention as one in which the woman leaves her abuser, training must emphasize the constraints she feels in leaving an abusive partner and the increased risks to her safety [172].

Women must always be treated as the expert in decisions about leaving an abusive relationship. Any attempt to coerce or threaten her to leave could put her at further risk and alienate her from future contact with the system. Respecting a woman’s decision to remain with or return to her partner supports her safety and demonstrates that the health care provider recognizes the complexity of her situation and the limits to her ability to make choices.

“Tell [a woman in an abusive relationship] all the options she has and let her know [you’re] not going to tell her [she has] to go to the shelter.... You just have to let [women] know what’s going on, not tell them, ‘Don’t do this anymore’, or ‘Let’s do this’.... You can let her know what things are available that you can help her with... [and let her know], ‘You don’t have to do anything at this moment’.”
- Woman abuse survivor.

The conceptual shift from rescuing women impacted by abuse, to aiding in their empowerment has implications for health care practice [22].

“We must be willing to accept that battered women are not so different from other women, that battering relationships may not be so different from ‘normal’ relationships. Perhaps battering is simply an extreme manifestation of characteristics of most sexual relationships. Perhaps battering is simply a caricature of our ideal of romantic love with its emphasis on intensity, isolation and total mental and physical possession and obsession.”
- Linda MacLeod [32]

Dr. Marylou Nancy Yam suggests that practitioners need to view a woman experiencing abuse as an individual
who can make decisions and collaborate with others to change her situation. Health care practitioners can begin to make this shift by examining their own attitudes regarding women, and asking themselves, “Do I blame the woman for her predicament? Do I see the abused woman as a powerless victim? Do I think the abused woman is able to participate in freeing herself from the controlling relationship?”[22]

“[I]n contrast to dominant views of battered women as helpless victims or as provocative women who ask for the abuse, [we must] approach battered women as survivors of harrowing, life-threatening experiences, who have many adaptive capacities and strengths. ”

– Michelle Bograd [173]

By understanding women’s experiences in abusive relationships, and with accessing health services, we can begin to develop an integrated intervention model which places women’s safety and health at the centre of our response.
Tier two: the physical and emotional harms associated with abuse may result in health impacts or exacerbate pre-existing health problems. This tier outlines the research documenting what we know about how the patterns of mental and physical intimidation and abuse have significant and lasting impacts on women’s health, with disability and death on the extreme end of the continuum of physical impacts. We know that:

- Abuse affects all aspects of a woman’s health, including physical injuries and disability, mental health, use of substances, sexual and reproductive health, and general health conditions;
- The health impacts of abuse may continue long after a woman has left the abuser; and
- Because abuse can affect every aspect of women’s health, there is no specific “presentation” of symptoms.

We also know that incorporating an accurate view of the health impact of abuse, including how the dynamics of abuse impact a woman’s ability to care for herself, will lead to more appropriate health care responses. In this tier’s safety and health enhancing measures, we will outline some proven and promising models of care for addressing health issues in the context of women’s lives, such as:

- Programs which provide training on the links between violence/abuse and women’s health; and
- Programs and models based on an understanding of the links between woman abuse and other health and social issues, including mental health issues, substance use, race/ethnicity, poverty, HIV/AIDS, age, sexual orientation, and disability.

“A history of battering has proven important as a backdrop to many vexing issues in women’s health.” - Dr. Anne Flitcraft [174]
Tier Two: COMPOUNDING HARMS: Hazardous to Her Health

Ignoring violence as a factor in women’s health and well-being not only leads to misdiagnosis and inadequate treatment, it also disregards the full extent of the personal/social consequences of violence. – Health Canada [1]

We present the health impacts of abuse under separate headings. In reality, these conditions and symptoms do not occur separately or in isolation from each other. For example, a woman can be injured as a result of an assault and may also have chronic gastro-intestinal problems, bladder infections, migraines and problems sleeping. It is essential to recognize the possible concurrent nature of acute, chronic and long-term physical and mental health consequences of woman abuse.

**General health conditions**

Living in terror can manifest in women’s bodies as problems sleeping, including insomnia, nightmares or repetitive dreams [26, 36, 175].

“It has affected my health in ways that I don’t even know, but the obvious one for me was that I wasn’t getting sleep and I was tired…. When you’re in a really stressful situation, you start exhibiting weird symptoms and your body reacts in certain ways… eczema or I’ll get heat rashes or other bizarre things that just show up where there’s no real cause…. It’s much more subtle. And you deal with all your health problems longer.” – Woman abuse survivor

Women experiencing abuse can also develop disorders related to eating and digestion, including loss of appetite, anorexia, bulimia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, irritable bowel syndrome, gastrointestinal illness and spastic colon [175-180].

“A indicator is my waist. If I got really stressed and I had no way to talk about it, my feelings mostly got stuffed down and I had to do it with food and so my weight went up and down and all over the place.” – Woman abuse survivor

Women who experience abuse can also have chronic and recurring symptoms including fainting, seizures, chest pain, hypertension, muscle tension, headaches, backaches, palpitations, and hyperventilation [43, 175, 177, 181].

“I was convinced that I was going to die if I didn’t get [my abusive partner] out of my life. I was convinced he was going to kill me, but that worried me less than dying from exhaustion and stress.” – Woman abuse survivor

**Mental health impacts**

Significant rates of mental health problems are consistently found among abused women. Women who have endured violent relationships are four to five times more likely to require psychiatric treatment [182]. Mood (e.g., depression, suicidality), anxiety (e.g., post traumatic stress disorder), and somatic disorders occur at high rates for women experiencing abuse [43, 87, 139, 142, 183-191].

It has affected my health in ways that I don’t even know, but the obvious one for me was that I wasn’t getting sleep and I was tired…. When you’re in a really stressful situation, you start exhibiting weird symptoms and your body reacts in certain ways… eczema or I’ll get heat rashes or other bizarre things that just show up where there’s no real cause…. It’s much more subtle. And you deal with all your health problems longer.” – Woman abuse survivor
Psychological impact is not determined by the severity or frequency of physical assault [192, 193]; rather, exposure to dominance is the strongest determinant of psychopathology, as well as threats of harm, sexual abuse, and emotional abuse [45].

“It wasn’t just depression, it was literal body exhaustion from that level of fear that I was in.”
- Woman abuse survivor

Coercive control by the abuser can have a significant impact on the psychological resources of the woman (e.g., decreased sense of agency, loss of identity, feelings of hopelessness, helplessness, guilt, and shame) [129, 141, 142, 160, 194-199].

In some cases, the psychological impact of being abused can lead to the development of significant mental health problems that may interfere with an abused woman’s decision-making ability and ability to protect herself [103].

“[t]he body mends soon enough… but the wounds inflicted upon the soul take much longer to heal.”
- Women abuse survivor [200]

There is evidence that many of these health problems post-date the battering [43, 189]. Thus, some clinicians believe that mental health problems should be treated as symptoms of abuse and not as mental health disorders per se [130, 189].

However, pre-existing mental health problems (including those resulting from childhood abuse or sexual assault) may also be exacerbated by woman abuse as a result of increased stress or being prevented from obtaining treatment [201].

Physical injury and disability

Violence against women in relationships has been found to be the single most common cause of injury to women [190].

Physical violence can result in bruises, lacerations, abrasions, burns, sprains, fractured bones, broken teeth, choking, head injuries, and internal abdominal injuries [43, 147, 175, 177, 202].

Injuries can range from minor to life threatening and may include injuries from firearms or other weapons.

“I actually had guns held to my head, and knives held to me, and choking.”
- Woman abuse survivor

Injuries sustained through abuse are more likely to be to the chest, neck and facial areas compared with injuries unrelated to abuse [181].

Chronic pain at the site of previous injuries is common for women who have experienced abuse [177, 203]. Long-term or permanent disability, such as hearing loss, visual impairment, disfigurement, brain damage, or paralysis can result from injury [26, 175].

Women may die as a result of acute physical trauma; 40% to 60% percent of murders of North American women are perpetrated by their intimate partners [204, 205]. According to police reports for 1999, 523 women in Canada died at the hands of their husbands or common-law partners [56].

Substance use

Women in abusive relationships are at increased risk for use of substances, including illicit drugs, alcohol, tobacco and prescription medication [26, 45, 187, 206].
When my anxiety levels get too bad because of my relationship, I take one [Valium]…. Trauma, the battering experience, the anxiety of the relationship problems have caused me to take a medication I wouldn’t take otherwise.

- Woman abuse survivor

Substance use may represent a woman’s strategy for coping with distress or it may reflect pressure from the abuser to consume these substances with him [129, 130, 206].

He wouldn’t let me not drink, he would bring alcohol over, or you had to drink just to be near him. He would put a glass under my face, he knew I didn’t want to drink.

- Woman abuse survivor

Substance use has many implications for women’s safety. When intoxicated, a woman may not be able to make decisions that might protect her from the abuser. A woman may also be reluctant to leave an abusive relationship because of her dependence on the abuser for access to drugs [131].

Despite the increased risk, women in abusive relationships are more likely to be inappropriately prescribed medication than women not experiencing abuse [45, 207]. Women express fears of addiction to prescription medication or a loss of alertness increasing their risk for more abuse [208].

“When a woman whose husband beat her] visited her physician complaining of weight loss, sleep problems, loss of energy, being unable to concentrate, and having lost pleasure in her everyday activities, her physician prescribed a tranquilizer.” [34]

“Talking about my depression symptoms with my family doctor…. She [didn’t] even try to talk to me [or ask me] what was bothering me [or how] I feel…. Just a prescription.”

- Woman abuse survivor

One researcher points out that the “most damaging side effect [of inappropriate medication] is not a directly physical one, but the impact their use has on patients’ abilities to think or feel their own way out of a situation.” [209]

One women who was given anti-depressants after visiting her physician for injuries from her partner said that “[t]he medication made me feel numb. It was hard to respond normally.” It was only during a brief interval when she was “noncompliant” and stopped taking the medication that she was able to escape her abusive partner [210].

Reproductive and sexual health complications

Because the terms of sexual relations can be dangerous to negotiate by women in abusive relationships, and many women are sexually assaulted by their abusive partners, they face an increased risk of contracting sexually transmitted infections, including HIV/AIDS, and of having unwanted pregnancies [26, 175, 177, 192, 211, 212].

“I don’t use the birth control pill because my family has a strong history of breast cancer. I had always used condoms, but he said he didn’t like to…. Then I became pregnant.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Other gynaecological symptoms of abuse include chronic pelvic, abdominal or vaginal pain, vaginal bleeding or infection, fibroids, pain with intercourse, urinary tract infections, pre-menstrual syndrome, and dysmenorrhea [175, 177, 178, 213].

Violence against women in relationships has been reported in an exploratory study
to increase a woman's risk of pre-invasive and invasive cervical cancer [214]. The mechanism through which this happens is unknown, but the stress of being in an abusive relationship and the transmission of human papillomavirus through sexual assault are offered as possible factors [214].

**Perinatal effects**

There is some debate over whether pregnancy is a time of increased risk for abuse. What is clear, however, is that women are more vulnerable, less able to assert their independence, and less likely to leave during pregnancy [45, 215, 216]. Women experiencing abuse may also be more likely to require and seek health care during pregnancy than at other times during their lives [42].

Women in abusive relationships are also more likely to have an unhealthy diet during pregnancy, poor fetal weight gain, blunt injury to the abdomen, fetal injury and death, miscarriage, pre-term birth, and decreased or low birth weight babies [57, 175, 217, 218].

The use of tobacco, alcohol and other substances can have an impact on the prenatal development of infants whose mothers are experiencing abuse [219, 220].

**Other health impacts**

Despite what is known about the far-reaching physical and mental health impacts of woman abuse, the negative societal beliefs and stereotypes that exist about women who are being abused can influence the way health care providers evaluate women and their health concerns.

Connections between abuse and health are generally concealed through prevailing methods of diagnosis [162].

Health care providers can and have compounded the problems of women experiencing violence by “negatively labeling them as hysterical or with borderline personality disorders.” [221] When women have been labeled as having a mental illness, they are often disbelieved when they do speak out about the violence they or their children are experiencing [79].

A health care encounter shaped by these beliefs can result in a negative experience for a woman experiencing abuse. Women who use drugs or alcohol or who have mental health problems are already marginalized in society and are often more harshly judged and blamed for the abuse. The routine and institutional practices which play a role in this are explored more in Tier Four.

“The doctor was extremely rude to me. I told him I might be emotional around the surgery [to repair the scar from a knife wound] because of the connections with the abuse. He said, ‘don’t you come up to my office being emotional. You get some Valium or something and get under control.’”

- Woman abuse survivor [79]

**The health costs of violence against women**

In addition to the costs to individual women’s health, at the societal level it is the health sector that carries the major burden of care arising from the consequences of violence [12].

The total measurable costs of woman abuse in Canada each year relating to health and well-being is estimated to be $1,539,650,387 [222].
In conclusion, an understanding of the dynamics and impacts of abuse can help to reframe negative judgments into recognition of women’s strengths and safety strategies which will help to support women in addressing the enormous health burden of abuse.

Tier Two: SAFETY AND HEALTH ENHANCEMENT: More than a Band-Aid Solution

All of the health impacts outlined above have established treatments. However, the root cause of the impact and what women are able to do to care for their health in the context of their relationships and their social location must be incorporated into any treatment plan or approach.

While health care providers cannot prevent abuse or related health impacts, they can, first, do no harm, and second, work with women to improve their health. By connecting health issues with their underlying social causes, health care providers can help women to also make these links between their experiences of abuse and a myriad of physical and mental health impacts.

Rather than trying to identify abused women, the high prevalence and incidence of woman abuse in our society allows us to link the issue of violence with health impacts based on the findings that many female patients are experiencing or have experienced abuse. A health and safety enhancement approach recognizes that women will volunteer information about abuse if it is relevant and safe, and if they have had the necessary support to identify their experiences as abuse.

**Linking violence and health**

WomanKind, an innovative health care program in Minnesota, points out that, “health professionals must address not only the presenting problem but also the underlying cause of the medical and/or mental health problem. The ultimate goal for health care providers is to integrate issues of domestic abuse into the total health care of each patient... Health professionals must make the connection between a patient’s health problems and the abuse and violence in her life.” [157]

Empowering health care providers through training and program development is an important way to learn how to support the knowledge and strengths of abused patients and make links to women’s health issues [223].

One cannot dictate to health care providers to share control with their patients in health care interactions [84, 223]. Rather, educators must model non-abusive ways of interacting [224]. One way to achieve this is to involve staff in the planning of programs. Initiatives that respect staff input empower them in developing programs that may also help to create a culture of non-violence [225].
Empowering methods of educating about violence against women can model how providers can interact effectively and supportively with their patients. Health care providers are more likely to exhibit supportive principles of caring, sharing control and connecting in their own practice if they experience those same principles at work. This includes having personal and professional experiences of abuse validated and addressed in the health care system [172].

One example of empowerment training is found in British Columbia. This hospital-based program for woman abuse that educates health care providers about women-centred care, and addresses structures of power within the health care system has spearheaded an initiative to support the creation of similar programs province-wide [171].

The Woman Abuse Response Program at British Columbia's Women's Hospital is educating health professionals to shift practice rather than place the responsibility on women to disclose abuse. The guiding principles are adapted from the anti-violence field, acknowledging the centrality of women's safety and the need to mitigate the intersecting discriminations of gender-based violence, social circumstance and culture and race [171].

The program recognizes that staff may bring their own experiences of abuse to their work, and that this experience can be helpful in creating strategies for responding appropriately to abuse in the lives of their patients based on the clinical area, focus of care and workload [226].

At the same time health care providers are understanding how similar women's experiences of abuse can be, they must also become aware of the particular ways religion, culture, race, language and immigration status affect a given woman's perceptions of abuse, her access to services, her response to interventions and the impact of abuse in her life.

This program also works at a systemic level, advocating for changes in policy that discriminate against women, or policies that disregard violence in the lives of women, ignore the gendered reality of violence or assume the safety and autonomy of all women [226].

**Linking race, ethnicity and woman abuse**

To provide effective services to women who are targets of abuse, social institutions need to have adequate knowledge and awareness of violence against women in relationships, have appropriate attitudes towards violence against women in relationships, and be responsive to the woman's individual needs (i.e., language, culture, ability sexual orientation, age, or lifestyle) [104, 141, 153, 227].

Changes in the ways that the health care system treats issues of race, both within the workplace and in regards to patients, can help provide better care for women in abusive relationships. Rather than being interpreted within the ideological framework of multiculturalism, culture should be addressed in terms of the political status and historical experiences of the social group for whom one is caring [48, 150, 228-230].

“The issue isn’t one of cultural sensitivity, it’s one of respect…. Talking culture doesn’t make sense here, but understanding the impact of migration, of gendered relations, does… and treating women as whole beings is an absolute necessity.”

– Dr. Yasmin Jiwani [150].
A broader model of “cultural safety” has been proposed that argues for health care providers to take into consideration the socio-political reality of their patients, not simply culture in isolation [48, 150, 228-230].

A culturally-competent intervention respects a woman’s right to dictate the course of her actions and recognizes that she will accept an option only if it makes sense from her frame of reference [231].

This model “asserts that in order to be effective, medical practice must recognize the centrality of the patient’s perspective and social environment in defining and explaining his or her condition and in designing and implementing medical response.” [232]

Cross-cultural challenges in providing appropriate health care to women in abusive relationships include:

- Countering stereotypes about violence and specific groups;
- Providing interpretation services that allow safe disclosure (i.e. that are not based on informal volunteers from one’s community or family);
- Providing services that are not based solely on Euro-Canadian values;
- Providing services that are accessible from the perspective of women; and
- Supporting “solutions” that respect and account for women’s cultural and religious values.

- Dr. Marina Morrow and Dr. Colleen Varcoe [7]

Shifting health care based on white, heterosexual, able-bodied, middle-class, middle-age norms to a health care system which recognizes the diverse social locations of its patients and works to redress and address the social determinants of health is an important part of treating the health implications of woman abuse.

**Linking substance use and woman abuse**

According to Dr. Norma Finkelstein, a well-respected researcher in women’s health, “trauma is central and pervasive to the development of addiction and mental health problems in women.” [233]

“To substantially reduce the incidence of alcoholism and drug abuse in women of childbearing age... social changes are needed in areas of financial supports, housing, health care, employment, child care, children’s services, family supports, legal rights, and sexual division of labour in the family.”

– Dr. Norma Finklestein [233]

In the provision of health care services, an integration of harm reduction and women-centred care – both models based in the reality of individual lives in a social context of disempowerment and stigma – are promising directions for supporting women impacted by substance use and violence [132].

The Maxxine Wright Community Health Centre, located in Surrey, British Columbia, is an example of health services based on an integrated women-centred harm reduction model. Its development is based on an understanding of the complex links between women’s experiences of violence/abuse and substance use, and a sequelae of other medical and social conditions. A partnership between the local health authority and an anti-violence women’s organization provides a range of health and social services under one roof by a well-trained, multi-disciplinary team committed to women-centred care [234].
In the United Kingdom, the Stella Project has been actively working towards bringing service providers from both the anti-violence and substance use fields together to develop better practice [235]. Initiated in 2002, it aims to support both sectors through training, events and consultancy to assist in the development of good practice, procedures and policies [236].

**Linking mental health and woman abuse**

Mental health issues are often the missing link between substance use and woman abuse, with women self-medicating or being prescribed medications to address the mental health impacts of experiencing abuse and violence [132, 233].

Understanding that more than 70% of those with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder are women [237], and that violence and abuse precedes mental health issues for the vast majority of women [238] can shape the provision of more appropriate mental health care for women.

Women-centred mental health projects piloted around British Columbia, which take into account women’s experiences of violence and unique social context, have been demonstrated to improve women’s health and well-being [6].

As well, designing “trauma-informed” health care services—based on the understanding that more than half of the women who walk through their doors will have experienced trauma at some point in their child or adult lives—can help to avoid retraumatization in health care settings [239].

In October 1999, the BC Association of Specialized Victim Assistance and Counseling Programs and the BC/Yukon Society of Transition Houses offered a three-day professional development symposium, *Connecting: Mental Health and Violence Against Women*, designed to create an opportunity for dialogue and relationship building between mental health workers and women working in the community on issues of violence against women [7].

More recently in British Columbia, a process called *Building Bridges: Linking Woman Abuse, Substance Use and Mental Health* began with a roundtable forum in December 2006. This ongoing initiative is bringing practitioners from the anti-violence, substance use and mental health fields together in order to learn from each other how to better incorporate the links between the three issues into their work through dialogue and development of best practice guidelines [240].

**Linking pregnancy and woman abuse**

Using the knowledge and experience developed through working with pregnant and early parenting women impacted by abuse, substance use and mental health issues at the Maxxine Wright Community Health Centre, Atira Women’s Resource Society and the Woman Abuse Response Program, in partnership with Fraser Health and Kwantlen College Nursing, developed on-line training modules for nurses on the links between these issues.⁶

**Linking HIV/AIDS and woman abuse**

It has been argued that addressing gender inequality and woman abuse as one of its symptoms, is critical in addressing the spread of HIV/AIDS among women [241].

According to the World Health Organization, women are often unable to negotiate safe sex practices with their partners, abusive partners may engage in extra-marital sex,
and sexual assault can result in tearing of sensitive tissues and an increase risk of contracting the virus [242].

Thus, WHO has recognized that looking at sex-desegregated data is necessary to reflect progress in addressing gender issues in HIV/AIDS is important for equity as well as effectiveness [242].

“The struggle for gender equality is the toughest struggle of all, and never have I felt it more keenly than in the battle against HIV/AIDS .... I cannot emphasize strongly enough that the inertia and sexism which plague our response are incredibly, almost indelibly engraingined, and in this desperate race against time we will continue to lose vast numbers of women. That is not to suggest for a moment that we shouldn’t make every conceivable effort to turn the tide; it is only to acknowledge the terrible reality of what we’re up against.”

- Stephen Lewis [241]

An example of health care programs taking into consideration the links between abuse and HIV/AIDS are those developing processes for disclosure of HIV status to current and prior sexual partners that work to recognize and minimize adverse consequences for HIV-positive women from abusive partners [242].

**Linking age, violence and health**

Taking into account the different ways that abuse affects women and girls across their lifespan is important in addressing woman abuse and its health effects.

For young women and girls, taking seriously the power and control experienced in their dating relationships, giving them girl-only space to talk about issues of violence, racism, and self-esteem, and supporting their independence through physical activity and community action are all part of POWER Camp National, based in Ottawa [243].

POWER Camp for Girls Vancouver arose out of a meeting of health researchers and young women at an Adolescent Health Working Group hosted by the BC Centre of Excellence for Women’s Health in 2001. Evaluation of their two-week summer daycamp and afterschool program shows that it is effective in improving girls’ self-esteem, safety, body image and health [244].

In White Rock, British Columbia, the first senior women’s transition house in Canada was recently established. Taking into account the very different ways that abuse affects women over 55, Ama House supports women in regaining their safety and health [245].

Working with programs that provide education on violence against women, osteoporosis clinics are beginning to recognize that abuse may play a role in a significant percentage of the fractures they see in older women [171].

**Linking sexual orientation and violence**

Violence in same-sex relationships can be even less visible to others than abuse in heterosexual relationships. Training and resources are provided to social service and health care providers to improve their knowledge and skills in the area of same-sex relationship abuse by Safe Choices, a Vancouver-based program. Safe Choices focuses on improving the health and safety of women who are currently or have been in abusive lesbian relationships by empowering women and strengthening communities to respond to the issue [246].
Linking disability and violence

The DisAbled Women’s Network (DAWN) Canada has been making the links between women’s physical and mental ability and violence/abuse for several decades. Their work includes supporting and participating in research regarding the links, and addressing issues such as poverty, employment equity, violence, mothering, sexuality, health, isolation, access to services and New Reproductive Technologies (NRTs) [247, 248].

Linking poverty and woman abuse

Women, especially single parents, make up the vast majority of people living in poverty. Poverty is a significant barrier to leaving an abusive relationship, and the high levels of abuse that homeless and poor women experience lead to a myriad of health problems [249].

Working to address this “feminization of poverty”; while building services on the understanding that transportation, childcare and the inability to purchase prescribed medications and other health-related items are significant barriers to addressing health issues can create more appropriate services for women marginalized by abuse and poverty [249].

Because women and their children living in poverty often seek shelter services because they have difficulty finding affordable housing [249], it is especially important for health care providers to be knowledgeable about community resources, and to work with community agencies to provide a continuum of care [10].

This continuum of care, along with empathic and caring health care providers who worked to establish trust and rapport with women, has been reported to facilitate health promotion behaviours, such as better attention to prevention and women’s increased ability to advocate for themselves [249].

Making links to break isolation

Research suggests that when health impacts are dealt with in the context of women’s lives, and women feel cared for, that they have some control over their health care encounter, and they feel connected to a health setting or community resources they are referred to, their health can improve [10].

“That’s when I started getting better, when I started saying, ‘This is what I need. This is what I need you to do. And this is what I’m going to do.’”
- Woman abuse survivor

Experiences in health care and other resources which counter the effects of disempowerment, isolation and degradation can improve women’s health and well-being [10].

“No things are better and so I sleep better. I feel more comfortable and each day I’m taking more control back of my life and what I’m doing.”
- Woman abuse survivor

Interaction with supportive others has also been found to be part of the healing process [250].

“Little by little I became fine and my sleep habits became regular.”
- Woman abuse survivor

With improved health, women are more able to deal with the abuse in their lives and ultimately regain their safety and health [10].
Vitality and fitness-wise, I’ve got a lot more energy to do things and to be with people and have more fun. I don’t usually get sick very often… so I think I’m getting it together.

- Woman abuse survivor

Healing after departure from an abusive relationship is not solely about physical separation from the abuser, but includes women realizing their own potential [158, 250].

I do feel a lot stronger now. I can actually see the rainbow, the pot of gold…. I’ve put on a lot of weight. People actually say I look a lot healthier, a lot better, a lot more alive. I was probably down to 100 pounds. I’ve put on 25 pounds since I left.

- Woman abuse survivor

In the next tier, women’s access to health care settings, and how this can be hindered or facilitated, is discussed.
Tier three: Given the enormous burden that abuse puts on women's health, it is not surprising that many studies show that women in abusive relationships are more likely to require health care than women not experiencing abuse, in a range of health settings. Paradoxically, women experiencing abuse also describe relationship and systemic barriers that interfere with them receiving much-needed care, including:

- Being prevented from accessing health care by their abusive partner;
- Having abusive partners dominate or control the health care encounters; leaving women without the care they need; and
- Women delaying or avoiding health care due to previous negative encounters with either individual health care providers or systemic barriers.

These encounters can “echo” the abuse women are subject to in their relationship and make them less likely to seek health care in the future [10].

“It got to the point where [I was] scared to go to the hospital, even. A lot of times I remember just trying to take care of myself. I didn’t want to go to the hospital. I didn’t want to go through that harassment. Because I knew what was going to happen, knew that they were going to try to get me to give them his name and all this stuff. So, I’d sooner suffer at home.”

- Woman abuse survivor

While these barriers can intensify women’s isolation and leave the health impacts of the abuse untreated, creating safe access to appropriate health services can work to mitigate the impacts of abuse by improving health and decreasing isolation.

This can be achieved by:

- Health care providers understanding and accounting for the dynamics of power and control that women may be experiencing by their partner;
- Health care providers working to provide care that is counter to the dynamics of abuse women are experiencing;
- Removing systemic barriers to care wherever possible; and
- Designing services based on the needs of women, such as support groups, rather than being limited to traditional medical services.
Tier Three: COMPOUNDING HARMS: Between a Rock and a Hard Place

Access to health care is complex for women experiencing abuse. They are caught between poor health, a controlling partner and a system that is unprepared to adequately respond to their health and safety needs. Some key factors that limit women’s access to health care and increase risks to her safety and health are described below.

**Access to health care is controlled by abusive partners**

An abusive partner may interfere with a woman’s ability to care for herself, seek health care, or adhere to proposed treatment regimens. Abusive partners may make it difficult for women to care for chronic medical conditions such as diabetes, asthma, angina, and pain [190].

Power and control in relationships may manifest as an abusive partner preventing a woman from seeking health care until she is very ill [93] or from seeking prenatal care before the third trimester, remaining by her side unceasingly during her hospital stay, or exerting control over medical decisions [251].

In addition to preventing the woman from gaining access to other basic resources she needs, an abuser may control women’s access to health care and other services by preventing, accompanying, undermining, controlling or monitoring health care contact and decisions, and insisting on premature release from hospital [190, 208].

An abusive partner may also describe a woman as mentally ill and a danger to herself as a strategy to maintain control over her [130].

Having needs ignored or devalued in her relationship may make a woman either delay seeking, or not seek health care [10, 252].

Negative health care encounters also affect women’s decision to utilize health services.

**Access is determined by health care providers**

Women impacted by abuse report that they were more reluctant to access health care if, in previous health care experiences, they felt health practitioners did not care about them, gave them little say in treatment decisions, pressured them into certain courses of action, shared their personal information without permission, or made them feel guilt or shame. This was true whether or not health care providers knew about the abuse [10].

Newman found that women in transition shelters specifically named the lack of concern in the health care system as a barrier to getting help in leaving their abusive relationships [253].

"I don’t go [to health care] unless there’s something dreadfully wrong with me. You just don’t want to be treated like you’re wasting other people’s time or you’re wasting your time."

- Woman abuse survivor

Plichta and colleagues found that a significant proportion of the 1,082 women they surveyed (7% of whom reported being
in abusive relationships) reported having health concerns minimized and being told “it’s all in your head.” [27]

In another study, 50% of abused women reported negative experiences in health care and 63% did not go to hospital emergency immediately after being attacked by their partners. Part of the negative experience was that health care providers focused only on the physical injuries [93].

Feeling that the knowledge they had about their health or relationships was not respected or included in health care decisions also made these women less likely to seek health care in the future. Not receiving support after disclosing abuse had a similar effect [10].

“That [kind of treatment] doesn’t make it easier to take yourself to the hospital, [knowing] that you’re going to be left feeling terrible and upset [when you’re] needing to have some support.”
- Woman abuse survivor

Many women describe a “no-win” paradox when seeking health care, where they are either made to feel they are being overly sensitive and have accessed health care over “nothing”, or else they have waited far too long and have put their health in jeopardy [10, 63].

“When I described the health impacts of the abuse my doctor said ‘Nothing is wrong with you. Just relax. Go on a vacation’.”
- Woman abuse survivor

An attitude of superiority among health care professionals can be frustrating for women, often to the point of not wanting to visit a doctor [9].

Women in abusive relationships fear being put in danger through health care providers speaking to their partner, documenting the abuse, or involving police or other authorities without their consent [90]. They also fear having their children apprehended [90].

Prejudicial attitudes (eg. class elitism, racism, sexism, ageism, and homophobia) towards both the survivors and the perpetrators of violence can play a role in women’s experiences in health care and their likelihood of accessing care in the future [63, 64, 94, 97, 254].

“People who are on welfare [may have] already been put down by welfare people [making them feel] that ‘You’re dirt, you’re a bum, you’re not working, you’re using our system’. And then they have this in their mind that everybody’s putting them down, so they go to hospital, and they get the worst treatment [because they do not speak up]. They go to medical labs, and they get no treatment. They go to their doctor, and hear ‘Oh, you’re fine.’ They get no treatment. They’re the ones who really suffer.”
- Woman abuse survivor.

Physicians often attribute violence to cultural groups on the assumption that these communities are inherently violent [64].

First Nations women describe avoiding health care if, during their encounters, they felt invalidated, diminished, not listened to, negatively stereotyped, or their personal circumstances disregarded [63].

“A young, First Nations woman was sexually assaulted. She went to hospital, the doctor refused to do a physical exam because she had tracks on her arms. She begged him for drugs for STDs and the morning-after pill. He refused.”
- Women’s advocate [79]
Women who use drugs or alcohol are often discredited and not given appropriate treatment, making them less likely to return [99].

“A lot of times, I think that people have mistaken me for being North American Indian and I do believe that it did play an important role. I hate to say it, but I think they’re very prejudiced toward First Nations. And they have this idea of the way they are supposed to be, ‘they’re all “alkies” or addicts. They all get beaten up and they deserve it’. I really didn’t feel like anyone cared.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Women who use drugs or alcohol are even more unlikely to seek health care during pregnancy for fear of providers’ judgement and involvement of child welfare authorities [255].

Linkages between violence and mental health are rarely explored, making women in abusive relationships fear being labeled “crazy”, rather than being seen as someone experiencing the impacts of abuse [64, 256, 257].

A review of mental health services across the province of BC found that “crisis responses are poor and inadequate in some regions and are experienced by some women as further traumatizing. In some rural communities it was almost routine for individuals experiencing an acute psychiatric crisis to be jailed until other supports could be found. In general, psychiatric hospitals’ crisis responses were experienced by women… as punitive rather than helpful and supportive.” [258]

**Systemic responses discourage access**

While it may be difficult to draw a clear line between attitudes of health care providers and systemic level barriers, it is also important to recognize that despite the best intentions of individuals within it, aspects of the health system can discourage access for abused women [141, 148].

When health care institutions do not make the link between violence against women and health or address the concomitant health issues, a woman experiencing abuse is less likely to make those links, or see the health care system as a place where her health concerns will be taken seriously.

No longer seeing the health care system as an avenue of support means that women can become even more isolated [10].

A lack of understanding of the links between women’s safety and women’s empowerment may result in disempowering behaviour on the part of service providers, which can prevent women from seeking help [126].

The emergency room, where acute injuries present the most obvious links between abuse and health, is a setting that is least likely to have the elements in place to support women experiencing abuse – including sufficient time, a relationship with the health care providers, and privacy [162].

Paradoxically for health care institutions beginning to make those links and instituting a screening program, knowing that she may be asked about the abuse as part of routine assessment and/or that her abusive relationship may be documented in health records may also prevent a woman from seeking health care [79].

The routine involvement of hospital social workers or child protection workers when woman abuse is suspected may place women at risk, or in future, she may delay or avoid seeking health care [79].
I remember getting broken ribs once and I didn’t even go in. I just suffered it myself. I knew they had to be broken because it was so painful, but I didn’t even want to go in and check… because… I really didn’t think they were out to help me, they were out to get him. And to get him was just going to hurt me even more.

- Woman abuse survivor

Limited hours of operation, lack of services, or distance to services make women unable to access needed health care. In particular, women who live in rural and remote areas frequently do not have adequate access to acute care, family practitioners or specialists and many women fear that their privacy will not be maintained if they seek help from a professional [48, 63, 64].

Signage used in medical facilities may also deter women from accessing services. For example, services which clearly identify themselves as providing abortion services, drug and alcohol treatment, mental health services, sexually transmitted infection testing or treatment, may be accessed but at a cost to a woman’s dignity or privacy. Though health care providers may be accustomed to talking about health issues openly and objectively, if the social meanings that words are imbued with are not recognized, it can create barriers to accessing services.

The medical system’s requirement to produce identification may also be a barrier for women whose identification is controlled by an abusive partner [234].

Some supports may be available but may not be accessible to the woman due to cost, transportation, hours of operation, language, disability-related issues, etc. [259].

When you first come in, they ask you what you’re there for and I said… ‘I’ve been hit by my partner, I need to be seen by a doctor…. The nurse… she just had me fill out some papers and then go and sit in the waiting room. I was left sitting in the waiting room a long time just bawling my eyes out… I couldn’t stop crying… I just could have used a little support.’

- Woman abuse survivor

Knowing they may have to wait for long periods of time can make women in abusive relationships less likely to seek health care [10].

“Efficient processing” of patients or the “ten-minute factor” effectively limits the potential of developing trust and communicates to women that their health concerns are not important, making women less likely to seek health care [10, 64, 90, 97].

Health care providers trained to identify and “fix” problems may make women who have been prescribed solutions in the past – such as involving the police – to avoid health care [10, 254, 260].

Diversity of the patient population is often not reflected in the staff, making women of colour and aboriginal women less likely to believe they will receive culturally safe care [231].

According to one study, language barriers often force women to turn to physicians who share the same cultural and racial background. Women experiencing abuse fear that their confidence may be breached resulting in ostracization and exclusion from their community [64].

Recognizing that abusive partners will prevent and control access to health care, the health care system can work to provide services that women can and will access.
Tier Three: SAFETY AND HEALTH ENHANCEMENT: Making the Connections

Evidence suggests that there is a great deal that concerned health care providers can do in their individual practice to facilitate access to care for abused women [10]. Health systems can also shift to facilitate access to care for women experiencing the health impacts of abuse.

**Recognizing partner’s control over access**

Not assuming that a woman’s partner has her best interests at heart can be an important first step. Nurses at one maternity hospital describe how they came to realize that the partners who are always at a woman’s side may not be the caring, supportive people they appear to be [226].

Providing some services to women in a private setting, away from her partner, may provide women with an opportunity to express their needs. However, health care providers must understand that she may fear expressing wishes that are different from her partner’s, for fear of later retaliation [226].

Missing or being late for appointments can be important clues to a woman’s situation. Rather than a punitive approach such as charging fees, insisting on rescheduling or refusing services, health settings that are flexible and can offer drop-in services can provide more accessibility to women experiencing abuse [261].

Strategies such as asking women what is possible for them in terms of booking appointments, and ensuring it is safe to phone her home with reminders of appointments, can help address accessibility issues [171, 234].

Health care providers can achieve caring health care encounters by considering women’s feelings and needs, expressing concern about those needs, and taking women and their concerns seriously [10].

**Providing care to counter the dynamics of abuse**

If women in abusive relationships feel cared for and included in decisions in a health care setting, they are much more likely to view the health care system as a place where they can find care and support and seek assistance in the future [10].

> “If you get the help that you need, you’re more open or willing or more optimistic to go and seek help again.”
> - Woman abuse survivor

Active and non-judgmental listening and accepting and supporting women in their choices are essential aspects of a caring health care encounter. Caring approaches help ensure that women feel comfortable returning to the health care setting for additional support as they work at regaining their health and escaping their abusive relationships [10].

Some First Nations women report being much more likely to seek health care if they have had affirming encounters [63]. The features of these encounters include: feeling genuinely cared for; sharing knowledge and having power over health care decisions; being encouraged to ask questions; having providers be unconcerned about time constraints; being helped to become more in control over health; and being able to develop a long-term relationship with their provider [63].
Building trusting relationships

In research interviews, family doctors describe seeing women fail to return to their practice after being asked screening questions about physical abuse in their relationships. They instead began to focus on building trusting relationships with their patients in the hopes that they would share personal information when they felt it safe to do so [262].

One example of a community-based health care setting with the explicit service philosophy of engaging women in as welcoming a way as possible is the Sheway project which provides a comprehensive array of health and social supports to pregnant women in Vancouver who use substances [261].

Sheway’s goal is to reduce the isolation of women by “providing a positive experience with a community service which may serve as a basis for further connection.” [261] This is done by focusing on building trusting relationships with women who access services. Sheway recognizes that having staff from differing visible minorities can serve to help women feel safer when first accessing services.

As well, taking into account the conditions of women’s lives, Sheway staff work to actively and effectively address surmountable barriers by providing outreach, being accepting of where women are at, and not dictating care to women [261].

In Powell River, the mental health team learned from anti-violence women’s advocates that many women impacted by abuse wanted to access a particular support group at mental health services but were reluctant to go through the intake and assessment process or be seen to be accessing mental health services. They teamed up, then, to offer the support group at the women’s centre, thus reducing this barrier to women accessing health services and supports. This also allowed women to get to know and trust the mental health care providers, thus providing a bridge into those services for women impacted by abuse.

Harm reduction

Women using substances are likely to be experiencing abuse or still dealing with its impacts. Thus, supporting women where they are “at” with their use of substances, with dignity, choice and support is more apt to make women in abusive relationships return to health settings [234, 255, 261].

Harm reduction is a public health philosophy which neither condemns nor condones the use of substances. It focuses attention on the consequences of substance use, not the use itself and recognizes that some users cannot or will not stop use in the short-term. In harm reduction, behaviour change is viewed as an incremental process [263, 264].

In the case of women experiencing abuse, it also recognizes that the root cause of the problem, the violence, may need to be dealt with first before the symptom or coping mechanism – the use of substances – can be addressed [132].

Harm reduction also takes a broader view in recognizing that harms related to substance use are not caused by user behaviour in isolation, but are influenced by distinct social and environmental factors. For example, misinformed or ineffective interventions or policy can be as important
as user behaviour and the contexts of use as the source of substance-related harms, and therefore must also be targeted for “harm reduction” interventions [263, 264].

Fir Square, the maternity unit at BC Women’s Hospital specifically designed for women struggling with their use of substances, has developed harm reduction strategies to improve women’s access to care. For example, rather than giving up a woman’s bed if she leaves the hospital, Fir Square allows a woman to return up to 24 hours later and still have her place without going through a re-admission process. Rather than punishing women for having fears or anxiety about being in health care or the realities of living a street-entrenched life, this policy works to ensure that women face few barriers to accessing the health services they need.

**Cultural safety**

Culturally competent health systems focus on accessibility, accountability, sustained partnership (care is based on trusting, continuing, respectful and responsible relationships between patient and clinician), and the context of family and community [230].

Addressing cultural safety more appropriately within its structures may mean that the health care system needs to hire and promote more people to management who represent the patient population being served [150, 231].

Without assuming that women will automatically be better treated by health professionals of their own ethnic or religious background, it has been argued that representation within the health care system of the diversity of the population can only help to better reflect the needs of all patients [1, 231].

Having health care providers that reflect patients’ diversity also does not mean that there are resident “cultural experts.” Rather each staff person needs to make the investment of time and resources to become culturally competent [231]. This involves a commitment to:
- Self-evaluation and critique;
- Working to make patient-provider relationships more equal; and
- Developing mutually beneficial and respectful partnerships with community agencies [265].

**Removing structural barriers**

Additionally, striving to remove barriers by providing transportation, increased hours of operation, services in several languages, privacy, services to those without health coverage or identification, etc., can assist women in abusive relationships in accessing the care they need [234].

In addition to Fir Square, which has limited beds, BC Women’s also provides health services on an outpatient basis to pregnant, substance-using women. The clinic recognizes that women living in poverty or with violence may not have a Personal Health Number, identification or a phone number, so not having these is not a barrier to receiving care at the clinic.

In order to recognize and remove barriers, the World Health Organization recommends a “situational analysis” describing available services, how they are organized and how accessible they are. They suggest that it is crucial to consider financial, transportation, time, cultural and other barriers, as well as geographical distribution when judging the accessibility of services [12].
Tier four explores the ways in which both what is done and how things are done in health care can influence not just accessibility but also the safety and health of women impacted by abuse. As reported in Tier Three, women in abusive relationships observe that the traditional system of care can re-create dynamics of abusive relationships in which women lose control over decisions, and do not feel cared for or respected.

“*When an act of violence cannot be prevented, high quality service can minimize all forms of harm caused to the victim… harm will be minimized when the individual’s medical, psychological, social and legal needs are all met.*”

- World Health Organization [12]

The *Compounding Harms Model* illustrates what may be less visible in the broader institutional culture of health care, including:

- The medical model, which does not view a woman as a whole being or in light of her social context and, by relying on gender stereotypes about the nature of women, can thus ignore or minimize the underlying causes of women’s health concerns;
- The power and expertise afforded doctors and other health care providers to prescribe solutions;
- Lack of consent or control in procedures that can retraumatize women;
- Labeling women as the problem when they are not able to ‘comply’, thus limiting solutions to those aimed at her changing her circumstances, rather than adopting a social change approach that addresses the circumstances that sanction inequality and violence; and
- Attempting to identify women as abused within health care structures that may result in further negative experiences.

“One of the things my partner really reinforced, because that was one of my worst fears, was that I was a hypochondriac… and it [was] the same going to see the physician. [Being made to feel] ‘Oh you’re just exaggerating things again’ or ‘You’re being too sensitive about this or that’. And that’s part of the problem is that you have somebody else messing with your mind now… I think I was ill a lot longer because of it.”

- Woman abuse survivor
This tier also reviews promising practices and models within the health sector that offer potential to mitigate the harmful effects of woman abuse, and provide high quality health care. These models begin with the premise of recognizing that routine and institutional practice may be harming women, and work to avoid retraumatization. Essential to these practices include that they:

- Are not “add-ons” but fundamental shifts in the way health services are organized and delivered;
- Aspire to counter the dynamics and impacts of abuse in health care practices;
- Understand that one in three women experience abuse or violence in an adult relationship, and base services on this knowledge, rather than promoting an identification/disclosure approach;
- Strive towards safety, equality, respect, collaboration, and the inclusion of social determinants of health to increase women’s health and safety;
- Understand that health care providers must experience these conditions in their work and educational settings in order to demonstrate them with patients;
- Recognize that individual health care providers can do a great deal, but many changes require institutional-level support for change;
- Create institutional practices based on the needs and realities of women impacted by abuse, including women-centred care, trauma-informed treatment, harm reduction, and cultural safety; and
- Work in partnership with anti-violence women’s organizations.

Tier Four: COMPOUNDING HARMS: Adverse Affects

"It is important to recognize that revictimization can take place in clinical interactions and that the distortion of meaning and denial of experience that are used as tactics of psychological control in abusive relationships can be inadvertently repeated in health care encounters if the clinician is unable to recognize and validate the traumatic context in which a person’s symptoms develop and are perpetuated.

- Dr. Carole Warshaw [174]

The medical model

The World Health Organization agrees that the medical ideologies that are “inhibiting health professionals from seeing women (or men) as whole persons living within social and family contexts” are an enormous barrier to adequately addressing woman abuse [80].

"The medical approach reduces male violence—a social process rooted in gender inequality—to biological, individual, or situational factors.

- Demi Kurz and Evan Stark [266]

By focusing on the individual for answers to the problems, a medical model approach
can make women feel blamed for the abuse or be prescribed unnecessary or inappropriate medications or treatment [34, 122, 175, 207, 210, 267-269].

Under a traditional medical model, health care providers are trained and expected to identify and attend to women's symptoms as the problem, rather than recognizing and tackling the broader issue of abuse [270-274].

This approach can result in women being pejoratively characterized and labeled as neurotic, hysterical, hypochondriacal, having personality disorders, or as a "well-known patient with multiple vague complaints" [170, 190, 221, 224, 231, 257, 269, 275]; that these outcomes may be effects of abuse or signs of coping with it is rarely recognized [79, 276].

Researchers in one study found that 81% of women identified as abused reported the subsequent health care to be neither helpful nor informative. They felt that health care providers were concerned only with their physical injuries, minimized their experiences, did not respect their confidentiality, and that the encounter was generally humiliating [93].

"I went to the ER after my husband beat me and was not taken seriously. I was pushed aside by someone with a migraine, who said, ‘Your problem is domestic.’ Because my husband is in the medical field, the doctor didn’t believe me. ‘Oh, come on now, he wouldn’t do that.’"

- Woman abuse survivor

**Health care providers as experts**

The notion that health professionals are experts in all matters pertaining to health has the potential to make both women and their care providers feel powerless. Health care providers are trained and expected to solve their patients’ problems [277]. However, because health care providers cannot “fix” woman abuse, their image of themselves as healers can be challenged [124, 175].

This has been demonstrated at WomanKind. “Medical providers are trained and expected to solve problems… These health professionals describe their sense of futility and frustration talking with the victim of domestic abuse when she doesn’t seem to take any immediate steps towards safety or change or, in fact, may actually deny the problem… Recovery for a battered woman is often a long-term process… Without this understanding, hospital staff may convey judgement instead of support and concern. Telling the victim that she should take action that she cannot begin to contemplate at that moment only confirms her belief that no one understands her situation.” [157]

The doctor as expert serves to undermine women’s expertise about their own situations [37]. Further, the patient role as a dependent one also serves to support subservient relationships more generally [274].

"If I need a note to stay home from work, if I need medication, whatever I need, the doctor gets to decide. He even gets to decide if there’s anything wrong with me. He even gets to say, ‘Well, it’s all in your head’. He has all this power."

- Woman abuse survivor

**Echoing or compounding abuse**

Women in one study describe how negative health care encounters resonated
with aspects of their abusive relationships, and explain how these experiences unintentionally provided legitimacy to the abuse, further contributing to a loss of health [10].

"I had to lay there on the bed and he [the doctor] wanted to touch me inside my vagina and I couldn’t. I didn’t let him. I said ‘No’ ... [but] he was insisting that he has to examine me."

-Woman abuse survivor

"I was already feeling horrible. This man [my abusive partner] is making me feel horrible and stupid. And then I come out to try and get help... and I went to my doctor and he yells at me for going back [to my partner]. I know he meant well, but I just felt even more stupid."

-Woman abuse survivor

How health care is delivered can echo the dynamics of woman abuse, such as: being disrespectful, taking consent for granted, taking control away, ignoring her choice, denigrating her decisions, conflating health conditions or issues with the impact of abuse or blaming women for their health condition [252].

"Many abused women who seek help from the health care system experience their contact with the “helping” professions and systems as another form of abuse. These women are doubly victimized, first by violent partners and then by practices and procedures that are insensitive to their needs."

-Health Canada [1]

Other examples include breaching confidentiality, inappropriate interactions or exchanges with partner, labeling, judging, discrediting or ignoring women who reveal abuse and recommending unsafe or unrealistic treatment plans.

"The doctor had a medical student in there with her [for the results of my HIV testing]. This was the moment when my whole life could change, I was so scared, and she had invited someone else to witness it without asking me. It felt so disrespectful."

-Woman abuse survivor

A study of physicians’ attitudes towards violence against women found that physicians became frustrated with women who were not “compliant” and did not follow their prescribed advice concerning the abusive relationship, which typically consisted of directives to leave the abuse or press charges [254, 260]. Yet, if a woman’s problem is coercion and control in her relationship, an appropriate health care response should not involve health care providers imposing edicts [224, 276].

Retraumatizing women

"When one’s notion of competence is tied to achieving an idealized state of mastery and control, having to deal with feeling helpless or powerless, or having to feel empathy toward someone who is being victimized, can be particularly difficult. This increases health care providers’ potential for retraumatizing patients and for being retraumatized themselves."

-Dr. Carole Warshaw [172]

Requiring that women leave an abusive relationship for the situation to be considered to have a successful outcome, or making them feel guilty if they choose to remain in the situation for the time being further undermines women’s autonomy and is unlikely to be part of a helpful health care response to woman abuse [10].
“All they were concerned about was me pressing charges. I remember countless times saying, ‘If I press charges, how are you going to help me? Because he’s going to come back and get me’. ‘Well, you know, [the police] can put a restraining order on him’, [they said]. ‘Well, are they going to be able to protect me 24 hours a day?’ [I asked]…. And when I didn’t want to [pursue a restraining order], they looked at me like ‘Well, then, you deserve it. You deserve getting hurt.’”

- Woman abuse survivor

Routine procedures and treatments – including vaginal examinations, ultrasound, dental work, touching, interviewing, child birth, containment, and restraints – can retraumatize women who have experienced abuse [1, 10, 172, 252].

“I had an ectopic pregnancy and the next thing I knew I was in the hospital and my arms were tied to the bed and my legs were tied to the bed, needle in this arm, blood in that arm. And then, after that, they used me as a guinea pig for the medical students. So I’ve got all these medical students shoving their fingers up my vagina, and that’s when… I just died that day.”

- Woman abuse survivor

**Case study of a routine practice: Screening for woman abuse**

“Medical screening” is a routine procedure that focuses on identification of a problem. Its origins are from a medical model, and it is an extremely important assessment tool that has contributed to the detection of a number of medical conditions.

Because of the current debate about whether screening for woman abuse is a safe and effective practice, we have committed a section of the SHE Evidence Paper to exploring this issue. We have cast a wide net in terms of reviewing evidence, and have committed ourselves to including women’s experiences as well as conventional forms of research.

Evaluation of such models generally has not focused on impacts to women’s health and safety but rather on compliance rates of providers in asking questions and women in answering them [10].

There is no evidence that asking women about abuse actually increases identification rates. The only study found to employ randomized control groups found no increase in identification in primary care clinics with a screening intervention. In each of the five control and comparison settings, 3% of the female patient population were identified as abused [278].

The assumption of screening is that health care providers “can significantly improve the health status of women through increased identification and appropriate intervention.” [16]

However, additional research indicates that we cannot assume that screening will increase the identification of abused women, that identification of abuse will lead to positive interventions or outcomes [86] or that screening protocols meet the basic criterion of “do no harm” [89, 279].

“How one asks questions and the safety of the setting in which questions are asked have a tremendous impact on the information that is obtained by physicians and the messages that are received by patients. The nature of the clinical interaction can itself provide relief and hope or increase despair and entrapment.”

- Dr. Carole Warshaw [172]
The lack of evidence regarding the benefit to women, widespread resistance to it by abused women and health care providers, and the possibility of it leading to a cascade of negative interventions are raising significant doubts about the acceptability of screening for woman abuse [85, 86, 119, 215, 280-283].

“A woman and her physician don’t always have a relationship that allows her to feel safe enough to tell the truth. The risk of the truth needs to be understood.”

- Physician [79]

This has led many researchers, including Dr. Garcia-Moreno of the World Health Organization, to question the widespread calls for “domestic violence screening” [10, 12, 85].

Evan Stark and Anne Flitcraft, researchers and practitioners in the area of violence against women and the health system for almost three decades, write that, “to date, physicians have concentrated on changing professional awareness and implementing changes in clinical practice, based predominantly, although not exclusively, on case finding and documentation… Ironically, if physicians’ role is essentially that of case finder or mandated reporter, women will be reluctant to tell their physician about the real cause of their injuries and clinicians will engender the offence of patients while reaffirming their suspicion that domestic violence is indeed a Pandora’s box. Clearly another role for physicians is needed.” [190]

Examples of the conflict that health care providers find themselves in with respect to screening can be found in the Registered Nurses Association of Ontario (RNAO) and the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC). These professional associations have recently published clinical practice guidelines that simultaneously question the efficacy and safety of screening and yet recommend standardized screening tools for use by health care providers [284].

These contradictory messages can be found in many research publications, suggesting that, despite compelling evidence that screening is ineffective and possibly harmful, health care providers ultimately rely on solutions to problems found within a familiar or routine model.

**Is there any harm in asking a question?**

Asking women to volunteer personal and protected information for the purposes of health care ignores the potentially negative consequences related to disclosures [285].

“...And I was there in emergency and the woman at reception was asking my name … and who’s this that brought me in. And I remember her asking me this question, ‘Are you in an abusive relationship?’ which at that point I was like ‘Of course not, I’m not’ and it’s still hard for me to understand that’s what I’ve been through. And he was standing there and the whole time she’s asking me all these questions. I remember feeling sort of embarrassed and at a loss but also put on the spot because I wasn’t thinking clearly and here they’re asking me these questions and he’s there so I know he’s going to question me about my answers. Then they wheeled me over to the next check-in spot and I had to go through a lot of the same questions again.”

- Woman abuse survivor

When women do disclose abuse, the outcome may be negative. In one study, 53% of women who were identified as...
abused reported responses that were insensitive or dehumanizing, and received no assistance or information once the issue of abuse was raised [98]. In another study, only one in six women received helpful health care [62].

In a nation-wide survey of 1000 abused women in the US, 9% of the women who sought help from health care reported that the health care encounter had actually increased the violence in their relationships [92].

A phenomenological study with four abused women who had multiple hospital admissions for injuries from violence reports several themes regarding their experiences:

- disengagement and loss of status (e.g., a sense of rejection once they had been labeled as a "domestic violence case"; being made to feel they deserved it, judged, and given no practical support);
- Disempowerment and lack of control (e.g., being called a “bloody idiot”, lack of encouragement for them to participate in their own care, coldness, lack of empathy, treatment that heightened their fear, embarrassment, humiliation, degradation, depression and further isolation);
- Stigma and social isolation (e.g., being made to feel humiliated and unworthy); and
- Being misunderstood (e.g., felt they were being blamed instead of their abuser) [100].

Other studies suggest that a significant proportion of women do not find screening questions acceptable, and express fears and concerns about negative consequences of routine screening [85, 285].

When identified as experiencing abuse, women who used drugs or alcohol were less likely to experience compassion or receive information about community resources [266, 286].

The inability to guarantee privacy and confidentiality can put women at more risk and can be a barrier to disclosure, as fear of retaliation by a partner, lack of a trusting relationship with a health care provider and concern about confidentiality are reasons for not wanting to talk about abuse [86].

Where abuse has been disclosed, confidentiality of such information is especially important in small communities, including cultural communities within larger cities. It is not always possible to control the use of information in women’s charts, which can be used to her detriment by the courts, child protection services, insurance companies and even by abusers [78, 79, 86].

““The patient will make the correct choice not to disclose, even in the presence of ongoing abuse, if confidentiality cannot be assured.””

- Dr. Elaine Alpert [124]
Who gets questioned?

Through screening and identification of abuse, women may experience a compounding of other forms of discrimination they already face.

Research in health settings reveals that poor or racialized women are more likely to be asked questions about abuse, making many women feel that they are being targeted due to discriminatory assumptions about their culture, race, or socio-economic background [49, 93-97, 287].

Who gets ignored?

On the other hand, women in one research study felt that their health care providers did not appear to consider the possibility that they might be in an abusive relationship, especially if they were white, middle-class, university educated, assertive, knowledgeable about women's issues, or seeking care for health problems other than physical trauma [10].

Limandri and Tilden [96] similarly found that physicians and nurses have a tendency not to think that individuals who are similar to themselves could be in abusive relationships, and to blame those women they see as different.

The irony of course is that significant numbers of physicians and nurses have themselves reported experiencing abuse in their intimate relationships [254, 288-290]. For example, 31% of female health practitioners in one study said they were abused as a child or adult [288], and 37% of nurses in a BC hospital report experiencing abuse at some point in their adult lives [289].

Screening programs generally neglect health professionals' personal experiences of relationship abuse [95, 119].

Health care providers have also expressed apprehension that one to three hours of training do not adequately prepare them for addressing woman abuse [86].

Additionally, health professionals have been made to feel guilty that they are not doing anything to address violence against women if they do not screen for abuse in the lives of their female patients [260], and forced compliance to the screening model has even been suggested [95, 280].

Introducing a routine practice such as screening for abuse is inexpensive but is not primarily guided by the experience and needs of women or health care providers. It also fails to demand change in the health care system or larger society or allocate responsibility for resolving abuse beyond the woman affected and the health care provider who is charged with “fixing” her [291].

Institutional practices

Ellen Pence, a leading researcher in the area of safety audits, reminds us how robust institutional practices can be and how change within such entrenched arenas requires a sustained commitment at all levels of the institution [292].

Economic factors

An ethnographic study of two British Columbia Emergency Units reported that nurses were so focused on the “efficient processing” of patients, physical problems, and cost savings, that only blatant physical injuries were dealt with and the other sequelae of relationship violence were otherwise obscured [97].

“The pressure under current practice arrangements to make rapid assessments, diagnoses, and treatment recommendations often pushes clinicians into a mode of taking charge and
maintaining control of clinical encounters. For someone whose life is controlled and dominated by another person, the subtly disempowering quality of many clinical interactions serves to reinforce the idea that this is what is to be expected and adapted to in order to survive.” [172]

Changing health care delivery models, coupled with economic factors such as fee-for-service medical treatment and drastic shortages of health care personnel, mean that women are more likely to experience an impersonal, rushed health care encounter [150]. Current allocation of resources and billing practices do not facilitate professionals taking the time to counsel, support and advocate for patients [293].

Shortages in personnel, space, and time are named by women experiencing abuse as affecting issues of privacy, the building of trusting relationships, waiting, rushing, and the impersonal tone of many health care experiences [10].

For physicians, primarily because of the method of receiving payment for services in Canada, the lack of financial reimbursement for spending needed time with women impacted by abuse is a deterrent to intervening [294].

As health care becomes increasingly governed by private sector and business models, physicians are expected to see more patients in shorter periods of time [175].

Time constraints and the rapid processing of patients are widely recognized to be significant barriers to providing good care for women impacted by abuse [64, 124, 163, 168, 224, 262].

“The ten minute factor is huge. There I am, I’m supposed to explain to [my doctor] the most important aspect of my life, before [they see] their next patient, who’s sitting out there waiting. Why bother to even start?” - Woman abuse survivor

Limited availability of physical space and shortages in staff numbers and time create a climate that is not conducive to good care for women who are struggling to regain their health as a result of experiencing an abusive relationship.

“[I felt so] vulnerable, that anybody and everybody could be listening to this information.” - Woman abuse survivor

Hierarchical structures

Inequality between men and women, common in most societies, is usually reflected in the health sector [86]. The health care system itself is a gendered, racialized and classed hierarchy that in many ways mirrors society in general [224, 232, 276, 295].

“The western health care system is a system where the majority of doctors are male, and the majority of nurses are female - again gendered on power lines; where the people of colour tend to be found either in the roles of the patients, or in the kitchens, laundries, and janitorial services of most hospitals.” - Dr. Yasmin Jiwani [150]

Commonalities between women in the health professions and women impacted by abuse have been recognized. “The origin of the plight of abused women and the struggles of female health workers lie in the worldwide social and economic inequality of women,” writes Lee Ann Hoff “[and] the concomitant devaluation of women and their work keeps battered women with violent men, and
women, especially poor women of colour, in inequitable service roles.\footnote{169}

In a study of one Toronto hospital, researchers found that racial minority nurses were severely underrepresented at the decision-making and supervisory levels. Further, they were more frequently passed over for promotion, while white nurses were promoted at rates significantly higher despite sharing similar levels of qualification with black nurses \footnote{296}. As long as the health care system reflects the inequality in our society that creates the conditions in which violence against women occurs, it cannot be well situated to systematically address woman abuse within its walls \footnote{297}.

**Tier Four: SAFETY AND HEALTH ENHANCEMENT: Do No Harm**

# If we can begin to understand what sustains and transforms abusive power dynamics in both individual and institutional forms, we can perhaps begin to develop a template for changing those dynamics within our own institutions, communities and lives.\footnote{172}

- Dr. Carole Warshaw

Incorporating change into practice is not simply a matter of health care providers deciding to do so. Individual practice takes place within the contexts of the health care system and the larger society; aspects of these contexts can facilitate, or conversely, hinder the implementation of such change. To facilitate practice-level change, programming for the prevention of violence against women should address structural level forces that perpetuate and reinforce abuses of power.

**Understanding potential harms to women**

Frontline advocates, service providers and researchers in Duluth, Minnesota – a community recognized for leading efforts to eliminate violence against women – have found that when reform efforts focus simply on individuals in the system “rather than on building safety considerations into infrastructure, the system could actually become more harmful to victims than the previously unexamined system” \footnote{298}. Thus, efforts to address woman abuse should focus on building safety considerations into health systems and structures.

Conducting safety audits in health systems is a promising approach that has been used to assess women’s safety and build safety considerations into legal and child protection systems. According to Ellen Pence and Martha McMahon, “by using the safety and accountability audit as a method of seeing how unintended and harmful case outcomes are produced in the complex maze of multi-agency interventions, advocates and reform activists have been able to focus on women’s safety.” \footnote{299}

Dr. Garcia-Morena of the World Health Organization has pointed out that too often recommendations developed for health providers address only the individual provider and do not take into account the realities of the health system in which the provider works \footnote{300}.
Re-shaping institutions

Understanding the context in which individual health care providers work can improve clinical practice and help develop more realistic strategies [224].

Innes and colleagues, in their review of health services related to woman abuse, concluded that one of the four factors that impede effective program development for woman abuse is the continued use of a traditional model to deliver services [291].

Rather than adding on to existing structures that can serve to perpetuate the problem, researchers suggest that an effective response needs to work at changing those structures. The findings support models that focus on the broader context and earlier prevention of the problem by addressing its root causes and working in collaboration with a larger community [120, 124, 301].

The implementation of programs is most successful when the program philosophy is adopted as an agency philosophy [302].

“In order for clinicians to develop and sustain appropriate responses to domestic violence, they must have the support of the institutions in which they practice.”

- Dr. Carole Warshaw [172]

In the practice and structures of health care, power relationships must be addressed to prevent the perpetuation of inequality. At the level of the individual practitioner, it has been suggested that physicians must gain a deeper understanding of the abuse of control and authority in their professional – and personal – lives [174].

To address the power relations between doctors and patients, many researchers argue that the existing hierarchies within the health care system need to change [150, 256, 257, 303].

In the same way that women know best their home situations, health care providers know best the context of their work. In recognizing that abused women know what strategies are possible within the circumstances of their relationships, it must be recognized that health care providers understand best what sort of a response is possible within their own practice [68]. Health care providers are more likely to support and become involved in institutional responses to woman abuse when they are involved in creating them [226].

Addressing cultural safety more appropriately within its structures may mean that the health care system needs to hire and promote more people to management who represent the patient population being served [150, 231].

Women-centred care and trauma-informed treatment

Research has demonstrated that the health sector needs to shift paradigms away from an identification model to a model guided by women-centred care and trauma-informed service principles and approaches. Dr. Carole Warshaw observes that the crucial aspect is having the health sector realize that “for someone who has been abused… experiencing equality, safety, mutuality, and empowerment are essential to the process of healing and reclaiming one’s sense of self and place in the world.” [172]

Although women-centred, advocacy or “empowerment” models were part of the early formal health care responses to woman abuse, screening for abuse has become popular on grounds of efficiency
and familiarity. Some programs have continued to be, or were since developed, based on women-centred ideas. The role of health care providers in these models is one of advocacy for the woman and the wider social context.

“We often know what we need, and we often know what’s wrong. [It would be good] if there was some way for us to be more involved in that process and have more options [and] be supported [in exercising them].”

- Woman abuse survivor

These models are predicated on the fact that a woman impacted by abuse is the best judge of her situation, and the role of a health care provider is to support and facilitate her decision-making process through ensuring confidentiality and privacy, building trust, listening non-judgmentally and validating her experience while also advocating for change at a systemic level [22, 68, 91, 118, 121, 124, 157, 159, 162, 167, 171, 271, 304].

The Framework for Women-Centred Care recognizes the importance of “an awareness of power issues between providers and women and the effects of the abuse of power.” [2] It suggests that health care providers should “listen to women, [and] take their concerns, opinions and feelings seriously. [Providers are advised to] take time to build relationships, provide room for women to tell their own story, and be non-confrontational… [They should] acknowledge the likelihood of any woman having experienced violence and abuse and recognize the consequences of violence on women’s physical and mental health… [and] provide an environment that welcomes diversity and those with different needs.”[2]

Thus, the notion of “identifying and managing cases of abused women” could be replaced with a view that “the woman living in the violent situation is the best manager of her own risk.”[80]

“The health care provider] has to listen to you and she has to see what you need, not what she thinks you need.”

- Woman abuse survivor

Other systems have discovered that it is insufficient to work with victims in a one-to-one situation because for every victim we access there are a hundred more that we will never know [101].

Since abuse is likely part of the experience of many of their patients given its magnitude and pervasiveness in our society, health care providers will be more effective in supporting women if principles from trauma-informed service models are applied.

Practitioners who are aware that any of their patients may be experiencing abuse may be more likely to recognize the impacts of abuse on health. Yet, even if they are not aware of abuse in the lives of particular patients, health care providers can validate and include their patients’ knowledge of their home situation and other contextual and social factors into their treatment plans.

“When I had a baby, something happened that was very positive. After having a baby, my baby and me were both very healthy, and they kept us for one week. And it just dawned on me that they knew what was going on, without ever letting me know. Because every time I saw the nurse, I started crying. They asked me, ‘Do you have any support in the house, do you have any relatives? I said, ‘I don’t. It’s only my husband and me. That’s it’. So they kept me until I really recovered…. That’s a very good thing that these doctors
did for me. I’ve heard so many horrible stories of people just being kicked out of the hospital, and I hope they would make exceptions for women who’ve had this experience of abuse – because it can get worse.

- Woman abuse survivor

Trauma-informed models, which have their roots in the women’s mental health and addictions fields, offer a template for service design and implementation that will avoid the need for identification of individual women.

“Trauma-informed services are not specifically designed to treat symptoms or syndromes related to sexual or physical abuse or other trauma, but they are informed about, and sensitive to, trauma-related issues present in survivors.” [239]

“Changing to a trauma-informed organizational or service system environment will be experienced by all as a profound cultural shift in which consumers and their conditions and behaviours are viewed differently, staff respond differently, and the day-to-day delivery of services will be conducted differently.

- Angelique Jennings [237]

“A trauma-informed system is one in which all components of a given service system have been reconsidered and evaluated in light of a basic understanding of the role that violence plays [and]… uses that understanding to design service systems that accommodate the vulnerabilities of trauma survivors and allows services to be delivered in a way that will avoid inadvertent retraumatization.” [239]

Few studies were located that evaluated the impact of advocacy and empowerment focused health responses to woman abuse. What they reveal, however, provides evidence that advocacy can help women increase safety behaviours, decrease violence, and improve health, as well as have a positive impact on the health care providers implementing the programs [305-307].

Dr. Warshaw observes that the health care system needs “to change the doctor-patient relationship itself, a relationship in which the unacknowledged need to maintain control and power reproduces an abusive dynamic antithetical to the care a battered woman most needs.” [122]

Women-centred care means clearly recognizing the importance of one’s support, presence, perspective and concern, as well as the limits of any one person’s abilities to control the batterer’s behaviour or to change the victim’s situation [172].

In addition to understanding the dynamics of abuse and its potential impacts on women’s health and access to care, women-centred care involves a focus on care and compassion, safety and respect, shared control, consent and confidentiality, and coordination with other systems [171].

**Care and compassion**

Hall and colleagues found that female patients were more satisfied with caring health care providers who showed concern, talked about psycho-social problems, were emotionally supportive, and appeared interested in what they were saying [308].

“Positives [are] where people are there to help me, to help me get healthier. People that are listening. The caring part, the talking to me like I’m a human being, like they would the person next to me. And my specialist takes care of me – it’s not just checking my pulse and listening to my heart. She’s there to talk to me and see how my everyday life
Rodriguez and colleagues heard in telephone interviews with a random sample of 375 ethnically diverse, abused women in San Francisco that experiencing compassion and understanding in health care encounters was very important [309]. For many women, this was much more important than a clinician’s gender [310].

"[The doctor] didn’t judge me as being stupid for having been abused or make me feel blamed for what had happened to me. She was very respectful of me…. It made me feel like a human being she was interested in…. The whole manner with which she dealt with me, I felt that she was compassionate and she cared…. She never said, ‘Oh, don’t be so stupid as to go back to that’, nothing like that. It was just heartfelt concern and it felt genuine."

- Woman abuse survivor

**Safety and respect**

McMurray and Moore described women’s needs as including honesty, support, understanding, explanations, non-judgmental attitudes, a feeling of safety, being listened to and feeling that a nurse was close to them [100].

"Let people know that, ‘Yes, we know you’re here, and we know it’s going to be a long wait… but I’m keeping my eye on you, and how are you holding up?’"

- Woman abuse survivor

The women in their study needed to have respect for their decisions, including the decision to return to the abuser, and the freedom to disclose or not, to talk or not, and to be counselled or not [100].

"Support her no matter what. No matter what her decision is, you’re respecting that decision."

- Woman abuse survivor

**Sharing control**

Plichta and colleagues found that all women prefer responsive and egalitarian physicians [27].

Hall also reports that, in addition to emotional sensitivity, women want an egalitarian doctor. Female patients were more satisfied with less dominant health care providers, such as those who talked about the patient-provider partnership as a “we”, actively listened, asked fewer close-ended questions, and did not interrupt [308].

"[I want to be asked a question in such a way that gives] the option that if you didn’t want to answer it, you didn’t have to."

- Woman abuse survivor

Bertakis and colleagues reported that patients were most satisfied with physicians who did not dominate the conversation, and when the number of biomedically-oriented questions decreased [311].

"I saw a psychiatrist years ago, and he just sat there and listened with a bored look on his face, and I thought, ‘I don’t really have anything to talk about, what a waste of time.’ And seeing [a counsellor since, there was instead]… a feeling of acceptance, they’re going to listen to you and accept what you’re saying and be non-judgmental. I felt really intimidated by my psychiatrist, that he’s going to really judge me. Whereas my counsellor was there to help me."

- Woman abuse survivor
First Nations women reported that an important element in affirming health care encounters is the sharing of knowledge and power over health care decisions, where health care providers encourage women to ask questions, are unconcerned about time constraints, and help women to increase control over their health [63].

The BC Women’s Hospital Consultation Report found that women want the health care system to be a supportive environment that creates conditions for women to be empowered; women want attention paid to their daily lives, they want validation for what they feel and they want knowledge and skills so that they can take control of their health [294].

“Just having somebody listen to me and support me in my concerns was such a relief. I felt like a big load was lifted off my shoulders.”
- Woman abuse survivor

Sharing the control of health care encounters with women impacted by abuse can be facilitated by asking open-ended questions in privacy, listening carefully to their responses, and acknowledging women’s expertise about their own situations and health [10].

“[My doctor] really listens and she will ask you, ‘What do you want me to do?’ If you have any suggestions, she’ll do it. If you don’t have any suggestions, she’ll tell you what your options are and you can tell her what you want. That’s a good thing about her.”
- Woman abuse survivor

Sharing control involves giving options and information rather than directive advice, and supporting the decisions women make. This includes supporting women’s choices around documentation and disclosure of the details of their relationships.

Research suggests that practitioners should approach women with experiences of abuse as survivors of life-threatening situations who are adaptive and have many strengths. The types of questions raised should be “What do you want to do?” and “In what way can I be helpful to you?” [22]

**Consent and confidentiality**

Hathaway, through interviews with 49 clients of a hospital-based domestic violence program, found that care and follow-up are important components of good health care [312]. In addition to hearing that they want to feel cared for, Hathaway found that the women she interviewed needed to feel no pressure to undertake any specific course of action, and to have their confidentiality respected [312].

“Informed consent is an essential feature of all services to victims of violence. When someone suffers an act of violence, they have often experienced feelings of helplessness and lack of control over the situation. It is therefore important to restore control to them during service delivery.”
- The World Health Organization [12]

Supporting women’s decisions requires maintaining the confidentiality of disclosures and not making referrals without a woman’s consent [10].

“Everything is confidential. Nobody has to know. This is what a woman in [an abusive] situation wants. That this news is not traveling anywhere.”
- Woman abuse survivor

The Sexual Assault Service at BC Women’s Hospital and Health Centre underwent a four-year process to determine how best to ensure women consent to all services they undergo, including whether they should collect DNA samples from unconscious
women. In reviewing all available evidence and consulting a range of stakeholders, they determined that the answer needs to be ‘no’. This practice is based on recognition that after being assaulted, women experience a profound loss of control and sense of powerlessness. Returning control to the survivor is the primary approach in helping her regain control of her life and begin the process of recovery [313].

“...The nurse must structure a situation in which a trusting relationship with the client can develop. Privacy and assurance of confidentiality are essential for trust to develop. Probably the most critical element in gaining the client’s trust is an attitude of unconditional acceptance on the part of the nurse, including situations in which the client denies that she has been battered. The battered woman may leave and return to the marital relationship many times, resulting in feelings of frustration, helplessness and anger among health care providers.”

- M. Brendtro & L.H. Bowker [121]

**Coordination: Part of a larger response**

A fundamental dimension of women-centred care is fostering connections between those who work in all areas and at all levels to address violence against women [2].

According to WHO, staffing patterns, internal and external resources, such as services for referral and development of stronger partnerships with NGOs that have been working with women in abusive situations is likely to enhance the effect and sustainability of interventions [86].

While it is a departure from traditional models of delivering health care, providers have engaged in larger initiatives to address violence against women such as coordinating committees addressing violence against women in relationships [166].

Coordination requires that health care providers respect the knowledge of community advocates who support women in abusive relationships and develop respectful, mutual relationships with advocates.

As program developers in the health care system recognize the need for health professionals to work closely with community groups, anti-violence women’s advocates have also been invited into the health care system to form partnerships in addressing woman abuse [171, 314, 315].

WomanKind, an innovative program in health care, is one example. At three sites across Minnesota, advocates from the anti-violence women’s community are situated within hospital settings to provide support for women experiencing abuse, education and consultation for health professionals and a link to the larger community of women’s services [157].

In Fort St. John, a partnership was formed between the local hospital’s Emergency Department, the Specialized Victim Assistance Program and the Sexual Assault Centre. Together they raised funds to establish a hospital-based sexual assault service with many links in the community [7].

In Powell River, a ‘Finding Common Ground’ committee has formed which includes Adult Mental Health & Addictions, Specialized Victim Support Services, the local transition house, RCMP Victim Services, and “Stopping the Violence” Counselling and Outreach Programs. It is a group of agencies with different mandates but that share a common goal to increase women’s safety. Using a multi-disciplinary team approach, they consolidate resources to develop and implement ongoing safety planning for women while developing,
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maintaining and promoting best practices [316].

Working in coordination, health care providers can benefit from the expertise and experience of frontline advocates about women’s safety and other needs.

Another benefit is that, when women want to be connected to other forms of support, health care providers are knowledgeable about community resources, provide women with sufficient detail about these resources, and promote referrals to resources that also adhere to the principles of women-centred care.

"Have resources at hand, ideas, things to think about. Because [women in abusive relationships] really will know what’s best for their situation... every situation is going to be different."
- Woman abuse survivor

Health care providers working alone cannot meet all the needs of patients who are abused, nor can they prevent domestic violence [172]. McCauley and colleagues found that women’s groups were often a good referral for women impacted by abuse, and that psychiatrists often were not [208]. Coker, too, found in a population-based survey of women who have experienced abuse in South Carolina that 100% of the women who used support groups found them helpful [214].

Addressing violence against women in a meaningful way, in its larger social context, requires the health care system to become part of a broader community-based response aimed at stopping violence against women [62, 86, 171, 224, 277, 300, 301, 306, 317].

As we explore in the fifth tier, this can be fostered, or hindered, through policy and research.
Tier Five: Values always drive policy and research, either explicitly or implicitly [318]. Thus, analyzing policy and research can help us to understand the values and social context influencing health care, including aspects that compound the harms of violence against women.

“Wife battering is a serious problem because it alerts us to the fact that despite some improvements in women’s status and options, many women are still not given the options and benefits they warrant or need.”
– Health Canada [32]

Research or policy that jeopardizes women’s equality and safety:
- Ignores international and national policy committed to ending violence against women;
- Does not translate into meaningful action to address gender-based violence and abuse;
- Is “gender-blind” and thus supports the status quo by having an unequal impact on women, while rendering those impacts invisible;
- Has been developed without knowledge translation between policy, research and social action;
- Has the health care system working in isolation to address woman abuse without collaboration with other sectors, including the anti-violence women’s sector;
- Puts the onus on individual women or often under-funded women-serving organizations to address the issue, rather than advocating for social and institutional change;
- Is not developed in collaboration with women’s advocates or survivors of abuse; and
- Focuses on quantifying, rather than understanding, woman abuse.

There are, however, examples of promising directions in policy and research related to woman abuse, including those that:
- Put women’s safety first;
- Are linked to action;
- Include women’s voices and experiences;
- Further our understanding of the issues and ability to respond appropriately;
- Incorporate a gender-based analysis;
- Work to change social norms; and
- Work with other sectors to develop strategies to reduce and ultimately eliminate the harms done to women.
Tier Five: COMPOUNDING HARMS: Lip Service

“Women’s interests – in all their diversity – are poorly served when government’s capacity or willingness to identify and articulate the gendered impact of its policies is reduced. Policies which undermine the social and economic well-being of significant numbers of women, particularly those who are already marginalized, are harmful to the interests of women more generally.”

- Katherine Teghtsoonian [319]

Policy should determine action, but action in health care that is being taken on the issue of violence against women is rarely based on international policy, research, the reality of women’s lives, or what is happening in other sectors of society. These are all factors which have a tremendous impact on women’s ability to live independent of abuse. Policy and research that ignores women’s reality can put women at greater risk.

**International policy on violence against women**

International policy outlines the role that societies need to play in the reduction of woman abuse, and specifically notes the health sector’s responsibility within a larger response.

The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (1979) is the most extensive international document dealing with the rights of women. In 1992, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) which monitors the implementation of this Convention, formally included gender-based violence under gender-based discrimination. General Recommendation No. 19, adopted at the 11th session (June 1992), deals entirely with violence against women and the measures taken to eliminate such violence.

Regarding health issues, it recommends that States should provide support services for all victims of gender-based violence, including refuges, specially trained health workers, and rehabilitation and counseling services [81].

The World Conference on Human Rights (1993) adopted the Vienna Declaration and Program of Action. It states that gender-based violence and all forms of sexual harassment and exploitation, including those resulting from cultural prejudice are incompatible with the dignity and worth of the human person, and must be eliminated. This can be achieved by legal measures and through national action and international cooperation in such fields as economic and social development, education, safe maternity and health care, and social support [81].

The International Conference on Population and Development, held in 1994 in Cairo, adopted a Program of Action which emphasizes that advancing gender equality and the empowerment of women and the elimination of all forms of violence against women are cornerstones of population and development-related programs (principle 4). Governments were called upon to take full measures, including preventive action and rehabilitation of victims, to eliminate all forms of exploitation, abuse, harassment, and violence against women, adolescents and children [81].
In 1996 the World Health Organization's World Health Assembly Resolution 49.25 proclaimed violence to be a priority public health issue [81].

The Gender and Health Unit of the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) developed an “Integrated Model of Attention to Intra-Family Violence” in 2001. What is unique about this model is the explicit objective to translate this “framework into a concrete social response, with emphasis given to the pivotal role of the health sector.” The goal is to develop community networks and “replicate the model at the national and regional levels, involving members from local networks and national organizations in emphasizing policy change and the institutionalization of the model.”[320]

Unfortunately, there are many ways in which international policy has yet to be fully translated into action in Canada.

National policy

Despite a 1993 Health Canada commissioned report stating that “violence against women, a prime area of concern as a health issue, is rooted in the social, economic and political inequality of women” [1], a lack of gender analysis in Canadian federal government budget decisions has meant that “though the economy grew by 62% between 1994 and 2004… a growing number of women over the same decade were finding their pay rates virtually stagnant while the costs of basics like housing, tuition, child care, transit and utilities continue to soar.”[321]

Along with all United Nations member states, Canada was expected to develop a national plan to advance the situation of women nationally and globally [322]. Setting the Stage for the Next Century: The Federal Plan for Gender Equality (1995-2000) was presented at the 4th UN Conference on Women. The eight objectives in the plan are linked to the twelve critical areas in the Beijing Platform for Action, including the reduction of violence in society, particularly violence against women and children, and promotion of global gender equality. Some key achievements will be reported under the Safety and Health Enhancement Model, but the implementation of gender mainstreaming and gender-based analysis is “still in its infancy.”[323]

The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC) produces national guidelines on different health issues. Regarding violence against women, the guidelines are sparse, citing the insufficient evidence for supporting routine screening but not making clear alternate suggestions [324].

“Overwhelmingly, governments lack the necessary expertise to develop and implement policy relating to violence against women. Therefore a more cooperative approach between governments and civil society should be built to combat violence against women…. Giving attention to the real-life context of the battered woman, her hopelessness, dependency, restricted options, and her consequent need for empowerment should underpin every approach. The goal is to work with her to develop her capacity to decide her own future.”

– UNICEF [325]

Provincial policy

British Columbia will be used as a case study for looking at the compounding harms of policy at the provincial level, but similar political processes and impacts have been well documented in other provinces across Canada.
The 1995 BC Provincial Health Officer’s Annual Report concluded that “[a]ll forms of violence have significant impact, sometimes acute and sometimes long-term, on a woman’s health.” [83] The BC Ministry of Health outlined the responsibility of the health care sector in addressing this issue. The 1997 Health Goals for British Columbians explicitly stated that the reduction of “family” or “interpersonal” violence was necessary to meet three of its six broad health goals [326].

At this time, the Women’s Health Bureau (WHB) within the Ministry of Health was the central policy area for issues related to women’s health. The Minister’s Advisory Committee (MAC) included a Violence Against Women subcommittee, which was formed in October of 1997 to look specifically at how it might address the health implications of this issue [327].

This committee determined that “all forms of violence have damaging short and long term effects on the mental, physical, and spiritual well-being of women” and that “living in fear of violence or with violence is contrary to the fundamental conditions and resources necessary for health.” In the policy making process, the issue of violence against women was identified and put on the health care agenda in BC a decade ago [62].

However, the government’s approach of assigning responsibility for addressing the issue to only one area of government, rather than supporting inter-sectoral collaboration, was a barrier in the advancement of this policy making process.

Attempts to coordinate efforts to address the issue came in the form of Coordination Committees on Violence Against Women in Relationships in communities in BC. Health, justice and social service organizations worked together to identify and develop ways to better work together across sectors to support the implementation of the Attorney General’s Violence Against Women in Relationships Policy (1993) to improve services for women and children impacted by violence. Many of these included health subcommittees to “address problems women encounter when accessing services from… the health system” [328].

However, according to policy analysts, the issue of violence against women in relationships was effectively taken off the agenda with the change of provincial government in 2001. BC’s new government saw the elimination of the Ministry for Women’s Equality, the Women’s Health Bureau, the Minister’s Advisory Committee on Women’s Health, and funding for Coordination Committees on Violence Against Women in Relationships [319].

According to policy analysts, neoliberal agendas are generally hostile towards women’s social policy bodies, and often make decisions that perpetuate and reinforce gender inequality while, at the same time, declaring gender irrelevant [319].

By making women’s safety peripheral, and rendering them “special interests”, the impacts of policies are often erased [319]. Provincial policies, including cuts to services, which do not recognize inequality inevitably more deeply impacts those who are already affected by the social determinants of health. Women, especially if they are poor, aboriginal, disabled, living in rural areas, etc., are disproportionately affected and their health further deteriorates [329-335].

As well, women have been unequally burdened with having to provide unpaid care to elderly and young family members as a result of cuts to health services [333, 335].
Such cuts additionally affect women's ability to live free of violence. Provincial policies and cutbacks such as those that decrease income assistance, legal services and childcare, and eliminate women's centres and Ministries and advisory councils responsible for women's issues, have enormous known and potential impacts on women's ability to escape abusive relationships, maintain custody of their children, and exercise their basic human rights [331-335].

This gave the BC CEDAW (Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women) Group cause to question in 2003 if British Columbia was moving backwards on women's equality [330].

BC's 2006 budget shows that the province has low unemployment and a strong economy with government surpluses over the past four budgets totalling almost eight billion dollars. Unfortunately, according to policy analysts, these funds have been allocated to "tax cuts and debt reduction [instead of] of enhancing public services in a manner that improves women's ability to enjoy a healthy standard of living, to make real choices about their personal and family lives, and to participate fully in society." [336]

**Health Policy**

As with national and provincial policy, most policies developed by health institutions and professional associations are not rooted in international policy, the equality of women, broader responses to violence against women, or the experiences of women themselves.

Decisions about health care delivery have usually been the domain of professionals and health officials, with women's voices being noticeably absent from health service planning and evaluation [9].

The policy making process does not generally include the perspective of women experiencing violence or the women's groups that serve them. Therefore, health policies related to woman abuse generally do not take into account that "[t]he patient knows most intimately the kind of danger she is confronting, and she must be an integral part of the decision-making process regarding steps to be taken in her case." [221] Policies grounded in the medical model rather than in the reality of the women's lives can affect women's access to services [101].

As discussed in Tier Four, some professional bodies are promoting screening for woman abuse. Such policies are developed by relying on institutionally-shaped tools and practices, despite explicit reservations raised in the academic literature about screening. These health policies tend to be developed in isolation from the input of international experts in the field of violence against women or the voices of women themselves who have experienced abuse. Such policies may gain widespread adoption and implementation because they come with little cost and require no real institutional or professional transformation. In many institutions, a policy on screening is the only policy that has taken into account violence against women in relationships. In all other policies, this reality is left unaccounted for [236].

What the health system has not adequately done is create comprehensive policy that takes into account that: women's safety needs to be paramount; health impacts must be addressed in the context of abuse; women face barriers to care; health care must be based on the knowledge that one in three women have been abused but may
not feel safe disclosing this; and that our systems of care may further compound the harms women face.

Screening without system-wide changes

Hennessy writes that “what we found within the system was a reluctance to take responsibility for the safety of victims and children. The system continued to blame the victim for her victimization. The system also blames the victim for exposing her children to the pattern of domestic violence.” [101]

Focusing our efforts on screening has kept the health sector from advancing their role by keeping the focus of the problem on the woman, on her needing to disclose and to take action. There is a growing awareness that putting energy into identifying women impacted by abuse may be colluding with a system that medicalizes and minimizes the problem and ignores the dynamics of power and control. This type of collusion is in the best interest of systems because it does not force any change, and it supports the best interests of the offender [101].

If the medical system... is worried about women getting abused, this is not a “quick-service” issue.... This is a very important issue and it has to be looked at in a professional way, not just [being asked for] your address 'and, oh by the way, have you been beaten up by your husband?'

-Woman abuse survivor

Providing a referral to resources is described as an intervention and has often supplanted a meaningful health care response. A focus on referral assumes that women have not already attempted to access resources, that there are adequate and accessible resources and that health care providers are knowledgeable about current services. It ignores the reality that many of those resources may have been cut or were already inadequate to serve the demonstrated need. For example, in 2001, over 2000 women and children were turned away from transition shelters in one BC municipality alone [234].

Where there are services that support women experiencing abuse, these services may be limited due to geographic isolation, cost of services, lack of commitment to culturally-specific services, lack of transportation to the services, restricted hours of operation, inadequate funding or staffing for services, lack of accessibility to women with special needs (e.g. women with disabilities, mental health diagnoses, or with drug and alcohol issues), and lack of interpreters [329].

Thus, simply giving a woman a list of phone numbers cannot be considered an adequate intervention.

Documentation and reporting

Many health policies developed by professional practice organizations also encourage health care providers to extensively document abuse, assuming that this will be helpful in future legal processes [337].

However, these guidelines have been developed without recognition or knowledge of the discriminatory practices found in the legal system. According to research conducted in BC, health records of women in abusive relationships are much more likely to be used against them in court than to support their case. The very impacts of abuse – mental health issues, substance use, poverty, etc. – are used to undermine the credibility of women in abusive relationships and remove their children from their care [79]. This re-affirms the right of the abuser to wield power and control over his
partner and the message to her that she will be punished for speaking out [101].

In the United States, working together with the American Medical Association, the government of California passed a state Assembly Bill (AB 890) mandating that all hospitals and licensed clinic have policies and procedures to screen patients for violence, use domestic violence referral lists, and report all cases of identified or suspected domestic violence to police [221]. Unfortunately, it has been determined that this legislative policy “may result in women not disclosing the cause of their injuries, or worse, fleeing the health system altogether.” [221]

Such policies do not take into account unequal relationships between women in abusive relationships and their health care providers nor does it address relations of power within health care institutions.

**Untransformed institutions**

According to the World Health Organization, many people, “especially advocates of human rights, challenge the assumption that disclosure of intimate partner violence is always beneficial to women,” and caution about “individual agents of change working within untransformed institutions and the risks of unforeseen outcomes of well motivated change.” [338]

If social, political and economic inequality are the basis of violence against women in relationships, then health care policies which reinforce gender and race inequalities reflect and reinforce norms, and women who work in the system are not made any more safe [150, 338].

One example of policy development that has further entrenched race and gender inequality in health care is the contracting out of housekeeping and food services in several provinces. This has meant that many women, mostly women of colour, have lost their jobs or were re-hired at as little as one-half their former wages [329].

According to researchers from Johns Hopkins University, “evidence suggests that without system-wide reforms and support, single training sessions or routine screening policies rarely produce long-term changes in the quality of care for survivors of violence.” [339]

**Disconnect between research and policy**

Policy needs to be based in evidence that is grounded in women's experiences. However such research is often seen as lacking credibility or scientific rigour, or as “anecdotal” information, and is rarely used to shape policy.

Research itself may also contribute to decreasing women’s safety by focusing on quantifying the problem to the detriment of understanding it [283].

It is misguided to base policy on prevalence rates because focusing on quantifying the extent of violence against women, rather than understanding the experience of women, shows little of its complex nature. This has led to the development of narrow and simplistic practices and policies within the health care field [31].

We need to evaluate current approaches utilizing research that accurately portrays the nature and consequences of abuse. When analyzed through women’s experience, and contextualized within the overall goal of increasing women’s safety and health, no evidence exists to support the continued call for screening in practice or in policy [10, 85]. The approach does not demonstrate relevance to women’s safety and health needs.
Too often, discussion or conclusion sections of articles make claims about screening for violence, among other practices, that the data section does not show. Researchers must take responsibility for the potentially harmful tendency to advocate practice or policy that they do not actually have the evidence to support.

Existing ethical review policy may not be able to address the ethics of disclosure consequences of asking women in abusive relationship about their experiences of violence [13, 283]. As well, not recognizing that many women say “no” to screening questions for safety reasons or because they may not define their experiences as abusive, can lead to a misinterpretation of low disclosure rates [86].

“New-found interest in population-based surveys, while positive, leads substantial room for costly methodological mistakes, breaches of ethical standards and other actions that may put women at risk of harm.” - World Health Organization [338]

According to the Canadian Research Institute on the Advancement of Women, “over the past twenty years, governments have commissioned or funded literally hundreds of studies about violence against women… Government has taken no action on the majority of the recommendations in these hundreds of report.” [340]

The selective knowledge exchange from research has allowed the health care system and various levels of government to ignore violence against women or develop policy that pays only lip service to the issue, while potentially perpetuating and exacerbating its impacts. On the other hand, much illuminating research which includes the voices and experiences of women impacted by abuse has not been influential on policy developed in their name.

In order to address women’s safety, we must shift the responsibility for victim safety away from the victim and on to the wider community. - Don Hennessy [101]

Successful health care responses to violence against women will advocate for changes at a societal level by addressing the status of women [34, 135, 260, 300] and related forms of violence women face, including poverty and cultural genocide [341]. A Health Canada commissioned report states that to effectively address woman abuse, we need changes in employment, income, health, education and social services policies, a change in the ways service providers work with one another, and a change in our ways of living and working together [120].

The health care system clearly cannot do this in isolation. It must recognize that violence against women in relationships is not exclusively a health problem. By viewing woman abuse as a complex social issue with implications for women’s health and the practice and structures of health care, the health care system can, however, help work towards solutions.
must be developed in collaboration with other sectors and anti-violence women’s organisations [86].

**Being guided by international policy**

According to the World Health Organization, although support and care services for victims are important in mitigating the physical and psychological consequences of violence and reducing individual vulnerability, considerable attention needs to be given to preventing the development and perpetration of violence in the first place [12].

In terms of making real change at the provincial or territorial level, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) recommends changing fiscal arrangements between the federal Government and the provinces/territories so that national standards of a sufficient level are re-established and women will no longer be negatively affected in a disproportionate way in different parts of Canada [322].

CEDAW also recommends “making gender-based impact analysis mandatory for all legal and program efforts at the federal level and, through, its respective Consultative Continuing Committees of Officials, at the provincial and territorial levels.” [322]

**Gender-based policy analysis**

A critical aspect of developing policy that works to reduce discrimination and violence against women is to analyze its impact on women, especially those most marginalized [12, 322].

According to WHO, gender analysis of policies and policy outcomes is part of the gender mainstreaming process. In the pursuit of gender equality and equity, a two-pronged approach of both adopting dedicated gender policies and giving attention to gender equality and equity in policies usually considered to be gender-neutral will be most effective in safeguarding women’s human rights. Equal status may require differential treatment in favour of women to correct inequities arising from the historically unequal power relations between men and women [12].

**Gender mainstreaming**

The strategy of incorporating gender concerns into all policy is referred to as “gender mainstreaming.”

The United Nations Economic and Social Council defines gender mainstreaming as “the process of assessing the implications for women and men of any planned action, including legislation, policies or programs, in any area and at all levels. It is a strategy for making women’s as well as men’s concerns and experiences an integral dimension in the design implementation, monitoring and evaluation of policies and programs in all political, economic and societal spheres so that women and men will benefit equally and inequality is not perpetuated. The ultimate goal is to achieve gender equality.” [342]

According to the UN and WHO, a crucial aspect of gender-mainstreaming is including women who have experienced abuse and their advocates in policy formation, review, and implementation [12, 342].

Participation and representation by relevant interest groups is key to successful policy formation [343]. Because any policy that is developed has the ultimate goal of improving the health outcomes of women
experiencing violence, “participation in the policy making process [should take] place directly by individuals and their chosen community representatives”, so that resulting policy takes into account “women’s needs, perspectives, and experiences as they are articulated in different racial, class and situational positions.”[344]

Multi-sectoral policy development

Both WHO and CEDAW recommend that investing in multi-sectoral strategies for the prevention of woman abuse is not only a moral imperative but also makes sound scientific, economic, political and social sense, given the clear public health dimensions of the problem and its solutions [12, 322].

WHO suggests that “the health sector must advocate not only for improved health services for victims of violence, but also for improved psychological, social and legal services and more effective linkages between these services to make sure the full range of services required by victims is addressed.”[12]

National policy

In 2003, Health Canada issued a media release in response to the World Health Organization’s recommendations of the world report on violence and health, and its commitment to addressing the nine recommendations [345].

In recent years, the government of Canada has also strengthened its commitment to translate policies at the international level into meaningful changes by agreeing to submit progress reports to the UN Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women on the steps it is taking to ensure equality for women – in both principle and practice – and the future steps required in that regard [322, 346].

As part of this, the government of Canada developed a Federal Plan for Gender Equality, a collaborative initiative of 24 federal departments and agencies, led by Status of Women Canada. The eight objectives of the plan are:

- Implementation of gender-based analysis throughout federal departments and agencies;
- Improvement of women’s economic autonomy and well-being;
- Improvement of women’s physical and psychological well-being;
- Reduction of violence in society, particularly violence against women and children;
- Promotion of gender equality in all aspects of Canada’s cultural life;
- Incorporation of women’s perspectives in governance;
- Promotion and support of global gender equality; and
- Advancement of gender equality for employees of federal departments and agencies [323].

As part of this plan, the government of Canada has taken some steps towards putting funding and programs into place to address the issue of violence against women, including the establishment of five national Centres of Excellence’ on women’s health [345]. However, the funding for the national Centres of Excellence for women’s health needs to be secured core funding to be truly effective.

Other key measures taken by the federal government include: the introduction in the 1998 federal budget of a caregiver credit which partially recognizes the unrenumerated work women do; strengthening the federal Employment Equity Act; and a $4.3 million
Shelter Enhancement Program which resulted in upgrading of existing transition shelters [323].

The Canada Women’s Health Strategy was also launched, which provides a framework to guide Health Canada in addressing biases and inequities in the health system [323].

Through the Public Health Agency of Canada, a training toolkit on “family violence” is being developed for use by programs funded by the Community Action Plan for Children and Canada Prenatal Nutrition Program. The advisory for this project included women-serving organizations from across the provinces and territories.

In terms of implementing gender-based analyses, “within the federal government, Status of Women Canada (SWC) leads the process of implementing the 1995 gender-based analysis policy, although individual departments and agencies have responsibility for undertaking their own follow-up.” [323] It is imperative, however, that gender-based analysis is not simply an option for all departments and agencies but is mandated and supported.

“Gender-based analysis is a tool for understanding social processes and for responding with informed and equitable options... [It] challenges the assumption that everyone is affected by policies, programs and legislation in the same way regardless of gender, a notion often referred to as “gender-neutral” policy.”

- Status of Women Canada [347]

However, despite a surplus of $13.2 billion in the 2006 federal budget, Heritage Minister Bev Oda announced $5 million in cuts to Status of Women Canada (SWC), about 40% of its annual budget. The cuts were coupled with the closure of most of the federal agency’s SWC regional offices as well as changes that will end funding to women’s organizations that lobby, advocate or conduct research on rights issues [348].

We can instead look to Spain as an example of a national government translating gender equity policy into legislation which has significantly reduced cases of domestic violence. The government also spent US$71 million in care and social intervention measures, prevention and sensitization measures, legal aid and research. More than 60,000 health care professionals, social workers, teachers, counsellors, police officers, and lawyers have had specialist training in dealing with domestic violence cases. Other initiatives include helping women to get a job because, as the Minister of Work and Social Affairs noted, “a lack of personal autonomy and hence a lack of accessibility to jobs, may be an important factor behind the persistence of domestic abuses.” [21]

The government of Spain attributes the success of their ambitious plans in reducing violence against women in relationships in part to the collaboration between the Women’s Institute and the Ministries of Justice, Education, and Health, the Home Office, the governments of the autonomous regions, and several non-governmental women’s organizations [21].

Provincial policy

Despite cuts to their budgets, various provincial ministries in BC have continued to implement policy guidelines on community coordination, based on a provincial model created by the BC Association of Specialized Victim Assistance and Counselling Programs, with funding support from the National Crime Prevention Centre [349]. This model, Community Coordination for Women’s Safety (CCWS)
supports individual and local advocacy and provincial policy development. At CCWS tables, senior officials from various ministries look at systemic and policy-level changes that could help to solve problems identified by front-line workers. Two funded coordinators and one policy analyst facilitate the processes around the province which have resulted in positive changes in various systems for women impacted by abuse [349].

An inter-sectoral model of policy formation, where all of the relevant groups are represented at the policy table, has occurred for the benefit of women impacted by abuse in other areas, as well. In creating guidelines to address child protection concerns in cases of violence against women in relationships for the Ministry of Children and Family Development, several ministries and women's organizations were involved [350]. Training for social workers and women's organizations is currently being developed based on these guidelines.

A report to the British Columbia Ministry of Health recommended that “best practices” for woman abuse are those that support a women-centred framework [62].

The 2004 Advancing the Health of Women and Girls: A Women’s Health Strategy for British Columbia also suggests that provincial health strategies would rest on concepts of women being at the centre of their care, recognizing diversity, and promoting equity. Identified strategic priorities include: supporting women-centred approaches to mental health, problematic substance use and addictions; sustaining access to maternity care, and; women-centred research strategies. Policy development is considered an important part of implementing this strategy [351].

Drs. Marina Morrow and Colleen Varcoe have co-authored a guide on violence against women for health authorities, health care managers, providers and planners. It includes sample policy statements that can be modified and adopted at both provincial and local health authority levels [7]. Each policy statement recognizes that violence against women is a social problem with serious health implications, and includes commitment to:

- Developing systemic and sustained responses to support women;
- Ensuring all practice responses are appropriate for the diversity of women;
- Working collaboratively and in partnership with a range of health and community stakeholders;
- Establishing interministerial coordination;
- Supporting further education and training; and
- Evaluating programs and developing indicators of success [7].

**Health policy**

At the regional health level, the Northern Violence and Health Network has been the first to use Morrow and Varcoe’s guide to draft policy for their health region in northern British Columbia. The network is taking steps to have the policy adopted by the Northern Health Authority [352].

The World Health Organization concludes that without real commitment towards systemic change, “one-off” training regarding woman abuse does not create sustainable change in knowledge or practice. “Rather than starting a screening program for intimate partner violence, it might be more appropriate for health workers to enlist the support of communities in changing socio-cultural norms condoning violence and developing programs to empower women, and… their rights” [86].
The World Health Organization also suggests that other policy areas within health that may not seem directly related might still play a significant role in shaping the range and quality of services available to victims of violence. These areas include: abortion; HIV/AIDS prevention, counseling, testing and treatment; treatment for drug and alcohol use; working conditions of service providers; and the general structure of services in terms of their public or private provision, available aid, or fees required [12].

Recognizing that violence is a public health problem that can be prevented by addressing its underlying causes has expanded the role of the health sector. Although the health sector needs to take a role, it must be with the involvement of many other sectors (both within government and among non-governmental and civil society groups), an essential component in building the type of sustained multi-sectoral response required to prevent violence [12].

The coordination of support services is key to enhancing the safety of women who are targets of violence in their relationships [104, 126, 298]. At the agency level, coordination is enhanced by appropriate policies and protocols that are effectively implemented; these policies/protocols should address information sharing within and between agencies, inter-jurisdictional issues, institutional accountability, and administrative issues (i.e., forms and records) [292].

The Powell River ‘Finding Common Ground Committee’ recently signed off on their protocol document to guide a range of health and community groups in working together around issues of violence against women, trauma and substance abuse. The basis of the policy is to work towards their common goal to increase women’s safety [316].

In the United Kingdom, through innovative and positive approaches, the Stella Project works to promote, at both practice and policy levels, the development of inclusive, integrated service provision for survivors and perpetrators of violence against women who experience problematic substance use. The Stella Project supports drug and alcohol and violence against women/anti-violence agencies to effect sustained change in service delivery and outcomes. At a strategic level, the project works to influence and support policy development with the view of catalysing change on the ground. Underlying the project’s approach is the belief that where woman abuse and substance use overlap, interventions undertaken in partnership across the sectors will improve the safety of clients and prevent ineffective repeat interventions [236].

Health Canada’s Bureau of Women’s Health and Gender Analysis includes in its mandate ensuring “that gender considerations are addressed in all departmental programs and policies.”[353]

WomanKind staff at hospitals in Minnesota are part of biweekly team meetings on the medical, surgical, and behavioural service units in the hospital. They also participate in lecture series and attend hospital department meetings and influence hospital policies and protocols across the board [157].

An example of a policy change at the health organization level that resulted in increased safety for women experiencing abuse was the development of the Privacy Block Guidelines at BC Women’s Hospital. The new guidelines offer all women the opportunity to use an alias without having to identify why. Under the guidelines, information about a woman’s presence in the hospital is limited to a list of approved visitors, and
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heightened measures are taken to protect the information on her chart. Since the implementation of this policy, the number of women requesting a privacy block has increased ten-fold. Many of these women had a violent partner against which they held a restraining order [354, 355].

**Gender-based research**

According to Canada’s Women’s Health Strategy, a population health approach to research is necessary to promote good health through preventive measures and the reduction of risk factors that most imperil the health of women. Population health approaches rest on a body of research demonstrating that a combination of personal, social and economic factors, in addition to health services, plays an important role in achieving and maintaining health [353].

We have a vast amount of data on the prevalence of woman abuse in Canadian society, the health burden arising from it, and the context which perpetuates it, but very little information on the barriers women face in accessing services or the kinds of supports women in abusive relationships need to decrease risks to their safety and health [10].

WHO suggests holding focus group sessions with community members and potential service users (taking particular care to include groups that are marginalized within their community and/or experience a high incidence of violence) to identify any barriers to accessing services [12].

Research can offer important opportunities for abused women to have a say in defining what success should mean in terms of health care interventions, and their related policies and protocols [86, 294, 327, 341, 356, 357].

Rather than focusing on identification and referral rates, research into women’s experiences of health care suggest that the success of health care responses to woman abuse should be measured by their quality (i.e., the extent to which health care encounters provide protective measures for abused women). This translates into criteria of program success being reframed and evaluations of programs becoming more sophisticated and moving beyond tallies of the women asked, identified and referred for woman abuse [10].

> Evaluation of women-centred care practice is critical for policy makers so that future policies can be built upon what has been learned. Research that takes a gendered approach and uses data to describe the context of women’s lives, rather than solely counting the number of clients, is crucial for all concerned.

– Robin Barnett [3]

WHO states that promoting the primary prevention of violence involves encouraging and supporting the development, implementation and evaluation of programs explicitly designed to stop its perpetration. Feeding the results of these efforts into the policy process will ensure that lessons learned from experience, and rooted in local realities, will bring maximum benefit [12].

WHO and CEDAW also recommend “mainstreaming” violence prevention in research, that is, the integration of violence prevention research into national research agendas for health and other science disciplines [12, 342].

The BC Centre of Excellence for Women’s Health (BCCEWH) has an explicit mandate to incorporate gender in all of its research agendas. As one example, the BCCEWH
administers the Integrated Mentor Program in Addictions Research Training (IMPART) which incorporates issues of sex and gender into addictions research. Training and mentorship for the participants of the multi-disciplinary program includes looking at the intersection of violence and abuse with women’s use of substances. The mandate of the program also includes a focus on the important connections between practice, research, and policy [351].

**Linking policy and research**

The World Health Organization spells out the ethical obligations of researchers and funders to help ensure that their findings are interpreted properly and used in the development of policy and relevant interventions [338].

WHO recognizes that research can play an important role in how we understand an issue, the policies and programs that are developed, and our understanding of the impact of policy on violence [12].

In its guide to implementing the recommendations of the World Report on Violence and Health, WHO suggests monitoring policy-driven interventions, such as social welfare grants for families with income below the poverty line, universal access to primary and secondary education, and job-creation programs, in order to address the underlying risk factors for violence and help to reduce the magnitude of the problem [12].

CEDAW “urges the government of British Columbia to analyze its recent legal and other measures as to their negative impact on women and to amend the resources, where necessary.” [330] Research evaluating the degree to which the provincial government has taken up this recommendation could contribute to advancing the safety of women.

At the health system level, “we need to carefully and regularly monitor the risk to the woman of the system in which our intervention is set.” [101] The possibility of compounding harms demands that we integrate an overall response in a way that holds both the system and the provider accountable to women’s safety. While it may be difficult to assess prevention of woman abuse directly, researchers can measure proxies such as decrease in isolation and economic and political inequality [66].

WHO recommends policy audits and situational analyses [12]. Characterizations of women impacted by abuse in their medical charts could be observed for changes in stereotypes or assumptions about women in abusive relationships. The involvement of health care providers in community co-ordination is a measure of the involvement of the health care system in the larger community movement to end violence against women. The promotion of more women and minorities to decision-making positions within health care can be a proxy measure for the dismantling of hierarchies on the basis of gender, race or other factors. The adoption of women-centred policies and protocols can be a measure for organizational support for women-centred care. These, and many other indicators, could be collected and analyzed to measure systemic and contextual changes [10].

**Conducting safety audits**

Safety audits for risks to women have not, to our knowledge, been conducted in the health sector. However, Ellen Pence, researcher and author of numerous safety audits across North America has developed a methodology and template for conducting audits in legal and child protection systems [299].
Pence observes that safety audits have a record of creating change in institutions by identifying and decreasing systemic risks to women’s safety and attending to women’s safety first. The power of safety audits is that they can expose the sources of contradictory and counterintuitive outcomes in a system designed for health and safety but which too often fails on both accounts [299].

The audit team includes institutional and community-based advocates. Pence notes that, in recent years, advocates have expressed their interest in working with and within legal and social service systems [299]. Conducting safety audits is one way to work across sectors to reveal the threats and opportunities related to women’s safety.

In a safety audit, the reference point is women’s safety. This helps to focus the efforts of the audit team on the fit (or lack of fit) between a woman’s experience as a victim and the institution’s constructions and reformulations of her situation as a case to be processed and resolved by those institutions [299].

Audit questions usually reflect questions about “how” routine practices and beliefs came about. Typical questions include:

- How are workers organized to think about and act on a particular kind of case in ways that bring about unintended, unfair, or harmful results?
- How is a woman’s safety accounted for in such a process?
- How are victims of battering made safer or more vulnerable by the actions of the interveners?
- How does victim blaming occur in policy or procedures of the system? [299]

Safety audits are focused on understanding and changing structures. They are not performance appraisals. When the actions of individual practitioners are organized due to institutional rules, the individual should not be held responsible for the problems or for transforming the problem. This is the role of the institution.

In the next chapter, we draw on the knowledge generated from the use of safety audits in other systems to develop a toolkit specifically for use in the health system. The process of analyzing a health setting’s policy, programming and practice for its potential to compound harms is a significant step towards transforming the care we provide, such that it serves only to enhance women’s health and safety.